

WELLBEING AND RESILIENCE MEASURE: EAST SUSSEX GP PRACTICE SUMMARIES

**Supplement to the Annual Report of
the Director of Public Health
2016-2017**



Contents

1	How to Read the GP Practice Summaries	4
2	2016 WARM Practice Summaries for Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG	5
	* Eastbourne Locality	6
	* Hailsham and Polegate Locality	17
	* Seaford Locality	24
3	2016 WARM Practice Summaries for Hastings and Rother CCG	26
	* Bexhill Locality	27
	* Hastings and St Leonards Locality	31
	* Rural Rother Locality	46
4	2016 WARM Practice Summaries for High Weald Lewes Havens CCG	53
	* High Weald Locality	54
	* Lewes and Havens Locality	66

How to read the GP Practice summaries

The GP Practice summaries use national and local data sources to present information on the 61 indicators of health and wellbeing which make up the three domains of the WARM tool: Self; Supports; Systems and Structures) and ten components within these domains.

Domain	Components
SELF	Life satisfaction
	Education
	Health
	Material wellbeing
SUPPORT	Strong & stable families
	Belonging
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	Local economy
	Public service
	Crime and anti-social behaviour
	Infrastructure

Indicators were modelled from ward to GP practice level by identifying wards in which patients live and allocating the population weighted average of the combined ward scores to each practice. There are two parts to each practice summary: RAG rating; and ranking of the practice.

A) RAG rating of indicators and components

Satisfaction with GP surgery
Satisfaction with local hospital
Travel time to local GP

Each of the 61 indicators has been coloured red, amber or green to represent the overall RAG rating for that indicator. Indicators are RAG rated based on 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) or, where this information is not available they are ranked using top and bottom quartiles. Red indicators are those which are significantly worse than the East Sussex average or in the worst quartile, amber indicators are similar to the East Sussex average and green indicators are significantly better than the East Sussex average or in the best quartile. From these, community assets and deficits have been identified to build a picture of community resilience across each practice.

‘Red indicators’ are identified as deficits and ‘Green indicators’ as assets. An overall RAG rating is also calculated for each component based on the number of red, amber and green indicators that constitute the component. ‘Red components’ are where the majority of indicators are identified as deficits and ‘Green components’ are where the majority of indicators are identified as assets.

B) Ranking of GP Practices for each indicator

For every indicator, the practice is ranked out of the total number of practices in East Sussex, with 1 being the best practice for that indicator and 67 being the worst. The ranking is included to highlight where the practice sits in the context of all practices in the county. Where the practice is within the ten best in the county the number is green, and where it is within the ten worst in the county it is red. Multiple practices can have the same ranking for an indicator if they have similar scores. A green ranking number does not necessarily mean that the indicator RAG rating will also be green if fewer than ten practices were significantly better than the East Sussex average for that indicator.

60
5
14

Summaries are ordered by CCG, and within each CCG alphabetically by locality and practice.

2016 WARM PRACTICE SUMMARIES: EASTBOURNE, HAILSHAM AND SEAFORD CCG



SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		
LIFE SATISFACTION	RANK	25	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	RANK	40	BELONGING	RANK	48
Local area satisfaction			Divorce rate			Sense of neighbourhood belonging		
			Unemployed parents		32	Adults not feeling lonely		37
			Elderly living alone		56	Unpaid carers		54
			Married or cohabiting parents		38	Membership of local decision making group		27
			Lone parents		41	Feel can influence local decisions		29
			Lone parent claimants		23	Want more involvement in local decisions		46
			Carer claimants		56	Formal volunteering		42
						Informal volunteering		36
EDUCATION	RANK	28	MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK	35	HEALTH	RANK	46
5 A-C GCSEs			Income support			Long term health problem or disability		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		21	Incapacity benefits or ESA		41	Years of potential life lost		48
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training		32	JSA claimants for under 12 months		60	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances		44
Qualified adults		24	Income deprivation		35	Self reported good health		37
Further qualified adults		26	JSA/UC claimants		43	Average adult mental wellbeing score		33
Educational attainment for 11 year olds		38	JSA claimants aged 50+		52	Comparative illness and disability		42
			JSA claimants aged 18-24		36	Adult mood and anxiety disorders		41
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		32			
			Older people in deprivation		39			
			Managing well financially		36			
			Average income		36			
KEY			KEY			NOTES:		
significantly worse than East Sussex average			significantly worse than East Sussex average			1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score		
not significantly different to East Sussex average			not significantly different to East Sussex average			2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results		
significantly better than East Sussex average			significantly better than East Sussex average					
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst			Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst					
if number is red - within worst ten practices			if number is red - within worst ten practices					
if number is green - within best ten practices			if number is green - within best ten practices					

Enys Road Surgery

SELF			
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING
23	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability	Income support
	5 A-C GCSEs	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	Self reported good health	Income deprivation
	Qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants
	Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
			Older people in deprivation
			Managing well financially
			Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

SUPPORT			
RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	BELONGING	
44	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	
30	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	
61	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	
40	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	
40	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	
15	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	
65	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	
		Informal volunteering	

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES							
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE	RANK	
4	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	33	Barriers to housing and services	66	
30	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	44	Housing satisfaction	41	
9	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	38	Housing in poor condition score	26	
		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	52			
		Travel time to local GP	Burglary	43			
		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	57			
		Further education provision	Violent crime	47			
		Primary school provision		18			

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Green Street Clinic

SELF				SUPPORT			
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	WELLBEING	RANK	FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING
9	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	26	Divorce rate	27	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	28	Unemployed parents	24	Adults not feeling lonely
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	55	Elderly living alone	52	Unpaid carers
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	27	Married or cohabiting parents	33	Membership of local decision making group
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants	34	Lone parents	31	Feel can influence local decisions
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	28	Lone parent claimants	12	Want more involvement in local decisions
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	61	Carer claimants	52	Formal volunteering
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	21			Informal volunteering
			Older people in deprivation	29			
			Managing well financially	23			
			Average income	27			

KEY
 significantly worse than East Sussex average
 not significantly different to East Sussex average
 significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

SUPPORT			
RANK	FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING
	Divorce rate	27	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
	Unemployed parents	24	Adults not feeling lonely
	Elderly living alone	52	Unpaid carers
	Married or cohabiting parents	33	Membership of local decision making group
	Lone parents	31	Feel can influence local decisions
	Lone parent claimants	12	Want more involvement in local decisions
	Carer claimants	52	Formal volunteering
			Informal volunteering

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES							
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
	Travel time to employment centre	30	Satisfaction with local police	2	Perceived improvement in local crime	47	Barriers to housing and services
	Population close to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	29	Feeling safe in the day	32	Housing satisfaction
	Distance to work	21	Satisfaction with GP surgery	29	Feeling safe at night	30	Housing in poor condition score
			Satisfaction with local hospital	50	All crime	33	
			Travel time to local GP	16	Burglary	29	
			Households close to GP surgery	5	Antisocial behaviour	35	
			Further education provision	18	Violent crime	33	
			Primary school provision	23			

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Grove Road Surgery

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
31	Local area satisfaction								
	EDUCATION	35		FAMILIES	41		PUBLIC SERVICE	16	
	5 A-C GCSEs	45		Divorce rate	57		Satisfaction with local police	27	
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	53		Unemployed parents	46		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	47	
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	28		Elderly living alone	60		Satisfaction with GP surgery	13	
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	15		Married or cohabiting parents	43		Satisfaction with local hospital	54	
	Self reported good health	44		Lone parents	45		Travel time to local GP	18	
	Average adult mental wellbeing score	35		Lone parent claimants	24		Households close to GP surgery	11	
	Comparative illness and disability	45		Carer claimants	63		Further education provision	24	
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	46					Primary school provision	25	
	HEALTH								
	Long term health problem or disability	45							
	Years of potential life lost	53							
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	15							
	Self reported good health	44							
	Average adult mental wellbeing score	35							
	Comparative illness and disability	45							
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	46							
	WELLBEING								
	Income support	37							
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	46							
	JSA claimants for under 12 months	62							
	Income deprivation	40							
	JSA/UC claimants	50							
	JSA claimants aged 50+	55							
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	25							
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	37							
	Older people in deprivation	43							
	Managing well financially	44							
	Average income	42							
	LOCAL ECONOMY								
	Travel time to employment centre	8							
	Population close to employment centre	32							
	Distance to work	10							
	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR								
	Perceived improvement in local crime	27							
	Feeling safe in the day	47							
	Feeling safe at night	44							
	All crime	58							
	Burglary	48							
	Antisocial behaviour	59							
	Violent crime	54							
	INFRASTRUCTURE								
	Barriers to housing and services	62							
	Housing satisfaction	42							
	Housing in poor condition score	28							

