

WELLBEING AND RESILIENCE MEASURE: EAST SUSSEX GP PRACTICE SUMMARIES

**Supplement to the Annual Report of
the Director of Public Health
2016-2017**



Contents

1	How to Read the GP Practice Summaries	4
2	2016 WARM Practice Summaries for Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG	5
	* Eastbourne Locality	6
	* Hailsham and Polegate Locality	17
	* Seaford Locality	24
3	2016 WARM Practice Summaries for Hastings and Rother CCG	26
	* Bexhill Locality	27
	* Hastings and St Leonards Locality	31
	* Rural Rother Locality	46
4	2016 WARM Practice Summaries for High Weald Lewes Havens CCG	53
	* High Weald Locality	54
	* Lewes and Havens Locality	66

How to read the GP Practice summaries

The GP Practice summaries use national and local data sources to present information on the 61 indicators of health and wellbeing which make up the three domains of the WARM tool: Self; Supports; Systems and Structures) and ten components within these domains.

Domain	Components
SELF	Life satisfaction
	Education
	Health
	Material wellbeing
SUPPORT	Strong & stable families
	Belonging
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	Local economy
	Public service
	Crime and anti-social behaviour
	Infrastructure

Indicators were modelled from ward to GP practice level by identifying wards in which patients live and allocating the population weighted average of the combined ward scores to each practice. There are two parts to each practice summary: RAG rating; and ranking of the practice.

A) RAG rating of indicators and components

Satisfaction with GP surgery
Satisfaction with local hospital
Travel time to local GP

Each of the 61 indicators has been coloured red, amber or green to represent the overall RAG rating for that indicator. Indicators are RAG rated based on 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) or, where this information is not available they are ranked using top and bottom quartiles. Red indicators are those which are significantly worse than the East Sussex average or in the worst quartile, amber indicators are similar to the East Sussex average and green indicators are significantly better than the East Sussex average or in the best quartile. From these, community assets and deficits have been identified to build a picture of community resilience across each practice.

‘Red indicators’ are identified as deficits and ‘Green indicators’ as assets. An overall RAG rating is also calculated for each component based on the number of red, amber and green indicators that constitute the component. ‘Red components’ are where the majority of indicators are identified as deficits and ‘Green components’ are where the majority of indicators are identified as assets.

B) Ranking of GP Practices for each indicator

For every indicator, the practice is ranked out of the total number of practices in East Sussex, with 1 being the best practice for that indicator and 67 being the worst. The ranking is included to highlight where the practice sits in the context of all practices in the county. Where the practice is within the ten best in the county the number is green, and where it is within the ten worst in the county it is red. Multiple practices can have the same ranking for an indicator if they have similar scores. A green ranking number does not necessarily mean that the indicator RAG rating will also be green if fewer than ten practices were significantly better than the East Sussex average for that indicator.

60
5
14

Summaries are ordered by CCG, and within each CCG alphabetically by locality and practice.

**2016 WARM PRACTICE
SUMMARIES:
EASTBOURNE, HAILSHAM
AND SEAFORD CCG**



Eastbourne Locality

Arlington Road Medical Practice

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
INDICATOR	RANK	INDICATOR	RANK	INDICATOR	RANK	INDICATOR	RANK
LIFE SATISFACTION	25	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	40	LOCAL ECONOMY	15	PUBLIC SERVICE	13
Local area satisfaction		Divorce rate	48	Travel time to employment centre	30	Satisfaction with local police	30
HEALTH	46	Unemployed parents	37	Population close to employment centre	33	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	40
Long term health problem or disability	48	Elderly living alone	56	Distance to work	24	Satisfaction with GP surgery	15
Years of potential life lost	41	Married or cohabiting parents	38	Membership of local decision making group	27	Satisfaction with local hospital	53
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	60	Lone parents	41	Feel can influence local decisions	29	Travel time to local GP	38
Self reported good health	35	Lone parent claimants	23	Want more involvement in local decisions	46	Households close to GP surgery	17
Average adult mental wellbeing score	43	Carer claimants	56	Formal volunteering	42	Further education provision	25
Further qualified adults	33	Income support	35	Informal volunteering	36	Primary school provision	24
Comparrative illness and disability	42	Income deprivation	37	WELBEING	35	BEHAVIOUR	30
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	41	JSA claimants aged 50+	52	Income support	46	Perceived improvement in local crime	60
EDUCATION	28	JSA claimants aged 18-24	36	Incapacity benefits or ESA	41	Feeling safe in the day	37
5 A-C GCSEs	21	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	32	JSA claimants for under 12 months	60	Feeling safe at night	40
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	32	Older people in deprivation	39	JSA claimants under 12 months	44	Feeling safe at night	40
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	24	Managing well financially	36	Income deprivation	35	INFRASTRUCTURE	38
Qualified adults	26	Average income	36	JSA/UC claimants	43	Barriers to housing and services	60
Further qualified adults	38	KEY		JSA claimants aged 50+	52	Housing satisfaction	37
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	42	Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst		JSA claimants aged 18-24	36	Housing in poor condition score	38
EDUCATION	38	significantly worse than East Sussex average		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	32		
Local area satisfaction	25	not significantly different to East Sussex average		Older people in deprivation	39		
		significantly better than East Sussex average		Managing well financially	36		
		Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst		Average income	36		
		If number is red - within worst ten practices					
		If number is green - within best ten practices					

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Bolton House Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	40		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	44		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	25	54	
aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	37	34	
Qualified adults	29	56	
Further qualified adults	31	49	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	44	48	

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	36		
Years of potential life lost	54	63	
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	34		
Self reported good health	56	46	
Average adult mental wellbeing score	49	56	
Comparative illness and disability	48	59	
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	48	20	

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	43		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	49		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	63		
Income deprivation	46		
JSA/UC claimants	56		
JSA claimants aged 50+	59		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	20		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	40		
Older people in deprivation	52		
Managing well financially	52		
Average income	50		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	49		
Unemployed parents	42		
Elderly living alone	55		
Married or cohabiting parents	52		
Lone parents	53		
Lone parent claimants	29		
Carer claimants	64		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	65		
Adults not feeling lonely	62		
Unpaid carers	62		
Membership of local decision making group	30		
Feel can influence local decisions	37		
Want more involvement in local decisions	40		
Formal volunteering	63		
Informal volunteering	55		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	1		
Population close to employment centre	25		
Distance to work	4		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	22		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	19		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	48		
Satisfaction with local hospital	60		
Travel time to local GP	5		
Households close to GP surgery	14		
Further education provision	21		
Primary school provision	14		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	21		
Feeling safe in the day	52		
Feeling safe at night	49		
All crime	62		
Burglary	56		
Antisocial behaviour	63		
Violent crime	61		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	65		
Housing satisfaction	57		
Housing in poor condition score	24		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Enys Road Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	23		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	25	58	
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	10	52	
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	38	19	
Qualified adults	18	46	
Further qualified adults	18	36	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	39	44	
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	58		
Years of potential life lost	52		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	19	61	
Self reported good health	46		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	36	49	
Comparative illness and disability	44	66	
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	51	22	
WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	32		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	43		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	61		
Income deprivation	38		
JSA/UC claimants	49		
JSA claimants aged 50+	66		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	22		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	29		
Older people in deprivation	47		
Managing well financially	41		
Average income	39		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	44	62	
Unemployed parents	30	45	
Elderly living alone	61		
Married or cohabiting parents	40	9	
Lone parents	40	34	
Lone parent claimants	15	28	
Carer claimants	65	46	
Informal volunteering	25		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	62		
Adults not feeling lonely	45		
Unpaid carers	61		
Membership of local decision making group	9		
Feel can influence local decisions	34		
Want more involvement in local decisions	28		
Formal volunteering	46		
Informal volunteering	25		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	4		
Population close to employment centre	30		
Distance to work	9		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	10		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	31		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	9		
Satisfaction with local hospital	59		
Travel time to local GP	14		
Households close to GP surgery	9		
Further education provision	19		
Primary school provision	18		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	33		
Feeling safe in the day	44		
Feeling safe at night	38		
All crime	52		
Burglary	43		
Antisocial behaviour	57		
Violent crime	47		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	66		
Housing satisfaction	41		
Housing in poor condition score	26		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Green Street Clinic