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lighthouse Medical Practice

SELF							
R	A	R	R	R	A	R	R
A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K
LIFE SATISFACTION	43	EDUCATION	57	HEALTH	40	WELLBEING	46
Local area satisfaction		5 A-C GCSEs		Long term health problem or disability		Income support	
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	38	Years of potential life lost	50	Incapacity benefits or ESA	47
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	47	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	26	JSA claimants for under 12 months	59
		Qualified adults	33	Self reported good health	36	Income deprivation	45
		Further qualified adults	37	Average adult mental wellbeing score	34	JSA/UC claimants	42
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	54	Comparative illness and disability	49	JSA claimants aged 50+	51
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	44	JSA claimants aged 18-24	45
						Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	45
						Older people in deprivation	44
						Managing well financially	37
						Average income	47

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

SUPPORT							
R	A	R	R	R	A	R	R
A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	42	BELONGING	63				
Divorce rate		Sense of neighbourhood belonging					
Unemployed parents	46	Adults not feeling lonely	34				
Elderly living alone	53	Unpaid carers	58				
Married or cohabiting parents	49	Membership of local decision making group	31				
Lone parents	52	Feel can influence local decisions	32				
Lone parent claimants	49	Want more involvement in local decisions	57				
Carer claimants	49	Formal volunteering	41				
		Informal volunteering	49				

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES							
R	A	R	R	R	A	R	R
A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K
LOCAL ECONOMY	26	PUBLIC SERVICE	23	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	4	INFRASTRUCTURE	51
Travel time to employment centre		Satisfaction with local police		Perceived improvement in local crime		Barriers to housing and services	
Population close to employment centre	34	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	20	Feeling safe in the day	55	Housing satisfaction	51
Distance to work	49	Satisfaction with GP surgery	45	Feeling safe at night	48	Housing in poor condition score	49
		Satisfaction with local hospital	51	All crime	46		
		Travel time to local GP	29	Burglary	39		
		Households close to GP surgery	27	Antisocial behaviour	50		
		Further education provision	32	Violent crime	45		
		Primary school provision	19				

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

[illegible]

Seaside Medical Centre

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES											
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K
44	49	28	47	57	66	7	14	33	59	62	60	44	62	60	31				
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Travel time to employment centre	Perceived improvement in local crime	Satisfaction with local police	Feeling safe in the day	Feeling safe at night	All crime	Burglary	Antisocial behaviour	Violent crime	Barriers to housing and services	Housing satisfaction	Housing in poor condition score		
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue		Satisfaction with GP surgery		Travel time to local GP	Households close to GP surgery	Further education provision					
	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Distance to work													
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group														
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	JSA/UC claimants	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions														
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions														
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering														
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		Informal volunteering														
			Older people in deprivation																
			Managing well financially																
			Average income																

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

SELF					SUPPORT					SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
R	A	A	N	K	R	A	A	N	K	R	A	A	N	K					
LIFE SATISFACTION	35	EDUCATION	47	HEALTH	25	MATERIAL WELLBEING	44	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	47	BELONGING	58	LOCAL ECONOMY	14	PUBLIC SERVICE	29	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	17	INFRASTRUCTURE	52
Local area satisfaction		5 A-C GCSEs		Long term health problem or disability		Income support		Divorce rate		Sense of neighbourhood belonging		Travel time to employment centre		Satisfaction with local police		Perceived improvement in local crime		Barriers to housing and services	
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	37	Years of potential life lost	46	Incapacity benefits or ESA	45	Unemployed parents	43	Adults not feeling lonely	48	Population close to employment centre	26	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	12	Feeling safe in the day	45	Housing satisfaction	56
		aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	42	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	30	JSA claimants for under 12 months	65	Elderly living alone	33	Unpaid carers	47	Distance to work	32	Satisfaction with GP surgery	43	Feeling safe at night	47	Housing in poor condition score	45
		Qualified adults	39	Self reported good health	47	Income deprivation	42	Married or cohabiting parents	41	Membership of local decision making group	29			Satisfaction with local hospital	62	All crime	47		
		Further qualified adults	47	Average adult mental wellbeing score	46	JSA/UC claimants	44	Lone parents	44	Feel can influence local decisions	33			Travel time to local GP	27	Burglary	23		
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	36	Comparative illness and disability	47	JSA claimants aged 50+	35	Lone parent claimants	44	Want more involvement in local decisions	59			Households close to GP surgery	30	Antisocial behaviour	51		
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	36	JSA claimants aged 18-24	46	Carer claimants	42	Formal volunteering	61			Further education provision	48	Violent crime	44		
						Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	39			Informal volunteering	58			Primary school provision	2				
						Older people in deprivation	49												
						Managing well financially	49												
						Average income	37												

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	30	22	37	28	33	25	35	12	50	62
		5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Barriers to housing and services			
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Housing satisfaction			
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Housing in poor condition score			
		Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group		Satisfaction with local hospital				
EDUCATION	Further qualified adults	38	26	26	23	24	45	47	22	13	22
		23	Average adult mental well being score	JSA/UC claimants	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	Antisocial behaviour			
		28	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour				
		22	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Formal volunteering	Further education provision	Violent crime				
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Primary school provision						
HEALTH	Long term health problem or disability	37	28	33	25	35	12	50	62	25	23
		22	Income support	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Barriers to housing and services			
		32	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Housing satisfaction			
		29	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Unpaid carers	Membership of local decision making group		Satisfaction with local hospital				
		38	Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	Antisocial behaviour			
WELLBEING	Average adult mental well being score	26	23	24	45	47	22	13	22	20	25
		23	JSA/UC claimants	Feel can influence local decisions	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour				
		28	JSA claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions	Formal volunteering	Further education provision	Violent crime				
		22	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Formal volunteering	Informal volunteering	Primary school provision					
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Informal volunteering							
MATERIAL	Income support	28	26	33	25	35	12	50	62	25	23
		26	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	Satisfaction with local police	Barriers to housing and services			
		45	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Unpaid carers	Membership of local decision making group		Satisfaction with local hospital				
		28	Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	Antisocial behaviour			
		23	JSA/UC claimants	Feel can influence local decisions	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour				
WELLBEING	Income support	28	26	33	25	35	12	50	62	25	23
		26	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	Satisfaction with local police	Barriers to housing and services			
		45	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Unpaid carers	Membership of local decision making group		Satisfaction with local hospital				
		28	Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	Antisocial behaviour			
		23	JSA/UC claimants	Feel can influence local decisions	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour				
WELLBEING	Income support	28	26	33	25	35	12	50	62	25	23
		26	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	Satisfaction with local police	Barriers to housing and services			
		45	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Unpaid carers	Membership of local decision making group		Satisfaction with local hospital				
		28	Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	Antisocial behaviour			
		23	JSA/UC claimants	Feel can influence local decisions	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour				

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

The Harbour Medical Practice

SELF			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
16	Local area satisfaction		
	EDUCATION	39	20
	5 A-C GCSEs		
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	31	40
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	26	20
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances		
	Self reported good health	34	43
	Qualified adults		
	Further qualified adults	33	37
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	32	32
	HEALTH		
	Long term health problem or disability		
	Years of potential life lost		
	Income support	31	
	WELLBEING		
	Income support		
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	30	
	JSA claimants for under 12 months		
	JSA claimants aged 50+		
	JSA claimants aged 18-24		
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		
	Older people in deprivation		
	Managing well financially		
	Average income		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

SUPPORT			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		
	Divorce rate	38	41
	Unemployed parents	41	38
	Elderly living alone	20	35
	Married or cohabiting parents	34	22
	Lone parents	39	24
	Lone parent claimants	55	61
	Carer claimants	20	52
	BELOING		
	Sense of neighbourhood belonging		
	Adults not feeling lonely		
	Unpaid carers		
	Membership of local decision making group		
	Feel can influence local decisions		
	Want more involvement in local decisions		
	Formal volunteering		
	Informal volunteering		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES							
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
	Travel time to employment centre	25	Satisfaction with local police	27	Perceived improvement in local crime	23	Barriers to housing and services
	Population close to employment centre	21	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	13	Feeling safe in the day	34	Housing satisfaction
	Distance to work	46	Satisfaction with GP surgery	63	Feeling safe at night	36	Housing in poor condition score
			Satisfaction with local hospital	58	All crime	29	
			Travel time to local GP	26	Burglary	2	
			Households close to GP surgery	22	Antisocial behaviour	36	
			Further education provision	58	Violent crime	24	
			Primary school provision	16			

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hailsham and Polegate Locality

Bridgeside Surgery

Hailsham and Polegate Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	EDUCATION		RANK	HEALTH		RANK	WELLBEING		RANK
Local area satisfaction		61	5 A-C GCSEs	58	31	Long term health problem or disability	31	41	Income support	41	
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	54	24	Years of potential life lost	24	32	Incapacity benefits or ESA	32		
		aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	60	51	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	51	48	JSA claimants for under 12 months	48		
		Qualified adults	40	31	Self reported good health	31	34	Income deprivation	34		
			Further qualified adults	54	41	Average adult mental well being score	41	33	JSA/UC claimants	33	
			Educational attainment for 11 year olds	66	30	Comparative illness and disability	30	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	18	
					38	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	38	64	JSA claimants aged 18-24	64	
								41	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	41	
								33	Older people in deprivation	33	
								50	Managing well financially	50	
								44	Average income	44	

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

KEY
 significantly worse than East Sussex average
 not significantly different to East Sussex average
 significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten practices
If number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

18

Downlands Medical Centre Hailsham and Polegate Locality Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES						
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	WELLBEING	RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	BELONGING	RANK	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
19	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	63	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	13	39	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	58	58	Barriers to housing and services
	5 A-C GCSEs	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA			Adults not feeling lonely	22	40	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	11	29	Housing satisfaction
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	58	Unemployed parents	Unpaid carers	11	66	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe at night	26	59	Housing in poor condition score
	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	23	Elderly living alone	Membership of local decision making group	58		Satisfaction with local hospital	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	All crime	15		
	Qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants	24	Married or cohabiting parents	Feel can influence local decisions	14		Travel time to local GP	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	17		
	Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	65	Lone parents	Want more involvement in local decisions	63		Households close to GP surgery	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	17		
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	26	Lone parent claimants	Formal volunteering	28		Further education provision	Further education provision	Violent crime	19		
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	28	Carer claimants	Informal volunteering	67		Primary school provision	Primary school provision				
			Older people in deprivation	26										
			Managing well financially	15										
			Average income	40										

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if **number is red** - within worst ten practices
if **number is green** - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Herstmonceux Health Centre

Hailsham and Polegate Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
37	Local area satisfaction								
	EDUCATION	29							
	5 A-C GCSEs	18							
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	7							
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	9							
	Qualified adults	15							
	Further qualified adults	23							
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	7							
	HEALTH								
	Long term health problem or disability	18							
	Years of potential life lost	7							
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	14							
	Income deprivation	16							
	JSA/UC claimants	16							
	JSA claimants aged 50+	7							
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	67							
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	14							
	Older people in deprivation	18							
	Managing well financially	9							
	Average income	20							
	WELLBEING								
	Income support	13							
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	14							
	JSA claimants for under 12 months	24							
	Income deprivation	16							
	JSA/UC claimants	16							
	JSA claimants aged 50+	7							
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	67							
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	14							
	Older people in deprivation	18							
	Managing well financially	9							
	Average income	20							
	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES								
	Divorce rate	22							
	Unemployed parents	15							
	Elderly living alone	32							
	Married or cohabiting parents	17							
	Lone parents	13							
	Lone parent claimants	1							
	Carer claimants	6							
	BELONGING								
	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	6							
	Adults not feeling lonely	30							
	Unpaid carers	31							
	Membership of local decision making group	14							
	Feel can influence local decisions	67							
	Want more involvement in local decisions	4							
	Formal volunteering	24							
	Informal volunteering	13							
	LOCAL ECONOMY								
	Travel time to employment centre	67							
	Population close to employment centre	66							
	Distance to work	55							
	PUBLIC SERVICE								
	Satisfaction with local police	58							
	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	62							
	Satisfaction with GP surgery	31							
	Satisfaction with local hospital	64							
	Travel time to local GP	49							
	Households close to GP surgery	56							
	Further education provision	54							
	Primary school provision	63							
	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR								
	Perceived improvement in local crime	18							
	Feeling safe in the day	35							
	Feeling safe at night	18							
	All crime	9							
	Burglary	26							
	Antisocial behaviour	6							
	Violent crime	14							
	INFRASTRUCTURE								
	Barriers to housing and services	20							
	Housing satisfaction	3							
	Housing in poor condition score	1							

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

22

23

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Seaford Medical Practice

Seaford Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF					SUPPORT					SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES																							
R	A	A	N	K	R	A	A	N	K	R	A	A	N	K																			
LIFE SATISFACTION	12	Local area satisfaction	EDUCATION	8	5 A-C GCSEs	HEALTH	59	Long term health problem or disability	WELLBEING	16	Income support	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	23	Divorce rate	BELONGING	14	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	LOCAL ECONOMY	34	Travel time to employment centre	39	Satisfaction with local police	39	Perceived improvement in local crime	43	Barriers to housing and services	63	Housing satisfaction	18	Housing in poor condition score	29		
	26	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		21	Years of potential life lost		27	Incapacity benefits or ESA		32	JSA claimants for under 12 months		28	Unemployed parents		33	Adults not feeling lonely		27	Population close to employment centre		33	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue		22	Feeling safe in the day		55	All crime	12			
	22	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training		35	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances		22	Income deprivation		26	JSA/UC claimants		25	Married or cohabiting parents		35	Feel can influence local decisions		39	Distance to work		34	Travel time to local GP		18	Antisocial behaviour		37	Households close to GP surgery		65	Further education provision	35
	28	Qualified adults		41	Self reported good health		26	JSA/UC claimants		36	Carer claimants		36	Formal volunteering		14	Informal volunteering		39	Distance to work		35	Primary school provision		17	Violent crime							
	25	Educational attainment for 11 year olds		24	Comparative illness and disability		60	JSA claimants aged 50+		19	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		6	Lone parent claimants		29	Formal volunteering																
				35	Adult mood and anxiety disorders																												

KEY

- Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
- if number is red - within worst ten practices
- if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

2016 WARM PRACTICE SUMMARIES: HASTINGS AND ROTHER CCG



Bexhill Locality

Collington Surgery

SELF			
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING
32	Local area satisfaction		
	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
			Older people in deprivation
			Managing well financially
			Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Bexhill Locality

SUPPORT			
RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING
	Divorce rate		Sense of neighbourhood belonging
	Unemployed parents		Adults not feeling lonely
	Elderly living alone		Unpaid carers
	Married or cohabiting parents		Membership of local decision making group
	Lone parents		Feel can influence local decisions
	Lone parent claimants		Want more involvement in local decisions
	Carer claimants		Formal volunteering
			Informal volunteering

Hastings and Rother CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES							
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
	Travel time to employment centre		Satisfaction with local police		Perceived improvement in local crime		Barriers to housing and services
	Population close to employment centre		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue		Feeling safe in the day		Housing satisfaction
	Distance to work		Satisfaction with GP surgery		Feeling safe at night		Housing in poor condition score
			Satisfaction with local hospital		All crime		
			Travel time to local GP		Burglary		
			Households close to GP surgery		Antisocial behaviour		
			Further education provision		Violent crime		
			Primary school provision				