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
9	Local area satisfaction	18	5 A-C GCSEs	27	Long term health problem or disability	26	Income support
		9	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	41	Years of potential life lost	28	Incapacity benefits or ESA
		33	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	23	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	55	JSA claimants for under 12 months
		14	Qualified adults	29	Self reported good health	27	Income deprivation
		11	Further qualified adults	21	Average adult mental wellbeing score	34	JSA/UC claimants
		27	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	29	Comparative illness and disability	28	JSA claimants aged 50+
		32	Adult mood and anxiety disorders		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	61	JSA claimants aged 18-24
						21	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
						29	Older people in deprivation
						23	Managing well financially
						27	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
27	Divorce rate	21	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
24	Unemployed parents	21	Adults not feeling lonely
52	Elderly living alone	51	Unpaid carers
33	Married or cohabiting parents	32	Membership of local decision making group
31	Lone parents	28	Feel can influence local decisions
12	Lone parent claimants	37	Want more involvement in local decisions
52	Carer claimants	31	Formal volunteering
		27	Informal volunteering

LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
30	Travel time to employment centre	2	Satisfaction with local police	47	Perceived improvement in local crime	67	Barriers to housing and services
37	Population close to employment centre	29	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	32	Feeling safe in the day	24	Housing satisfaction
21	Distance to work	29	Satisfaction with GP surgery	30	Feeling safe at night	48	Housing in poor condition score
		50	Satisfaction with local hospital	33	All crime		
		16	Travel time to local GP	29	Burglary		
		5	Households close to GP surgery	35	Antisocial behaviour		
		18	Further education provision	33	Violent crime		
		23	Primary school provision				

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lighthouse Medical Practice

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	43		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	57	40	
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	38	50	
aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	47	26	59
Qualified adults	33	36	
Further qualified adults	37	34	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	54	49	
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	40	46	
Years of potential life lost	50	47	
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	26	59	
Self reported good health	36	45	
Average adult mental wellbeing score	34	42	
Comparative illness and disability	49	51	
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	44	45	
WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	46		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	47		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	59		
Income deprivation	45		
JSA/UC claimants	42		
JSA claimants aged 50+	51		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	45		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	45		
Older people in deprivation	44		
Managing well financially	37		
Average income	47		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	42	63	
Unemployed parents	46	34	
Elderly living alone	53	58	
Married or cohabiting parents	49	31	
Lone parents	52	32	
Lone parent claimants	49	57	
Carer claimants	49	41	
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	63		
Adults not feeling lonely	34		
Unpaid carers	58		
Membership of local decision making group	31		
Feel can influence local decisions	32		
Want more involvement in local decisions	57		
Formal volunteering	41		
Informal volunteering	49		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	26		
Population close to employment centre	34		
Distance to work	49		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	23		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	20		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	45		
Satisfaction with local hospital	51		
Travel time to local GP	29		
Households close to GP surgery	27		
Further education provision	32		
Primary school provision	19		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	4		
Feeling safe in the day	55		
Feeling safe at night	48		
All crime	46		
Burglary	39		
Antisocial behaviour	50		
Violent crime	45		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	51		
Housing satisfaction	51		
Housing in poor condition score	49		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Park Practice

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	53		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	48		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	58		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	43		
Qualified adults	59		
Further qualified adults	58		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	53		

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	43		
Years of potential life lost	47		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	48		
Self reported good health	60		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	67		
Comparative illness and disability	51		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	42		

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	55		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	50		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	66		
Income deprivation	51		
JSA/UC claimants	47		
JSA claimants aged 50+	19		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	66		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	53		
Older people in deprivation	51		
Managing well financially	63		
Average income	55		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	50		
Unemployed parents	51		
Elderly living alone	36		
Married or cohabiting parents	56		
Lone parents	57		
Lone parent claimants	57		
Carer claimants	18		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	49		
Adults not feeling lonely	65		
Unpaid carers	57		
Membership of local decision making group	54		
Feel can influence local decisions	46		
Want more involvement in local decisions	67		
Formal volunteering	54		
Informal volunteering	63		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	19		
Population close to employment centre	20		
Distance to work	52		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	26		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	34		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	8		
Satisfaction with local hospital	49		
Travel time to local GP	31		
Households close to GP surgery	12		
Further education provision	22		
Primary school provision	21		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	10		
Feeling safe in the day	65		
Feeling safe at night	63		
All crime	43		
Burglary	49		
Antisocial behaviour	43		
Violent crime	41		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	49		
Housing satisfaction	55		
Housing in poor condition score	64		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Seaside Medical Centre

Eastbourne Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES								
INDICATOR	SCORE	RANK	INDICATOR	SCORE	RANK	INDICATOR	SCORE	RANK	INDICATOR	SCORE	RANK	INDICATOR	SCORE	RANK
LIFE SATISFACTION	44		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	57	66	LOCAL ECONOMY	7		PUBLIC SERVICE	33	61	INFRASTRUCTURE	61	
Local area satisfaction			Divorce rate			Travel time to employment centre			Satisfaction with local police			Barriers to housing and services		
EDUCATION	49	28	Unemployed parents	45	64	Population close to employment centre	19		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	14	59	Housing satisfaction	62	
5 A-C GCSEs			Elderly living alone	39	56	Distance to work	17		Satisfaction with GP surgery	6	63	Housing in poor condition score	31	
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	34	51	Married or cohabiting parents	53	33				Satisfaction with local hospital	60				
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	48	16	Lone parents	54	41				Travel time to local GP	44				
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	16	64	Lone parent claimants	41	51				Households close to GP surgery	24	62			
Self reported good health	58	58	Carer claimants	58	65				Further education provision	28	60			
Average adult mental wellbeing score	61	61	Formal volunteering	65	65				Primary school provision	4				
Comparative illness and disability	52	52	Informal volunteering	59	59									
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	45	45												
WELLBEING	47	47												
Income support	47	47												
Income deprivation	52	52												
JSA/UC claimants	55	55												
JSA claimants aged 50+	53	53												
JSA claimants aged 18-24	35	35												
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	43	43												
Older people in deprivation	56	56												
Managing well financially	59	59												
Average income	52	52												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sovereign Practice