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence interval is used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Little Common & Old Town Surgeries

SELF				SUPPORT			
LOCAL AREA SATISFACTION	RANK	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	RANK	MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK
Local area satisfaction	22	5 A-C GCSEs	31	Long term health problem or disability	66	Income support	38
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	36	Years of potential life lost	36	Incapacity benefits or ESA	38
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	36	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	36	JSA claimants for under 12 months	27
		Qualified adults	35	Self reported good health	50	Income deprivation	30
		Further qualified adults	32	Average adult mental wellbeing score	42	JSA/UC claimants	40
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	14	Comparative illness and disability	43	JSA claimants aged 50+	29
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	39	JSA claimants aged 18-24	38
						Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	38
						Older people in deprivation	27
						Managing well financially	14
						Average income	54

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Bexhill Locality

SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	RANK	BEHOLDING	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK
Divorce rate	34	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	26	Travel time to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local police	21
Unemployed parents	36	Adults not feeling lonely	35	Population close to employment centre	29	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	48
Elderly living alone	66	Unpaid carers	42	Distance to work	37	Satisfaction with GP surgery	28
Married or cohabiting parents	32	Membership of local decision making group	42			Satisfaction with local hospital	16
Lone parents	21	Feel can influence local decisions	58			Travel time to local GP	33
Lone parent claimants	13	Want more involvement in local decisions	25			Households close to GP surgery	23
Carer claimants	21	Formal volunteering	32			Further education provision	50
		Informal volunteering	29			Primary school provision	39

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hastings and Rother CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES				SUPPORT			
LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE	RANK
Travel time to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local police	21	Perceived improvement in local crime	51	Barriers to housing and services	59
Population close to employment centre	29	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	48	Feeling safe in the day	30	Housing satisfaction	19
Distance to work	37	Satisfaction with GP surgery	28	Feeling safe at night	39	Housing in poor condition score	42
		Satisfaction with local hospital	16	All crime	24		
		Travel time to local GP	33	Burglary	22		
		Households close to GP surgery	23	Antisocial behaviour	20		
		Further education provision	50	Violent crime	21		
		Primary school provision	39				

Pebsham Surgery

Bexhill Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	BELONGING	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
33	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability	67	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	35	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	25	Barriers to housing and services
	5 A-C GCSEs	Years of potential life lost	45	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	43	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	39	Housing satisfaction
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	62	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	38	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	42	Housing in poor condition score
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	Self reported good health	65	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	49		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	37	
	Qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	45	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	57		Travel time to local GP	Burglary	14	
	Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	53	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	33		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	37	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	61	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	34		Further education provision	Violent crime	39	
					Informal volunteering	30		Primary school provision			

KEY
 significantly worse than East Sussex average
 not significantly different to East Sussex average
 significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sidley Medical Practice

Bexhill Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

[illegible]

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

[illegible]

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst

if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Churchwood Medical Practice

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
60	Local area satisfaction	57	Long term health problem or disability	64	Income support	56	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	14	Satisfaction with local police	30	Barriers to housing and services
		64	Years of potential life lost	64	Incapacity benefits or ESA	63	Adults not feeling lonely	10	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	49	Housing satisfaction
		63	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	43	JSA claimants for under 12 months	2	Elderly living alone	64	Satisfaction with GP surgery	60	Housing in poor condition score
		66	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training			60	Married or cohabiting parents	43	Satisfaction with local hospital		
		67	Qualified adults	63	Income deprivation	60	Lone parents	27	Travel time to local GP	54	All crime
		65	Further qualified adults	59	JSA/UC claimants	60	Lone parent claimants	3	Households close to GP surgery	58	Antisocial behaviour
		66	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	23	JSA claimants aged 50+	51	Lone parent claimants	15	Further education provision	57	Violent crime
		63	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	30	JSA claimants aged 18-24	24	Carer claimants	9	Primary school provision		
				63	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			15			
				64	Older people in deprivation						
				61	Managing well financially						
				60	Average income						

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF					
LIFE SATISFACTION	RANK	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	RANK
Local area satisfaction	49	5 A-C GCSEs	32	Long term health problem or disability	51
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	45	Years of potential life lost	59
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	50	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	65
		Qualified adults	45	Self reported good health	49
		Further qualified adults	43	Average adult mental wellbeing score	52
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	49	Comparative illness and disability	58
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	62
MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK				
Income support	57	Income support	57		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	61	Incapacity benefits or ESA	61		
JSA claimants under 12 months	50	JSA claimants under 12 months	50		
Income deprivation	61	Income deprivation	61		
JSA/UC claimants	63	JSA/UC claimants	63		
JSA claimants aged 50+	34	JSA claimants aged 50+	34		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	19	JSA claimants aged 18-24	19		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	57	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	57		
Older people in deprivation	60	Older people in deprivation	60		
Managing well financially	56	Managing well financially	56		
Average income	46	Average income	46		
SUPPORT					
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING	RANK		
Divorce rate	61	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	46		
Unemployed parents	57	Adults not feeling lonely	50		
Elderly living alone	11	Unpaid carers	48		
Married or cohabiting parents	58	Membership of local decision making group	41		
Lone parents	56	Feel can influence local decisions	50		
Lone parent claimants	46	Want more involvement in local decisions	27		
Carer claimants	59	Formal volunteering	43		
		Informal volunteering	35		
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK
Travel time to employment centre	18	Satisfaction with local police	31	Perceived improvement in local crime	16
Population close to employment centre	15	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	26	Feeling safe in the day	60
Distance to work	13	Satisfaction with GP surgery	60	Feeling safe at night	61
		Satisfaction with local hospital	36	All crime	64
		Travel time to local GP	10	Burglary	57
		Households close to GP surgery	21	Antisocial behaviour	61
		Further education provision	6	Violent crime	64
		Primary school provision	10		
KEY significantly worse than East Sussex average not significantly different to East Sussex average significantly better than East Sussex average Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst if number is red - within worst ten practices if number is green - within best ten practices					
NOTES: 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results					

Harold Road Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF					
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING		
46	Local area satisfaction				
52	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	59	
59	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	52	
52	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	46	
47	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	56	
40	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	JSA/UC claimants	53	
46	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	45	
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	40	
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	61	
			Older people in deprivation	55	
			Managing well financially	58	
			Average income	53	
SUPPORT					
RANK	FAMILIES	BELONGING			
	STRONG AND STABLE				
54	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging			
61	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely			
26	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers			
61	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group			
59	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions			
61	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions			
43	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering			
		Informal volunteering			
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE	
38	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	8	
22	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	49	
8	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	43	
		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	48	
		Travel time to local GP	Burglary	51	
		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	47	
		Further education provision	Violent crime	50	
		Primary school provision			

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	52	EDUCATION	40	HEALTH	35	WELLBEING	58
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	47	Long term health problem or disability	35	Income support	56	
		aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	57	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	64	JSA claimants for under 12 months	51	
		Qualified adults	43	Self reported good health	45	Income deprivation	53	
		Further qualified adults	41	Average adult mental well being score	51	JSA/UC claimants	62	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	50	Comparative illness and disability	46	JSA claimants aged 50+	40		
			Adult mood and anxiety disorders	43	JSA claimants aged 18-24	37		
					Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	59		
					Older people in deprivation	53		
					Managing well financially	57		
					Average income	57		
					</			

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF					
LIFE SATISFACTION	RANK	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	RANK
Local area satisfaction	54	5 A-C GCSEs	46	Long term health problem or disability	47
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	62	Years of potential life lost	58
		aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	58	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	56
		Qualified adults	62	Self reported good health	55
		Further qualified adults	65	Average adult mental well being score	54
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	64	Comparative illness and disability	62
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	55
MATERIAL WELLBEING					
RANK	WELFARE	RANK	WELFARE	RANK	WELFARE
Income support	60	Income support	60	Income support	60
Incapacity benefits or ESA	58	Incapacity benefits or ESA	58	Incapacity benefits or ESA	58
JSA claimants for under 12 months	38	JSA claimants for under 12 months	38	JSA claimants for under 12 months	38
Income deprivation	58	Income deprivation	58	Income deprivation	58
JSA/UC claimants	51	JSA/UC claimants	51	JSA/UC claimants	51
JSA claimants aged 50+	30	JSA claimants aged 50+	30	JSA claimants aged 50+	30
JSA claimants aged 18-24	18	JSA claimants aged 18-24	18	JSA claimants aged 18-24	18
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	54	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	54	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	54
Older people in deprivation	59	Older people in deprivation	59	Older people in deprivation	59
Managing well financially	48	Managing well financially	48	Managing well financially	48
Average income	43	Average income	43	Average income	43
SUPPORT					
RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING	RANK	BELONGING
Divorce rate	45	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	47	Divorce rate	45
Unemployed parents	55	Adults not feeling lonely	47	Unemployed parents	55
Elderly living alone	8	Unpaid carers	43	Elderly living alone	8
Married or cohabiting parents	44	Membership of local decision making group	62	Married or cohabiting parents	44
Lone parents	51	Feel can influence local decisions	60	Lone parents	51
Lone parent claimants	47	Want more involvement in local decisions	49	Lone parent claimants	47
Carer claimants	29	Formal volunteering	58	Carer claimants	29
		Informal volunteering	61		
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR
Travel time to employment centre	6	Satisfaction with local police	6	Perceived improvement in local crime	13
Population close to employment centre	10	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	3	Feeling safe in the day	57
Distance to work	23	Satisfaction with GP surgery	35	Feeling safe at night	56
		Satisfaction with local hospital	39	All crime	45
		Travel time to local GP	13	Burglary	30
		Households close to GP surgery	16	Antisocial behaviour	46
		Further education provision	10	Violent crime	48
		Primary school provision	13		
NOTES: 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results					

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst

if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Roebuck House - Practice 3

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

[illegible]

Sedlescombe House Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
45	Local area satisfaction								
	EDUCATION	38							
	5 A-C GCSEs	56							
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	56							
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	55							
	Qualified adults	52							
	Further qualified adults	57							
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	61							
	HEALTH								
	Long term health problem or disability	56							
	Years of potential life lost	61							
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	38							
	Self reported good health	52							
	Average adult mental wellbeing score	57							
	Comparative illness and disability	61							
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	60							
	MATERIAL WELLBEING								
	Income support	52							
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	62							
	JSA claimants for under 12 months	41							
	Income deprivation	59							
	JSA/UC claimants	58							
	JSA claimants aged 50+	36							
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	13							
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	52							
	Older people in deprivation	62							
	Managing well financially	55							
	Average income	51							
	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES								
	Divorce rate	58							
	Unemployed parents	54							
	Elderly living alone	17							
	Married or cohabiting parents	55							
	Lone parents	58							
	Lone parent claimants	27							
	Carer claimants	55							
	BELONGING								
	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	44							
	Adults not feeling lonely	44							
	Unpaid carers	32							
	Membership of local decision making group	52							
	Feel can influence local decisions	56							
	Want more involvement in local decisions	56							
	Formal volunteering	49							
	Informal volunteering	39							
	LOCAL ECONOMY								
	Travel time to employment centre	16							
	Population close to employment centre	11							
	Distance to work	15							
	PUBLIC SERVICE								
	Satisfaction with local police	8							
	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	5							
	Satisfaction with GP surgery	57							
	Satisfaction with local hospital	26							
	Travel time to local GP	9							
	Households close to GP surgery	3							
	Further education provision	5							
	Primary school provision	5							
	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR								
	Perceived improvement in local crime	20							
	Feeling safe in the day	56							
	Feeling safe at night	60							
	All crime	51							
	Burglary	41							
	Antisocial behaviour	53							
	Violent crime	52							
	INFRASTRUCTURE								
	Barriers to housing and services	50							
	Housing satisfaction	58							
	Housing in poor condition score	27							

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

[illegible]

Silver Springs Medical Practice

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF					
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING		
47	Local area satisfaction				
37	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	53	
48	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	59	
51	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	40	
50	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	57	
55	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants	57	
55	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	33	
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	15	
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	50	
			Older people in deprivation	61	
			Managing well financially	53	
			Average income	48	
SUPPORT					
RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	BELONGING			
56	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	45		
53	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	40		
13	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	36		
51	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	50		
55	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	54		
32	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	53		
53	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	50		
		Informal volunteering	40		
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE	RANK
13	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services	22
8	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	Housing satisfaction	53
18	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	Housing in poor condition score	58
		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime		49
		Travel time to local GP	Burglary		40
		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour		52
		Further education provision	Violent crime		51
		Primary school provision			8
KEY significantly worse than East Sussex average not significantly different to East Sussex average significantly better than East Sussex average Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst if number is red - within worst ten practices if number is green - within best ten practices					
NOTES: 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results					

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
48	Local area satisfaction	30	5 A-C GCSEs	41	Long term health problem or disability	50	Income support	62	Divorce rate	43	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
		51	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	56	Years of potential life lost	54	Incapacity benefits or ESA	52	Unemployed parents	39	Adults not feeling lonely
		49	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	66	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	29	JSA claimants for under 12 months	10	Elderly living alone	13	Unpaid carers
		46	Qualified adults	62	Self reported good health	55	Income deprivation	48	Married or cohabiting parents	67	Membership of local decision making group
		59	Further qualified adults	63	Average adult mental well being score	52	JSA/UC claimants	49	Lone parents	62	Feel can influence local decisions
		34	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	56	Comparative illness and disability	47	JSA claimants aged 50+	48	Lone parent claimants	2	Want more involvement in local decisions
				49	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	27	JSA claimants aged 18-24	48	Carer claimants	66	Formal volunteering
						51	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			50	Informal volunteering
						54	Older people in deprivation				
						60	Managing well financially				
						38	Average income				
KEY significantly worse than East Sussex average not significantly different to East Sussex average significantly better than East Sussex average Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst if number is red - within worst ten practices if number is green - within best ten practices											
NOTES: 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results											

The Station Practice

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES														
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K		R A N K	R A N K		R A N K		R A N K										
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	57	EDUCATION	42	HEALTH	44	MATERIAL WELLBEING	62	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	64	BELONGING	50	LOCAL ECONOMY	24	PUBLIC SERVICE	30	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	5	INFRASTRUCTURE	43
			5 A-C GCSEs		Long term health problem or disability		Income support		Divorce rate		Sense of neighbourhood belonging		Travel time to employment centre		Satisfaction with local police		Perceived improvement in local crime		Barriers to housing and services	
			aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	56	Years of potential life lost	63	Incapacity benefits or ESA	63	Unemployed parents	64	Adults not feeling lonely	61	Population close to employment centre	14	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	25	Feeling safe in the day	61	Housing satisfaction	63
			aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	62	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	54	JSA claimants for under 12 months	54	Elderly living alone	15	Unpaid carers	41	Distance to work	6	Satisfaction with GP surgery	61	Feeling safe at night	62	Housing in poor condition score	23
			Qualified adults	52	Self reported good health	54	Income deprivation	64	Married or cohabiting parents	63	Membership of local decision making group	48			Satisfaction with local hospital	32	All crime	66		
			Further qualified adults	49	Average adult mental wellbeing score	60	JSA/UC claimants	64	Lone parents	62	Feel can influence local decisions	40			Travel time to local GP	8	Burglary	61		
			Educational attainment for 11 year olds	51	Comparative illness and disability	63	JSA claimants aged 50+	37	Lone parent claimants	52	Want more involvement in local decisions	39			Households close to GP surgery	26	Antisocial behaviour	65		
					Adult mood and anxiety disorders	64	JSA claimants aged 18-24	28	Carer claimants	62	Formal volunteering	45			Further education provision	8	Violent crime	66		
							Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	64			Informal volunteering	42			Primary school provision	3				
					Older people in deprivation	63														
					Managing well financially	62														
					Average income	61														