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	35		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	47		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	37		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	42		
Qualified adults	39		
Further qualified adults	47		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	36		
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	25		
Years of potential life lost	46		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	30		
Self reported good health	47		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	46		
Comparative illness and disability	47		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	36		
WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	44		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	45		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	65		
Income deprivation	42		
JSA/UC claimants	44		
JSA claimants aged 50+	35		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	46		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	39		
Older people in deprivation	49		
Managing well financially	49		
Average income	37		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	47		
Unemployed parents	43		
Elderly living alone	33		
Married or cohabiting parents	41		
Lone parents	44		
Lone parent claimants	44		
Carer claimants	42		
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	58		
Adults not feeling lonely	48		
Unpaid carers	47		
Membership of local decision making group	29		
Feel can influence local decisions	33		
Want more involvement in local decisions	59		
Formal volunteering	61		
Informal volunteering	58		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	14		
Population close to employment centre	26		
Distance to work	32		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	29		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	12		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	43		
Satisfaction with local hospital	62		
Travel time to local GP	27		
Households close to GP surgery	30		
Further education provision	48		
Primary school provision	2		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	17		
Feeling safe in the day	45		
Feeling safe at night	47		
All crime	47		
Burglary	23		
Antisocial behaviour	51		
Violent crime	44		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	52		
Housing satisfaction	56		
Housing in poor condition score	45		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Stone Cross Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	30		

SELF		RANK	
EDUCATION	22	5 A-C GCSEs	37
	32	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	27
	29	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	53
	38	Qualified adults	26
	38	Further qualified adults	26
	23	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	28
HEALTH		Long term health problem or disability	
		Years of potential life lost	
		0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	
		Self reported good health	
		Average adult mental wellbeing score	
		Comparative illness and disability	
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	28		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	26		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	45		
Income deprivation	28		
JSA/UC claimants	23		
JSA claimants aged 50+	63		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	51		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	23		
Older people in deprivation	32		
Managing well financially	13		
Average income	26		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

SUPPORT		RANK	
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	33	Divorce rate	25
	22	Unemployed parents	12
	42	Elderly living alone	22
	26	Married or cohabiting parents	63
	24	Lone parents	45
	31	Lone parent claimants	38
	8	Carer claimants	27
BELONGING		Sense of neighbourhood belonging	
		Adults not feeling lonely	
		Unpaid carers	
		Membership of local decision making group	
		Feel can influence local decisions	
		Want more involvement in local decisions	
		Formal volunteering	
		Informal volunteering	

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	35		
Population close to employment centre	44		
Distance to work	67		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	12		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	39		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	54		
Satisfaction with local hospital	47		
Travel time to local GP	25		
Households close to GP surgery	19		
Further education provision	57		
Primary school provision	42		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	50		
Feeling safe in the day	23		
Feeling safe at night	32		
All crime	22		
Burglary	13		
Antisocial behaviour	22		
Violent crime	20		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	42		
Housing satisfaction	25		
Housing in poor condition score	62		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

The Harbour Medical Practice

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	16		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	39		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	31		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	26		
Qualified adults	34		
Further qualified adults	33		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	32		
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	20		
Years of potential life lost	40		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	20		
Self reported good health	43		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	37		
Comparative illness and disability	32		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	28		
WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	31		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	30		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	67		
Income deprivation	32		
JSA/UC claimants	31		
JSA claimants aged 50+	16		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	59		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	34		
Older people in deprivation	28		
Managing well financially	38		
Average income	23		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Eastbourne Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	38		
Unemployed parents	41		
Elderly living alone	20		
Married or cohabiting parents	34		
Lone parents	39		
Lone parent claimants	55		
Carer claimants	20		
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	41		
Adults not feeling lonely	38		
Unpaid carers	35		
Membership of local decision making group	22		
Feel can influence local decisions	24		
Want more involvement in local decisions	61		
Formal volunteering	52		
Informal volunteering	64		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	25		
Population close to employment centre	21		
Distance to work	46		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	27		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	13		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	63		
Satisfaction with local hospital	58		
Travel time to local GP	26		
Households close to GP surgery	22		
Further education provision	58		
Primary school provision	16		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	23		
Feeling safe in the day	34		
Feeling safe at night	36		
All crime	29		
Burglary	2		
Antisocial behaviour	36		
Violent crime	24		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	15		
Housing satisfaction	45		
Housing in poor condition score	52		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Crescent Medical Centre

Hailsham and Polegate Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK
51	Local area satisfaction	30	40	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	59	20	Travel time to employment centre	27
45	5 A-C GCSEs	21	36	Adults not feeling lonely	58	49	Population close to employment centre	28
39	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	29	50	Unpaid carers	65	25	Distance to work	44
41	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	49	60	Membership of local decision making group	44	39	Satisfaction with GP surgery	41
41	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	22	53	Feel can influence local decisions	44	39	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	37
32	Self reported good health	23	63	Want more involvement in local decisions	44	39	Satisfaction with local police	48
32	Qualified adults	23	24	Formal volunteering	44	39	Perceived improvement in local crime	40
36	Further qualified adults	34	24	Informal volunteering	44	39	Feeling safe in the day	37
63	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	34	24	Carer claimants	44	39	Feeling safe at night	41
26	Comparative illness and disability	34	24	Lone parent claimants	44	39	All crime	40
31	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	34	24	Lone parent claimants	44	39	Burglary	52
		63	24	Carer claimants	44	39	Antisocial behaviour	32
		30	24	Carer claimants	44	39	Violent crime	40
		30	24	Carer claimants	44	39	Primary school provision	37
		31	24	Carer claimants	44	39		
		31	24	Carer claimants	44	39		
		32	24	Carer claimants	44	39		
		32	24	Carer claimants	44	39		
		32	24	Carer claimants	44	39		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Downlands Medical Centre Hailsham and Polegate Locality Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES										
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	
19	Local area satisfaction															
13	5 A-C GCSEs	63	24	Income support	24	13	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	50	Satisfaction with local police	39	Travel time to employment centre	58	Perceived improvement in local crime	58	Barriers to housing and services	
30	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	20	25	Incapacity benefits or ESA	19	22	Adults not feeling lonely	57	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	40	Population close to employment centre	11	Feeling safe in the day	29	Housing satisfaction	
35	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	58	22	JSA claimants for under 12 months	62	11	Unpaid carers	36	Satisfaction with GP surgery	66	Distance to work	26	Feeling safe at night	59	Housing in poor condition score	
37	Qualified adults	28	23	Income deprivation	24	58	Membership of local decision making group	61	Satisfaction with local hospital							
34	Further qualified adults	23	24	JSA/UC claimants	29	14	Feel can influence local decisions	20	Travel time to local GP							
19	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	25	65	JSA claimants aged 50+	7	63	Want more involvement in local decisions	25	Households close to GP surgery							
		27	26	JSA claimants aged 18-24	7	28	Formal volunteering	49	Further education provision							
			28	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		67	Informal volunteering	57	Primary school provision							
			26	Older people in deprivation												
			15	Managing well financially												
			40	Average income												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Herstmonceux Health Centre

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	37		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	29	18	
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	24	7	
aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	9	14	
Qualified adults	25	15	
Further qualified adults	23	19	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	7	14	

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	18		
Years of potential life lost	7		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	14		
Self reported good health	15		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	19		
Comparative illness and disability	14		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	7		

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	13		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	14		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	24		
Income deprivation	16		
JSA/UC claimants	16		
JSA claimants aged 50+	7		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	67		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	14		
Older people in deprivation	18		
Managing well financially	9		
Average income	20		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 If number is red - within worst ten practices
 If number is green - within best ten practices

Hailsham and Polegate Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	22		
Unemployed parents	15		
Elderly living alone	32		
Married or cohabiting parents	17		
Lone parents	13		
Lone parent claimants	1		
Carer claimants	6		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	6		
Adults not feeling lonely	30		
Unpaid carers	31		
Membership of local decision making group	14		
Feel can influence local decisions	67		
Want more involvement in local decisions	4		
Formal volunteering	24		
Informal volunteering	13		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	67		
Population close to employment centre	66		
Distance to work	55		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	58		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	62		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	31		
Satisfaction with local hospital	64		
Travel time to local GP	49		
Households close to GP surgery	56		
Further education provision	54		
Primary school provision	63		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	18		
Feeling safe in the day	35		
Feeling safe at night	18		
All crime	9		
Burglary	26		
Antisocial behaviour	6		
Violent crime	14		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	20		
Housing satisfaction	3		
Housing in poor condition score	1		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Quintin Medical Centre

Hailsham and Polegate Locality

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
42	Local area satisfaction														
33	5 A-C GCSEs	21	23	Income support	12	31	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	44	Travel time to employment centre	60	Satisfaction with local police	52	Perceived improvement in local crime	16	Barriers to housing and services
29	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	19	22	Incapacity benefits or ESA	16	27	Adults not feeling lonely	54	Population close to employment centre	60	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	24	Feeling safe in the day	13	Housing satisfaction
18	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	43	23	JSA claimants for under 12 months	45	40	Unpaid carers	36	Distance to work	46	Satisfaction with GP surgery	28	Feeling safe at night	20	Housing in poor condition score
26	Qualified adults	21	17	Income deprivation	14	45	Membership of local decision making group			57	Satisfaction with local hospital	36	All crime		
30	Further qualified adults	20	21	JSA/UC claimants	18	44	Feel can influence local decisions			58	Travel time to local GP	47	Burglary		
57	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	20	25	JSA claimants aged 50+	36	9	Want more involvement in local decisions			53	Households close to GP surgery	25	Antisocial behaviour		
		25	60	JSA claimants aged 18-24	33	23	Formal volunteering			29	Further education provision	36	Violent crime		
		18		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		20	Informal volunteering			41	Primary school provision				
		22		Older people in deprivation											
		8		Managing well financially											
		24		Average income											

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Seaford Locality

Old School Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	7		

SELF		RANK	
EDUCATION	15	55	18
5 A-C GCSEs	22	18	13
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	20	32	12
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	21	19	24
Qualified adults	17	29	
Further qualified adults			
Educational attainment for 11 year olds			

MATERIAL WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	18	24	34
Incapacity benefits or ESA	24	15	20
JSA claimants for under 12 months	34	15	48
Income deprivation	15	14	16
JSA/UC claimants	20	11	2
JSA claimants aged 50+	48	11	25
JSA claimants aged 18-24	14		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	16		
Older people in deprivation	11		
Managing well financially	2		
Average income	25		

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	55	18	13
Years of potential life lost	18	32	12
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	13	19	24
Self reported good health	32	12	29
Average adult mental wellbeing score	12		
Comparative illness and disability	19		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	29		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten practices
If number is green - within best ten practices

Seaford Locality

SUPPORT		RANK	
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	18	25	58
Divorce rate	25	20	18
Unemployed parents	58	10	8
Elderly living alone	20	8	60
Married or cohabiting parents	20	41	19
Lone parents	22	9	
Lone parent claimants	8		
Carer claimants	41		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	8	19	9
Adults not feeling lonely	20		
Unpaid carers	18		
Membership of local decision making group	10		
Feel can influence local decisions	8		
Want more involvement in local decisions	60		
Formal volunteering	19		
Informal volunteering	9		

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	56	54	14
Population close to employment centre	57	17	9
Distance to work	50	20	50

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		RANK	
PUBLIC SERVICE	45	48	10
Satisfaction with local police	45	7	
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	53	16	
Satisfaction with GP surgery	41	16	
Satisfaction with local hospital	48	15	
Travel time to local GP	45		
Households close to GP surgery	42		
Further education provision	61		
Primary school provision	43		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	54		
Feeling safe in the day	17		
Feeling safe at night	20		
All crime	10		
Burglary	7		
Antisocial behaviour	16		
Violent crime	15		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	14		
Housing satisfaction	9		
Housing in poor condition score	50		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		
RANK	INDICATOR	RANK
33	Barriers to housing and services	43
18	Housing satisfaction	22
63	Housing in poor condition score	29
39	Satisfaction with local police	33
10	Satisfaction with GP surgery	55
34	Travel time to local GP	37
65	Further education provision	35
34	Travel time to employment centre	34
27	Population close to employment centre	39
39	Distance to work	

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Seaford Locality

SUPPORT		
RANK	INDICATOR	RANK
23	Divorce rate	14
28	Unemployed parents	33
57	Elderly living alone	16
25	Married or cohabiting parents	26
26	Lone parents	35
6	Lone parent claimants	36
36	Carer claimants	29
		14

Seaford Medical Practice

SELF		
RANK	INDICATOR	RANK
12	Local area satisfaction	
8	5 A-C GCSEs	59
26	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	21
22	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	35
28	Qualified adults	41
28	Further qualified adults	13
25	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	24
		35
		16
		27
		32
		22
		26
		60
		24
		19
		15
		17
		29

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

2016 WARM PRACTICE SUMMARIES: HASTINGS AND ROTHER CCG



Pebsham Surgery

Bexhill Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		
INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	33	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Divorce rate	55	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police	7
HEALTH	Long term health problem or disability	67	BEHOLDING	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	35	LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to employment centre	17
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	34	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Unemployed parents	50	LOCAL ECONOMY	Population close to employment centre	31
HEALTH	Years of potential life lost	45	BEHOLDING	Elderly living alone	67	LOCAL ECONOMY	Distance to work	29
HEALTH	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	62	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Married or cohabiting parents	50	LOCAL ECONOMY	Satisfaction with GP surgery	32
HEALTH	Self reported good health	65	BEHOLDING	Lone parents	43	LOCAL ECONOMY	Satisfaction with local hospital	14
HEALTH	Average adult mental wellbeing score	45	BEHOLDING	Lone parent claimants	17	LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to local GP	19
HEALTH	Comparative illness and disability	53	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Carer claimants	39	LOCAL ECONOMY	Households close to GP surgery	7
HEALTH	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	61	BEHOLDING	Formal volunteering	34	LOCAL ECONOMY	Further education provision	39
WELLBEING	Income support	48	BEHOLDING	Informal volunteering	30	LOCAL ECONOMY	Primary school provision	33
WELLBEING	Incapacity benefits or ESA	53	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Memberships of local decision making group	49	LOCAL ECONOMY	Violent crime	39
WELLBEING	JSA claimants for under 12 months	39	BEHOLDING	Feel can influence local decisions	57	LOCAL ECONOMY	All crime	37
WELLBEING	Income deprivation	49	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Want more involvement in local decisions	33	LOCAL ECONOMY	Burglary	14
WELLBEING	JSA/UC claimants	46	BEHOLDING	Formal volunteering	34	LOCAL ECONOMY	Antisocial behaviour	37
WELLBEING	JSA claimants aged 50+	49	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Informal volunteering	30	LOCAL ECONOMY	Violent crime	39
WELLBEING	JSA claimants aged 18-24	39	BEHOLDING	Average income	67	LOCAL ECONOMY	Housing in poor condition score	30
WELLBEING	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	46	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES			LOCAL ECONOMY	Barriers to housing and services	56
WELLBEING	Older people in deprivation	42	BEHOLDING			LOCAL ECONOMY	Housing satisfaction	33
WELLBEING	Managing well financially	30	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES			LOCAL ECONOMY		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sidley Medical Practice