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Warrior Square Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES				
R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K	R	A	N	K	
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	65	53	HEALTH	62	65	BELONGING	67	17	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	9	31
		5 A-C GCSEs	57	Long term health problem or disability	67	Income support	67	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	17	Perceived improvement in local crime	67	Barriers to housing and services
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	57	Years of potential life lost	67	Incapacity benefits or ESA	67	Adults not feeling lonely	11	Feeling safe in the day	67	Housing satisfaction
		aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	53	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	57	JSA claimants under 12 months	52	Elderly living alone	12	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	59	Housing in poor condition score
		Qualified adults	55	Self reported good health	66	Income deprivation	67	Membership of local decision making group	28	Satisfaction with GP surgery	65	
		45	Further qualified adults	65	JSA/UC claimants	67	Lone parents	39	Travel time to local GP	64		
		48	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	67	JSA claimants aged 50+	31	Lone parent claimants	45	Households close to GP surgery	66		
				67	JSA claimants aged 18-24	9	Carer claimants	55	Further education provision	65		
					Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	65		53	Primary school provision			
					Older people in deprivation	67						
					Managing well financially	66						
					Average income	65						

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		</
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----

Rural Rother Locality

SELF					
LIFE SATISFACTION	RANK	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	RANK
Local area satisfaction	5	5 A-C GCSEs	14	Long term health problem or disability	14
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	15	Years of potential life lost	28
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	13	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	11
		Qualified adults	13	Self reported good health	1
		Further qualified adults	13	Average adult mental wellbeing score	9
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	4	Comparative illness and disability	17
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	17
MATERIAL WELLBEING					
RANK	MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK	WELFARE	RANK	WELFARE
15	Income support	15	Income support	15	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
					Older people in deprivation
13	Incapacity benefits or ESA	13	JSA claimants aged 18-24	11	Average income
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18	JSA claimants for under 12 months	18	JSA claimants aged 50+	14	
13	Income deprivation	13	JSA/UC claimants	18	
18					

Rural Rother Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Martins Oak Surgery

SELF				SUPPORT			
R	A	R	R	R	A	R	R
A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K
LIFE SATISFACTION	15	EDUCATION	5	HEALTH	22	MATERIAL WELLBEING	27
Local area satisfaction		5 A-C GCSEs		Long term health problem or disability		Income support	
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	14	Years of potential life lost	38	Incapacity benefits or ESA	21
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	11	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	33	JSA claimants for under 12 months	16
		Qualified adults	19	Self reported good health	7	Income deprivation	19
		Further qualified adults	14	Average adult mental wellbeing score	18	JSA/UC claimants	17
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	15	Comparative illness and disability	23	JSA claimants aged 50+	62
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	18	JSA claimants aged 18-24	62
				Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	22	Older people in deprivation	23
				Managing well financially	12	Average income	19

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

SUPPORT			
R	A	R	R
A	A	A	A
N	N	N	N
K	K	K	K
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	15	BEHOLDING	28
Divorce rate		Sense of neighbourhood belonging	
Unemployed parents	21	Adults not feeling lonely	17
Elderly living alone	47	Unpaid carers	49
Married or cohabiting parents	27	Membership of local decision making group	15
Lone parents	27	Feel can influence local decisions	11
Lone parent claimants	58	Want more involvement in local decisions	29
Carer claimants	9	Formal volunteering	18
		Informal volunteering	12

Hastings and Rother CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
R	A	R	R
A	A	A	A
N	N	N	N
K	K	K	K
LOCAL ECONOMY	58	PUBLIC SERVICE	3
Travel time to employment centre		Satisfaction with local police	
Population close to employment centre	61	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	28
Distance to work	53	Satisfaction with GP surgery	3
		Satisfaction with local hospital	25
		Travel time to local GP	57
		Households close to GP surgery	60
		Further education provision	55
		Primary school provision	66
		CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	24
		Perceived improvement in local crime	
		Feeling safe in the day	6
		Feeling safe at night	19
		All crime	26
		Burglary	36
		Antisocial behaviour	30
		Violent crime	7
		INFRASTRUCTURE	8
		Barriers to housing and services	
		Housing satisfaction	23
		Housing in poor condition score	13

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rural Rother Locality

Rural Rother Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rural Rother Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rural Rother Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rural Rother Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

2016 WARM PRACTICE SUMMARIES: HIGH WEALD LEWES HAVENS CCG



High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence interval is used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator to reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Belmont Surgery

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION									
Local area satisfaction	10								
EDUCATION									
5 A-C GCSEs	1	2							
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	4	3							
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	15	29							
Qualified adults	4	6							
Further qualified adults	6	2							
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	21	7							
HEALTH									
Long term health problem or disability									
Years of potential life lost									
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances									
Self reported good health									
Average adult mental wellbeing score									
Comparative illness and disability									
Adult mood and anxiety disorders									
WELLBEING									
Income support	3								
Income support benefits or ESA	4								
JSA claimants for under 12 months	1								
Income deprivation	3								
JSA/UC claimants	6								
JSA claimants aged 50+	2								
JSA claimants aged 18-24	1								
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	5								
Older people in deprivation	4								
Managing well financially	3								
Average income	4								
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES									
Divorce rate	7								
Unemployed parents	10								
Elderly living alone	27								
Married or cohabiting parents	6								
Lone parents	7								
Lone parent claimants	22								
Carer claimants	44								
BELONGING									
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	2								
Adults not feeling lonely	6								
Unpaid carers	27								
Membership of local decision making group	18								
Feel can influence local decisions	7								
Want more involvement in local decisions	11								
Formal volunteering	4								
Informal volunteering	7								
PUBLIC SERVICE									
Satisfaction with local police	66								
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	44								
Satisfaction with GP surgery	1								
Satisfaction with local hospital	15								
Travel time to local GP	60								
Households close to GP surgery	58								
Further education provision	23								
Primary school provision	67								
LOCAL ECONOMY									
Travel time to employment centre	61								
Population close to employment centre	59								
Distance to work	20								
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR									
Perceived improvement in local crime	64								
Feeling safe in the day	18								
Feeling safe at night	2								
All crime	13								
Burglary	55								
Antisocial behaviour	5								
Violent crime	13								
INFRASTRUCTURE									
Barriers to housing and services	4								
Housing satisfaction	4								
Housing in poor condition score	9								

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Bird-In-Eye Surgery

SELF				SUPPORT			
LOCAL AREA SATISFACTION	RANK	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	RANK	MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK
Local area satisfaction	17	5 A-C GCSEs	3	Long term health problem or disability	6	Income support	6
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	27	Years of potential life lost	6	Incapacity benefits or ESA	11
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	12	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	4	JSA claimants for under 12 months	12
		Qualified adults	15	Self reported good health	18	Income deprivation	11
		Further qualified adults	24	Average adult mental wellbeing score	15	JSA/UC claimants	10
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	13	Comparative illness and disability	11	JSA claimants aged 50+	58
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	10	JSA claimants aged 18-24	42
						Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	8
						Older people in deprivation	13
						Managing well financially	33
						Average income	11

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK
Divorce rate	19	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	30	Travel time to employment centre	40	Satisfaction with local police	4
Unemployed parents	11	Adults not feeling lonely	10	Population close to employment centre	46	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	2
Elderly living alone	9	Unpaid carers	64	Distance to work	48	Satisfaction with GP surgery	58
Married or cohabiting parents	11	Membership of local decision making group	61			Satisfaction with local hospital	2
Lone parents	12	Feel can influence local decisions	48			Travel time to local GP	62
Lone parent claimants	26	Want more involvement in local decisions	43			Households close to GP surgery	65
Carer claimants	15	Formal volunteering	30			Further education provision	34
		Informal volunteering	44			Primary school provision	27

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES				INFRASTRUCTURE			
LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	Barriers to housing and services	RANK
Travel time to employment centre	40	Satisfaction with local police	4	Perceived improvement in local crime	31		36
Population close to employment centre	46	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	2	Feeling safe in the day	12	Housing satisfaction	21
Distance to work	48	Satisfaction with GP surgery	58	Feeling safe at night	13	Housing in poor condition score	40
		Satisfaction with local hospital	2	All crime	16		
		Travel time to local GP	62	Burglary	9		
		Households close to GP surgery	65	Antisocial behaviour	26		
		Further education provision	34	Violent crime	22		
		Primary school provision	27				