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	41		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	56		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	50		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	56		
Qualified adults	56		
Further qualified adults	50		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	28		
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	65		
Years of potential life lost	43		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	47		
Self reported good health	63		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	59		
Comparative illness and disability	54		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	56		
MATERIAL WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	56		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	55		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	44		
Income deprivation	54		
JSA/UC claimants	54		
JSA claimants aged 50+	22		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	54		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	58		
Older people in deprivation	48		
Managing well financially	45		
Average income	66		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Bexhill Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	48		
Unemployed parents	58		
Elderly living alone	65		
Married or cohabiting parents	59		
Lone parents	63		
Lone parent claimants	35		
Carer claimants	38		
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	37		
Adults not feeling lonely	54		
Unpaid carers	37		
Membership of local decision making group	46		
Feel can influence local decisions	51		
Want more involvement in local decisions	23		
Formal volunteering	37		
Informal volunteering	41		

Hastings and Rother CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	41		
Population close to employment centre	42		
Distance to work	31		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	5		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	32		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	19		
Satisfaction with local hospital	17		
Travel time to local GP	24		
Households close to GP surgery	13		
Further education provision	47		
Primary school provision	28		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	26		
Feeling safe in the day	50		
Feeling safe at night	50		
All crime	38		
Burglary	16		
Antisocial behaviour	38		
Violent crime	38		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	63		
Housing satisfaction	48		
Housing in poor condition score	36		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Beaconsfield Road Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES							
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
50	Local area satisfaction	41	5 A-C GCSEs	42	Long term health problem or disability	61	Income support	59	Divorce rate	38	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	36	Travel time to employment centre	18	Satisfaction with local police	11	Perceived improvement in local crime	38	Barriers to housing and services
		55	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	57	Years of potential life lost	57	Incapacity benefits or ESA	59	Unemployed parents	41	Adults not feeling lonely	16	Population close to employment centre	4	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	51	Feeling safe in the day	44	Housing satisfaction
		59	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	67	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	58	JSA claimants for under 12 months	30	Elderly living alone	33	Unpaid carers	34	Distance to work	22	Satisfaction with GP surgery	55	Feeling safe at night	46	Housing in poor condition score
		54	Qualified adults	48	Self reported good health	60	Income deprivation	57	Married or cohabiting parents	53	Membership of local decision making group			24	Satisfaction with local hospital	53	All crime		
		51	Further qualified adults	40	Average adult mental wellbeing score	61	JSA/UC claimants	50	Lone parents	36	Feel can influence local decisions			32	Travel time to local GP	46	Burglary		
		43	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	57	Comparative illness and disability	41	JSA claimants aged 50+	60	Lone parent claimants	65	Want more involvement in local decisions			31	Households close to GP surgery	55	Antisocial behaviour		
				54	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	43	JSA claimants aged 18-24	51	Carer claimants	39	Formal volunteering			2	Further education provision	53	Violent crime		
						60	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			56	Informal volunteering			6	Primary school provision				
						57	Older people in deprivation												
						51	Managing well financially												
						56	Average income												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence interval is used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Churchwood Medical Practice

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
60	Local area satisfaction	57	64	Income support	60	56	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	14	Satisfaction with local police	3	Perceived improvement in local crime	30	Barriers to housing and services		
		64	64	Incapacity benefits or ESA	62	63	Adults not feeling lonely	10	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	62	Feeling safe in the day	49	Housing satisfaction		
		43	2	JSA claimants for under 12 months	2	39	Unpaid carers	64	Satisfaction with GP surgery	65	Feeling safe at night	60	Housing in poor condition score		
		63	60	Income deprivation	60	65	Membership of local decision making group	43	Satisfaction with local hospital	54	All crime				
		67	60	JSA/UC claimants	60	61	Feel can influence local decisions	3	Travel time to local GP	27	Burglary				
		64	51	JSA claimants aged 50+	51	55	Want more involvement in local decisions	15	Households close to GP surgery	58	Antisocial behaviour				
		66	24	JSA claimants aged 18-24	24	67	Formal volunteering	9	Further education provision	57	Violent crime				
		63	63	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	63	65	Informal volunteering	15	Primary school provision						
		64	64	Older people in deprivation	64										
		61	61	Managing well financially	61										
		60	60	Average income	60										