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst

if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Heathfield Surgery

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF				SUPPORT				SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES				
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	BELONGING	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
20	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	17	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	54	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	41	Barriers to housing and services
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	25	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	52	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	15	Housing satisfaction
	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	53	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	58	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	17	Housing in poor condition score
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	37	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group			Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	5	
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants	19	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions			Travel time to local GP	Burglary	12	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	3	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions			Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	4	
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	11	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering			Further education provision	Violent crime	10	
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	48		Informal volunteering			Primary school provision			
			Older people in deprivation									
			Managing well financially									
			Average income	14								

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst

if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Saxonbury House Surgery

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF					SUPPORT					SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES				
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING	FAMILIES	BELONGING	RANK	RANK	RANK	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
29	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	9	5	29	29	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	15	Barriers to housing and services
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	8	5	23	23	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	20	Housing satisfaction
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	10	23	26	26	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	15	Housing in poor condition score
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	8	7	11	11		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	2	
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	2	9	26	26		Travel time to local GP	Burglary	5	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	54	20	12	12		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	15	
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	33	32	14	14		Further education provision	Violent crime	5	
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		Informal volunteering	11		23	23		Primary school provision			
			Older people in deprivation			9								
			Managing well financially			22								
			Average income			8								
KEY significantly worse than East Sussex average not significantly different to East Sussex average significantly better than East Sussex average Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst if number is red - within worst ten practices if number is green - within best ten practices														
NOTES: 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results														

Woodhill Surgery

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION			EDUCATION			STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES			LOCAL ECONOMY
Local area satisfaction	1		5 A-C GCSEs	4		Divorce rate	16		Travel time to employment centre
			aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	6		Unemployed parents	1		Population close to employment centre
			aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	1		Elderly living alone	50		Distance to work
			0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	10		Married or cohabiting parents	1		
			Self reported good health	2		Lone parents	1		
			Average adult mental wellbeing score	10		Lone parent claimants	4		
			Comparative illness and disability	3		Carer claimants	37		
			Adult mood and anxiety disorders	4					
			HEALTH						
			Long term health problem or disability	10					
			Years of potential life lost	4					
			JSA claimants for under 12 months	19					
			Income deprivation	1					
			JSA/UC claimants	11					
			JSA claimants aged 50+	4					
			JSA claimants aged 18-24	3					
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	2					
			Older people in deprivation	1					
			Managing well financially	11					
			Average income	7					
			WELLBEING						
			Income support	1					
			SENSE OF BELONGING						
			Sense of neighbourhood belonging	5					
			Adults not feeling lonely	2					
			Unpaid carers	6					
			Membership of local decision making group	13					
			Feel can influence local decisions	2					
			Want more involvement in local decisions	44					
			Formal volunteering	3					
			Informal volunteering	26					
			CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR						
			Perceived improvement in local crime	67					
			Feeling safe in the day	1					
			Feeling safe at night	3					
			All crime	1					
			Burglary	34					
			Antisocial behaviour	1					
			Violent crime	1					
			PUBLIC SERVICE						
			Satisfaction with local police	1					
			Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	42					
			Satisfaction with GP surgery	26					
			Satisfaction with local hospital	13					
			Travel time to local GP	54					
			Households close to GP surgery	59					
			Further education provision	59					
			Primary school provision	52					
			INFRASTRUCTURE						
			Barriers to housing and services	7					
			Housing satisfaction	1					
			Housing in poor condition score	15					

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes and Havens Locality

Chapel Street Surgery
Lewes and Havens Locality
High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES														
LIFE SATISFACTION	RANK	66	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	WELLBEING	RANK	FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE	RANK	
Local area satisfaction			5 A-C GCSEs	65	Long term health problem or disability	24	Income support	51	Divorce rate	46	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	55	Travel time to employment centre	51	Satisfaction with local police	37	Perceived improvement in local crime	37	Barriers to housing and services	12
			aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	60	Years of potential life lost	32	Incapacity benefits or ESA	42	Unemployed parents	44	Adults not feeling lonely	52	Population close to employment centre	6	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	50	Feeling safe in the day	42	Housing satisfaction	61
			aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	61	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	55	JSA claimants for under 12 months	31	Elderly living alone	28	Unpaid carers	5	Distance to work	44	Satisfaction with GP surgery	56	Feeling safe at night	45	Housing in poor condition score	56
			Qualified adults	60	Self reported good health	61	Income deprivation	44	Married or cohabiting parents	42	Membership of local decision making group	43			Satisfaction with local hospital	45	All crime	41		
			Further qualified adults	63	Average adult mental well being score	47	JSA/UC claimants	41	Lone parents	46	Feel can influence local decisions	63			Travel time to local GP	39	Burglary	18		
			Educational attainment for 11 year olds	59	Comparative illness and disability	37	JSA claimants aged 50+	17	Lone parent claimants	65	Want more involvement in local decisions	48			Households close to GP surgery	32	Antisocial behaviour	45		
					Adult mood and anxiety disorders	47	JSA claimants aged 18-24	55	Carer claimants	25	Formal volunteering	44			Further education provision	63	Violent crime	43		
							Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	47			Informal volunteering	15			Primary school provision	54				
							Older people in deprivation	38												
							Managing well financially	46												
							Average income	34												

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF					
LIFE SATISFACTION	RANK	EDUCATION	RANK	HEALTH	RANK
Local area satisfaction	62	5 A-C GCSEs	61	Long term health problem or disability	33
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	42	Years of potential life lost	26
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	31	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	25
		Qualified adults	53	Self reported good health	39
		Further qualified adults	57	Average adult mental well being score	48
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	33	Comparative illness and disability	35
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	53
MATERIAL WELLBEING	RANK	Income support	29	Income support benefits or ESA	39
		JSA claimants for under 12 months	33	JSA claimants aged 50+	42
		JSA claimants aged 18-24	10	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	31
		Older people in deprivation	35	Managing well financially	35
		Average income	28		
SUPPORT		FAMILIES	RANK	BELONGING	RANK
STRONG AND STABLE		Divorce rate	32	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	61
		Unemployed parents	31	Adults not feeling lonely	53
		Elderly living alone	31	Unpaid carers	7
		Married or cohabiting parents	35	Membership of local decision making group	59
		Lone parents	36	Feel can influence local decisions	55
		Lone parent claimants	16	Want more involvement in local decisions	18
		Carer claimants	47	Formal volunteering	60
				Informal volunteering	31
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES					
LOCAL ECONOMY	RANK	PUBLIC SERVICE	RANK	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK
Travel time to employment centre	43	Satisfaction with local police	47	Perceived improvement in local crime	29
Population close to employment centre	4	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	46	Feeling safe in the day	21
Distance to work	63	Satisfaction with GP surgery	27	Feeling safe at night	33
		Satisfaction with local hospital	20	All crime	18
		Travel time to local GP	15	Burglary	3
		Households close to GP surgery	10	Antisocial behaviour	23
		Further education provision	64	Violent crime	29
		Primary school provision	53		
NOTES: 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results					

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES				
RANK	EDUCATION	HEALTH	RANK	WELLBEING	RANK	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE
58	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability	36	Income support	54	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	32	Barriers to housing and services
	5 A-C GCSEs	Years of potential life lost	37	Incapacity benefits or ESA	55	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	27	Housing satisfaction
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	28	JSA claimants for under 12 months	8	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	35	Housing in poor condition score
	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	Self reported good health	41	Income deprivation	44		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	23	
	Qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	38	JSA/UC claimants	59		Travel time to local GP	Burglary	4	
	Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	57	JSA claimants aged 50+	20		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	28	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	17	JSA claimants aged 18-24	62		Further education provision	Violent crime	28	
			36	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	52		Primary school provision		55	
			45	Older people in deprivation						
			39	Managing well financially						
			31	Average income						

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:	
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score	
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results	