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Cornwallis Plaza

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
49	Local area satisfaction	32	5 A-C GCSEs	51	Long term health problem or disability	57	Income support	61	Divorce rate	46	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	18	Travel time to employment centre	31	Satisfaction with local police	16	Perceived improvement in local crime	39	Barriers to housing and services
		45	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	59	Years of potential life lost	61	Incapacity benefits or ESA	57	Unemployed parents	50	Adults not feeling lonely	15	Population close to employment centre	26	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	60	Feeling safe in the day	54	Housing satisfaction
		50	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	65	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	50	JSA claimants for under 12 months	11	Elderly living alone	48	Unpaid carers	13	Distance to work	60	Satisfaction with GP surgery	61	Feeling safe at night	29	Housing in poor condition score
		45	Qualified adults	49	Self reported good health	61	Income deprivation	58	Married or cohabiting parents	41	Membership of local decision making group			36	Satisfaction with local hospital	64	All crime		
		43	Further qualified adults	52	Average adult mental wellbeing score	63	JSA/UC claimants	56	Lone parents	50	Feel can influence local decisions			10	Travel time to local GP	57	Burglary		
		49	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	58	Comparative illness and disability	34	JSA claimants aged 50+	46	Lone parent claimants	27	Want more involvement in local decisions			21	Households close to GP surgery	61	Antisocial behaviour		
				62	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	19	JSA claimants aged 18-24	59	Carer claimants	43	Formal volunteering			6	Further education provision	64	Violent crime		
						57	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			35	Informal volunteering			10	Primary school provision				
						60	Older people in deprivation												
						56	Managing well financially												
						46	Average income												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Harold Road Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES								
INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	46	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Divorce rate	54	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police	44	LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to employment centre	38	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	Perceived improvement in local crime	8
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	52	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Unemployed parents	61	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	22	LOCAL ECONOMY	Population close to employment centre	22	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	Feeling safe in the day	49
HEALTH	Long term health problem or disability	38	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Elderly living alone	26	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with GP surgery	40	LOCAL ECONOMY	Distance to work	8	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	Feeling safe at night	43
HEALTH	Years of potential life lost	55	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Married or cohabiting parents	61	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local hospital	35	LOCAL ECONOMY			INFRASTRUCTURE	Barriers to housing and services	40
HEALTH	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	31	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Lone parents	59	PUBLIC SERVICE	Travel time to local GP	30	LOCAL ECONOMY			INFRASTRUCTURE	Housing satisfaction	46
HEALTH	Self reported good health	38	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Lone parent claimants	61	PUBLIC SERVICE	Households close to GP surgery	48	LOCAL ECONOMY			INFRASTRUCTURE	Housing in poor condition score	35
HEALTH	Average adult mental wellbeing score	43	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Carer claimants	43	PUBLIC SERVICE	Further education provision	13	LOCAL ECONOMY					
HEALTH	Comparative illness and disability	55	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Informal volunteering	51	PUBLIC SERVICE	Primary school provision	11	LOCAL ECONOMY					
HEALTH	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	40	WELLBEING	Income support	59	WELLBEING	Income support	59	WELLBEING	Income support	59	WELLBEING	Income support	59
WELLBEING	Income support	59	WELLBEING	Incapacity benefits or ESA	52	WELLBEING	Incapacity benefits or ESA	52	WELLBEING	JSA claimants for under 12 months	46	WELLBEING	All crime	48
WELLBEING	JSA claimants aged 50+	45	WELLBEING	JSA claimants aged 18-24	40	WELLBEING	JSA claimants aged 18-24	40	WELLBEING	Income deprivation	56	WELLBEING	Burglary	51
WELLBEING	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	61	WELLBEING	Older people in deprivation	55	WELLBEING	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	61	WELLBEING	Income deprivation	56	WELLBEING	Antisocial behaviour	47
WELLBEING	Older people in deprivation	55	WELLBEING	Managing well financially	58	WELLBEING	Older people in deprivation	55	WELLBEING	Income deprivation	56	WELLBEING	Violent crime	50
WELLBEING	Managing well financially	58	WELLBEING	Average income	53	WELLBEING	Managing well financially	58	WELLBEING	Average income	53	WELLBEING		
WELLBEING	Average income	53	WELLBEING			WELLBEING	Average income	53	WELLBEING			WELLBEING		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hastings and Rother CCG

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings Old Town Surgery

LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
9	Travel time to employment centre	28	Satisfaction with local police	7	Perceived improvement in local crime	64	Barriers to housing and services
18	Population close to employment centre	16	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	54	Feeling safe in the day	60	Housing satisfaction
2	Distance to work	16	Satisfaction with GP surgery	53	Feeling safe at night	47	Housing in poor condition score

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
63	Divorce rate	39	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
60	Unemployed parents	49	Adults not feeling lonely
18	Elderly living alone	29	Unpaid carers
62	Married or cohabiting parents	39	Membership of local decision making group
61	Lone parents	25	Feel can influence local decisions
50	Lone parent claimants	30	Want more involvement in local decisions
54	Carer claimants	35	Formal volunteering
		37	Informal volunteering

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
52	Local area satisfaction	40	5 A-C GCSEs	35	Long term health problem or disability	58	Income support
		47	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	44	Years of potential life lost	56	Incapacity benefits or ESA
		57	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	64	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	51	JSA claimants for under 12 months
		43	Qualified adults	45	Self reported good health	53	Income deprivation
		41	Further qualified adults	51	Average adult mental wellbeing score	62	JSA/UC claimants
		50	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	46	Comparative illness and disability	40	JSA claimants aged 50+
		43	Adult mood and anxiety disorders		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	37	JSA claimants aged 18-24
						59	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
						53	Older people in deprivation
						57	Managing well financially
						57	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

High Glades Medical Centre

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
54	Local area satisfaction	46	5 A-C GCSEs	47	Long term health problem or disability	60	Income support	45	Divorce rate	47	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	6	Satisfaction with local police
		62	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	58	Years of potential life lost	58	Incapacity benefits or ESA	55	Unemployed parents	47	Adults not feeling lonely	10	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
		58	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	56	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	38	JSA claimants for under 12 months	8	Elderly living alone	43	Unpaid carers	3	Satisfaction with GP surgery
		62	Qualified adults	55	Self reported good health	58	Income deprivation	44	Married or cohabiting parents	62	Membership of local decision making group	35	Satisfaction with local hospital
		65	Further qualified adults	54	Average adult mental wellbeing score	51	JSA/UC claimants	51	Lone parents	60	Feel can influence local decisions	39	Satisfaction with local GP
		64	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	62	Comparative illness and disability	30	JSA claimants aged 50+	47	Lone parent claimants	49	Want more involvement in local decisions	13	Travel time to local GP
				55	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	18	JSA claimants aged 18-24	29	Carer claimants	58	Formal volunteering	16	Households close to GP surgery
						54	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			61	Informal volunteering	10	Further education provision
						59	Older people in deprivation					48	Violent crime
						48	Managing well financially					46	Antisocial behaviour
						43	Average income					45	All crime
												30	Burglary
												23	Distance to work
												6	Travel time to employment centre
												6	Population close to employment centre
												13	Primary school provision
												32	Barriers to housing and services
												38	Housing satisfaction
												56	Feeling safe at night
												57	Feeling safe in the day
												13	Perceived improvement in local crime

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Priory Road Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
64	Local area satisfaction	50	5 A-C GCSEs	34	Long term health problem or disability	67	Income support	65	Divorce rate	64	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	28	Travel time to employment centre	42	Satisfaction with local police	2	Perceived improvement in local crime	48	Barriers to housing and services
		63	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	66	Years of potential life lost	65	Incapacity benefits or ESA	67	Unemployed parents	66	Adults not feeling lonely	23	Population close to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	63	Feeling safe in the day	66	Housing satisfaction
		67	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	45	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	56	JSA claimants for under 12 months	4	Elderly living alone	30	Unpaid carers	12	Distance to work	24	Satisfaction with GP surgery	66	Feeling safe at night	25	Housing in poor condition score
		61	Qualified adults	57	Self reported good health	66	Income deprivation	66	Married or cohabiting parents	57	Membership of local decision making group			30	Satisfaction with local hospital	67	All crime		
		61	Further qualified adults	66	Average adult mental wellbeing score	66	JSA/UC claimants	67	Lone parents	42	Feel can influence local decisions			7	Travel time to local GP	60	Burglary		
		61	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	65	Comparative illness and disability	38	JSA claimants aged 50+	63	Lone parent claimants	16	Want more involvement in local decisions			28	Households close to GP surgery	67	Antisocial behaviour		
				65	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	32	JSA claimants aged 18-24	57	Carer claimants	56	Formal volunteering			4	Further education provision	67	Violent crime		
						67	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			60	Informal volunteering			1	Primary school provision				
						66	Older people in deprivation												
						67	Managing well financially												
						64	Average income												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Roebuck House - Practice 3

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE		
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	
39	Local area satisfaction	59	5 A-C GCSEs	48	Long term health problem or disability	49	Income support	39	Divorce rate	24	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	49	Travel time to employment centre	53	Satisfaction with local police	40	Perceived improvement in local crime	10	Barriers to housing and services	
		46	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	49	Years of potential life lost	48	Incapacity benefits or ESA	56	Unemployed parents	29	Adults not feeling lonely	38	Population close to employment centre	38	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	41	Feeling safe in the day	35	Housing satisfaction	
		46	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	61	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	42	JSA claimants for under 12 months	40	Elderly living alone	28	Unpaid carers	7	Distance to work	4	Satisfaction with GP surgery	31	Feeling safe at night	22	Housing in poor condition score	
		44	Qualified adults	30	Self reported good health	50	Income deprivation	54	Married or cohabiting parents	24	Membership of local decision making group	37	Satisfaction with local hospital	37	Satisfaction with local hospital	50	All crime			
		35	Further qualified adults	31	Average adult mental wellbeing score	48	JSA/UC claimants	47	Lone parents	22	Feel can influence local decisions	42	Travel time to local GP	42	Travel time to local GP	65	Burglary			
		31	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	50	Comparative illness and disability	46	JSA claimants aged 50+	42	Lone parent claimants	32	Want more involvement in local decisions	55	Households close to GP surgery	55	Households close to GP surgery	44	Antisocial behaviour			
				34	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	29	JSA claimants aged 18-24	30	Carer claimants	17	Formal volunteering	14	Further education provision	14	Further education provision	49	Violent crime			
						56	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			33	Informal volunteering									
						50	Older people in deprivation													
						43	Managing well financially													
						41	Average income													

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sedlescombe House Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	
45	Local area satisfaction	52	44	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	16	8	Satisfaction with local police	50	Barriers to housing and services
38	5 A-C GCSEs	58	54	Divorced rate	11	5	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	20	Perceived improvement in local crime
56	Long term health problem or disability	62	54	Unemployed parents	15	57	Satisfaction with GP surgery	56	Feeling safe in the day
61	Years of potential life lost	17	55	Elderly living alone	32	26	Satisfaction with local hospital	58	Feeling safe at night
38	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	55	58	Married or cohabiting parents	52	41	Travel time to local GP	60	All crime
52	Self reported good health	59	27	Lone parents	56	9	Satisfaction with local GP	51	Burglary
57	Average adult mental wellbeing score	58	55	Lone parent claimants	56	3	Households close to GP surgery	41	Antisocial behaviour
61	Comparative illness and disability	36	55	Carer claimants	49	5	Further education provision	53	Violent crime
60	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	13	55	Formal volunteering	39	5	Primary school provision	52	
		52	39	Informal volunteering					
		62	55	Managing well financially					
		51	51	Average income					

WELLBEING			BELONGING		
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK
52	Income support	44	44	Adults not feeling lonely	44
62	Incapacity benefits or ESA	44	32	Unpaid carers	32
41	JSA claimants for under 12 months	52	52	Membership of local decision making group	52
59	Income deprivation	56	56	Feel can influence local decisions	56
58	JSA/UC claimants	56	56	Want more involvement in local decisions	56
36	JSA claimants aged 50+	56	49	Formal volunteering	49
36	JSA claimants aged 18-24	52	39	Informal volunteering	39
13	JSA claimants aged 18-24	62			
52	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	55			
62	Older people in deprivation	51			
55	Managing well financially				
51	Average income				

EDUCATION			FAMILIES		
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK
49	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	58	54	Divorced rate	58
55	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	17	55	Unemployed parents	17
49	Qualified adults	55	58	Married or cohabiting parents	55
56	Further qualified adults	58	27	Lone parents	27
56	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	61	55	Lone parent claimants	55
		60	55	Carer claimants	55

LOCAL ECONOMY			PUBLIC SERVICE			CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR			INFRASTRUCTURE		
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK
16	Travel time to employment centre	8	26	Satisfaction with local hospital	51	41	Burglary	20	Perceived improvement in local crime	50	Barriers to housing and services
11	Population close to employment centre	5	9	Travel time to local GP	41	53	Antisocial behaviour	56	Feeling safe in the day	58	Housing satisfaction
15	Distance to work	57	3	Households close to GP surgery	53	52	Violent crime	60	Feeling safe at night	27	Housing in poor condition score

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
■ if number is red - within worst ten practices
■ if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:

1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Shankill Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES								
INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK	INDICATOR	DESCRIPTION	RANK
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	56	WELLBEING	Income support	66	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Divorce rate	53	BEHOLDING	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	42	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police	43
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	55	HEALTH	Long term health problem or disability	39	UNEMPLOYED PARENTS	Unemployed parents	65	ADULTS NOT FEELING LONELY	Adults not feeling lonely	58	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	Perceived improvement in local crime	1
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	65		Years of potential life lost	62	ELDERLY LIVING ALONE	Elderly living alone	19	UNPAID CARERS	Unpaid carers	17	INFRASTRUCTURE	Barriers to housing and services	47
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	65		0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	59	MARRIED OR COHABITING PARENTS	Married or cohabiting parents	65	MEMBERSHIP OF LOCAL DECISION MAKING GROUP	Membership of local decision making group	51		Housing satisfaction	53
	Qualified adults	65		Self reported good health	53	LONE PARENTS	Lone parents	65	FEEL CAN INFLUENCE LOCAL DECISIONS	Feel can influence local decisions	43		Housing in poor condition score	41
	Further qualified adults	62		Average adult mental wellbeing score	58	LONE PARENT CLAIMANTS	Lone parent claimants	66	WANT MORE INVOLVEMENT IN LOCAL DECISIONS	Want more involvement in local decisions	47		All crime	55
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	58		Comparative illness and disability	59	CARER CLAIMANTS	Carer claimants	40	FORMAL VOLUNTEERING	Formal volunteering	57		Burglary	45
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	52				INFORMAL VOLUNTEERING	Informal volunteering	62		Antisocial behaviour	56
													Violent crime	55
													Primary school provision	7

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

South Saxon House Surgery

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	
48	Local area satisfaction	50	43	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	27	49	Satisfaction with local police	45	Barriers to housing and services
41	Long term health problem or disability	62	39	Adults not feeling lonely	17	49	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	47	Housing satisfaction
30	5 A-C GCSEs	52	13	Unpaid carers	43	62	Satisfaction with GP surgery	39	Housing in poor condition score
51	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	48	67	Membership of local decision making group	43	21	Satisfaction with local hospital	56	All crime
56	Years of potential life lost	10	62	Feel can influence local decisions	43	21	Travel time to local GP	53	Burglary
66	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	48	2	Want more involvement in local decisions	43	20	Households close to GP surgery	54	Antisocial behaviour
49	Self reported good health	48	66	Formal volunteering	43	11	Further education provision	56	Violent crime
46	Qualified adults	48	50	Informal volunteering	43	31	Primary school provision		
59	Further qualified adults	48			43				
34	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	48			43				
56	Comparative illness and disability	48			43				
49	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	48			43				
55	Income deprivation	48			43				
52	JSA/UC claimants	48			43				
47	JSA claimants aged 50+	48			43				
27	JSA claimants aged 18-24	48			43				
51	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	48			43				
54	Older people in deprivation	48			43				
60	Managing well financially	48			43				
38	Average income	48			43				