Mid Downs Medical Practice

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION									
Local area satisfaction	2								
EDUCATION	10	4							
5 A-C GCSEs		Long term health problem or disability							
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	3	Years of potential life lost	12						
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	8	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	12						
Qualified adults	5	Self reported good health	13						
Further qualified adults	7	Average adult mental wellbeing score	6						
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	1	Comparative illness and disability	9						
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	5						
WELLBEING									
Income support	4								
Income support benefits or ESA	3								
JSA claimants for under 12 months	1								
Income deprivation	5								
JSA/UC claimants	4								
JSA claimants aged 50+	3								
JSA claimants aged 18-24	21								
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	4								
Older people in deprivation	3								
Managing well financially	16								
Average income	2								
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES									
Divorce rate	2								
Unemployed parents	4								
Elderly living alone	7								
Married or cohabiting parents	2								
Lone parents	2								
Lone parent claimants	2								
Carer claimants	3								
BELONGING									
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	3								
Adults not feeling lonely	3								
Unpaid carers	12								
Membership of local decision making group	5								
Feel can influence local decisions	1								
Want more involvement in local decisions	8								
Formal volunteering	2								
Informal volunteering	5								
LOCAL ECONOMY									
Travel time to employment centre	62								
Population close to employment centre	55								
Distance to work	56								
PUBLIC SERVICE									
Satisfaction with local police	51								
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	47								
Satisfaction with GP surgery	20								
Satisfaction with local hospital	10								
Travel time to local GP	61								
Households close to GP surgery	63								
Further education provision	53								
Primary school provision	60								
CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR									
Perceived improvement in local crime	53								
Feeling safe in the day	7								
Feeling safe at night	5								
All crime	7								
Burglary	42								
Antisocial behaviour	3								
Violent crime	2								
INFRASTRUCTURE									
Barriers to housing and services	11								
Housing satisfaction	8								
Housing in poor condition score	4								

KEY
 significantly worse than East Sussex average
 not significantly different to East Sussex average
 significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes and Havens Locality

Lewes and Havens Locality

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst

if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF					SUPPORT					SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K	
14	Local area satisfaction	24	5 A-C GCSEs	17	Long term health problem or disability	21	Income support	31	Divorce rate	15	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	32	Travel time to employment centre	35	Satisfaction with local police	63	Perceived improvement in local crime	13	Barriers to housing and services
		8	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	14	Years of potential life lost	18	Incapacity benefits or ESA	23	Unemployed parents	11	Adults not feeling lonely	47	Population close to employment centre	24	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	8	Feeling safe in the day	27	Housing satisfaction
		25	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	9	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	7	JSA claimants for under 12 months	38	Elderly living alone	14	Unpaid carers	38	Distance to work	49	Satisfaction with GP surgery	9	Feeling safe at night	21	Housing in poor condition score
		10	Qualified adults	16	Self reported good health	21	Income deprivation	19	Married or cohabiting parents	6	Membership of local decision making group			6	Satisfaction with local hospital	30	All crime		
		8	Further qualified adults	14	Average adult mental well being score	22	JSA/UC claimants	20	Lone parents	4	Feel can influence local decisions			52	Travel time to local GP	37	Burglary		
		11	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	18	Comparative illness and disability	11	JSA claimants aged 50+	40	Lone parent claimants	26	Want more involvement in local decisions			54	Households close to GP surgery	34	Antisocial behaviour		
				23	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	57	JSA claimants aged 18-24	46	Carer claimants	9	Formal volunteering			41	Further education provision	23	Violent crime		
						25	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			1	Informal volunteering			34	Primary school provision				
						17	Older people in deprivation												
						24	Managing well financially												
						17	Average income												

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rowe Avenue Surgery

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
55	Local area satisfaction								
	EDUCATION								
	5 A-C GCSEs	62							
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	40							
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	24							
	Qualified adults	57							
	Further qualified adults	60							
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	29							
	HEALTH								
	Long term health problem or disability	49							
	Years of potential life lost	30							
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	52							
	Self reported good health	40							
	Average adult mental wellbeing score	44							
	Comparative illness and disability	40							
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	57							
	MATERIAL WELLBEING								
	Income support	34							
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	33							
	JSA claimants for under 12 months	26							
	Income deprivation	39							
	JSA/UC claimants	39							
	JSA claimants aged 50+	61							
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	16							
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	33							
	Older people in deprivation	46							
	Managing well financially	40							
	Average income	30							
	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES								
	Divorce rate	37							
	Unemployed parents	33							
	Elderly living alone	48							
	Married or cohabiting parents	36							
	Lone parents	37							
	Lone parent claimants	33							
	Carer claimants	45							
	BELONGING								
	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							
	Adults not feeling lonely	57							
	Unpaid carers	9							
	Membership of local decision making group	36							
	Feel can influence local decisions	52							
	Want more involvement in local decisions	21							
	Formal volunteering	59							
	Informal volunteering	43							
	LOCAL ECONOMY								
	Travel time to employment centre	47							
	Population close to employment centre	2							
	Distance to work	65							
	PUBLIC SERVICE								
	Satisfaction with local police	41							
	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	41							
	Satisfaction with GP surgery	51							
	Satisfaction with local hospital	19							
	Travel time to local GP	12							
	Households close to GP surgery	1							
	Further education provision	66							
	Primary school provision	56							
	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR								
	Perceived improvement in local crime	34							
	Feeling safe in the day	31							
	Feeling safe at night	34							
	All crime	25							
	Burglary	6							
	Antisocial behaviour	24							
	Violent crime	30							
	INFRASTRUCTURE								
	Barriers to housing and services	57							
	Housing satisfaction	36							
	Housing in poor condition score	65							

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

School Hill Medical Practice

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK
11	LIFE SATISFACTION								
	Local area satisfaction	17							
	EDUCATION								
	5 A-C GCSEs	15							
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	7							
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	21							
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	7							
	Self reported good health	22							
	Average adult mental wellbeing score	29							
	Further qualified adults	4							
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	9							
	HEALTH								
	Long term health problem or disability	15							
	Years of potential life lost	17							
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	16							
	JSA claimants for under 12 months	9							
	Income deprivation	24							
	JSA/UC claimants	27							
	JSA claimants aged 50+	6							
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	50							
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	20							
	Older people in deprivation	21							
	Managing well financially	26							
	Average income	18							
	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES								
	Divorce rate	29							
	Unemployed parents	27							
	Elderly living alone	35							
	Married or cohabiting parents	23							
	Lone parents	28							
	Lone parent claimants	37							
	Carer claimants	61							
	BELONGING								
	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	16							
	Adults not feeling lonely	13							
	Unpaid carers	24							
	Membership of local decision making group	7							
	Feel can influence local decisions	12							
	Want more involvement in local decisions	17							
	Formal volunteering	6							
	Informal volunteering	3							
	LOCAL ECONOMY								
	Travel time to employment centre	23							
	Population close to employment centre	43							
	Distance to work	30							
	PUBLIC SERVICE								
	Satisfaction with local police	25							
	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	17							
	Satisfaction with GP surgery	30							
	Satisfaction with local hospital	7							
	Travel time to local GP	53							
	Households close to GP surgery	51							
	Further education provision	36							
	Primary school provision	20							
	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR								
	Perceived improvement in local crime	60							
	Feeling safe in the day	16							
	Feeling safe at night	7							
	All crime	34							
	Burglary	21							
	Antisocial behaviour	40							
	Violent crime	32							
	INFRASTRUCTURE								
	Barriers to housing and services	28							
	Housing satisfaction	43							
	Housing in poor condition score	33							

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

- Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

St Andrews Surgery

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	EDUCATION	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	BELONGING	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	Housing satisfaction
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	Housing in poor condition score
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental wellbeing score	JSA/UC claimants	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions		Travel time to local GP	Burglary	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour	
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering		Further education provision	Violent crime	
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		Informal volunteering		Primary school provision		
			Older people in deprivation						
			Managing well financially						
			Average income						

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results



www.eastsussex.gov.uk

EAST SUSSEX COUNTY HALL
COUNTY HALL
ST ANNE'S CRESENT
LEWES BN7 1UE

PHONE 0345 60 80 190
FAX 01273 481261

PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 2016