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

The Station Practice

Hastings and St Leonards Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	
57	Local area satisfaction	62	Income support	64	Divorce rate	30	Satisfaction with local police	43	Barriers to housing and services
42	5 A-C GCSEs	63	Incapacity benefits or ESA	64	Unemployed parents	24	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	5	Perceived improvement in local crime
44	Long term health problem or disability	63	JSA claimants for under 12 months	15	Elderly living alone	14	Satisfaction with GP surgery	61	Feeling safe in the day
63	Years of potential life lost	54	Income deprivation	63	Married or cohabiting parents	6	Satisfaction with local hospital	61	Feeling safe at night
56	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	54	JSA/UC claimants	62	Lone parents	25	Travel time to local GP	62	All crime
62	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	64	JSA claimants aged 50+	52	Lone parent claimants	61	Households close to GP surgery	61	Burglary
62	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	37	JSA claimants aged 18-24	62	Carer claimants	65	Further education provision	65	Antisocial behaviour
52	Self reported good health	28	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	62	Formal volunteering	8	Primary school provision	66	Violent crime
54	Average adult mental wellbeing score	64	Older people in deprivation	42	Informal volunteering	8		66	
49	Further qualified adults	62	Managing well financially						
51	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	61	Average income						

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rural Rother Locality

Fairfield Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING	
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
5	Local area satisfaction	14	5 A-C GCSEs	14	Long term health problem or disability	15	Income support
		15	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	28	Years of potential life lost	13	Incapacity benefits or ESA
		13	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	11	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	18	JSA claimants for under 12 months
		13	Qualified adults	1	Self reported good health	13	Income deprivation
		13	Further qualified adults	9	Average adult mental wellbeing score	18	JSA/UC claimants
		4	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	17	Comparative illness and disability	14	JSA claimants aged 50+
				17	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	11	JSA claimants aged 18-24
						15	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
						12	Older people in deprivation
						5	Managing well financially
						10	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten practices
If number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING	
RANK		RANK	
9	Divorce rate	20	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
9	Unemployed parents	7	Adults not feeling lonely
6	Elderly living alone	19	Unpaid carers
9	Married or cohabiting parents	16	Membership of local decision making group
4	Lone parents	9	Feel can influence local decisions
11	Lone parent claimants	1	Want more involvement in local decisions
27	Carer claimants	10	Formal volunteering
		32	Informal volunteering

Hastings and Rother CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
64	Travel time to employment centre	55	Satisfaction with local police	28	Perceived improvement in local crime	3	Barriers to housing and services
64	Population close to employment centre	64	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	4	Feeling safe in the day	10	Housing satisfaction
45	Distance to work	14	Satisfaction with GP surgery	8	Feeling safe at night	2	Housing in poor condition score
		38	Satisfaction with local hospital	6	All crime		
		63	Travel time to local GP	25	Burglary		
		62	Households close to GP surgery	2	Antisocial behaviour		
		56	Further education provision	11	Violent crime		
		64	Primary school provision				

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence interval is used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ferry Road Health Centre

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	28		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	66	54	40
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	66	42	36
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	39	60	14
Qualified adults	66	27	48
Further qualified adults	53	32	32
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	62	34	24
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability			
Years of potential life lost			
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances			
Self reported good health			
Average adult mental wellbeing score			
Comparative illness and disability			
Adult mood and anxiety disorders			
MATERIAL WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support			
Incapacity benefits or ESA			
JSA claimants for under 12 months			
Income deprivation			
JSA/UC claimants			
JSA claimants aged 50+			
JSA claimants aged 18-24			
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			
Older people in deprivation			
Managing well financially			
Average income			

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	52	7	42
Unemployed parents	49	24	53
Elderly living alone	63	67	5
Married or cohabiting parents	47	17	41
Lone parents	35	21	35
Lone parent claimants	43	58	33
Carer claimants	5	16	16
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging			
Adults not feeling lonely			
Unpaid carers			
Membership of local decision making group			
Feel can influence local decisions			
Want more involvement in local decisions			
Formal volunteering			
Informal volunteering			

Hastings and Rother CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	42		
Population close to employment centre	53		
Distance to work	5		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	67		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	35		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	38		
Satisfaction with local hospital	41		
Travel time to local GP	35		
Households close to GP surgery	33		
Further education provision	16		
Primary school provision	62		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	61		
Feeling safe in the day	28		
Feeling safe at night	22		
All crime	59		
Burglary	66		
Antisocial behaviour	42		
Violent crime	59		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	37		
Housing satisfaction	30		
Housing in poor condition score	16		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Martins Oak Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		R A A N K	
Local area satisfaction	15		
EDUCATION		R A A N K	
5 A-C GCSEs	5	22	
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	14	38	
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	11	33	
Qualified adults	19	7	
Further qualified adults	14	18	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	15	23	
HEALTH		R A A N K	
Long term health problem or disability	22		
Years of potential life lost	38		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	33		
Self reported good health	7		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	18		
Comparative illness and disability	23		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	18		
WELLBEING		R A A N K	
Income support	27		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	21		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	16		
Income deprivation	19		
JSA/UC claimants	17		
JSA claimants aged 50+	62		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	62		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	22		
Older people in deprivation	23		
Managing well financially	12		
Average income	19		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		R A A N K	
Divorce rate	15	28	
Unemployed parents	21	17	
Elderly living alone	47	49	
Married or cohabiting parents	27	15	
Lone parents	27	11	
Lone parent claimants	58	29	
Carer claimants	9	18	
BELONGING		R A A N K	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	28		
Adults not feeling lonely	17		
Unpaid carers	49		
Membership of local decision making group	15		
Feel can influence local decisions	11		
Want more involvement in local decisions	29		
Formal volunteering	18		
Informal volunteering	12		

Hastings and Rother CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		R A A N K	
Travel time to employment centre	58		
Population close to employment centre	61		
Distance to work	53		
PUBLIC SERVICE		R A A N K	
Satisfaction with local police	3		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	28		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	3		
Satisfaction with local hospital	25		
Travel time to local GP	57		
Households close to GP surgery	60		
Further education provision	55		
Primary school provision	66		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		R A A N K	
Perceived improvement in local crime	24		
Feeling safe in the day	6		
Feeling safe at night	19		
All crime	26		
Burglary	36		
Antisocial behaviour	30		
Violent crime	7		
INFRASTRUCTURE		R A A N K	
Barriers to housing and services	8		
Housing satisfaction	23		
Housing in poor condition score	13		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Northiam & Broad Oak Surgeries

SELF		MATERIAL	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
34	Local area satisfaction	22	Income support
36	5 A-C GCSEs	20	Incapacity benefits or ESA
35	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	1	JSA claimants for under 12 months
29	Years of potential life lost	26	Income deprivation
41	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	13	JSA/UC claimants
19	Self reported good health	1	JSA claimants aged 50+
30	Qualified adults	53	JSA claimants aged 18-24
27	Further qualified adults	27	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
37	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	19	Older people in deprivation
16	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	6	Managing well financially
		22	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

SUPPORT	
RANK	DESCRIPTION
11	Divorce rate
18	Unemployed parents
51	Elderly living alone
16	Married or cohabiting parents
14	Lone parents
3	Lone parent claimants
2	Carer claimants
34	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
26	Adults not feeling lonely
10	Unpaid carers
40	Membership of local decision making group
18	Feel can influence local decisions
54	Want more involvement in local decisions
25	Formal volunteering
8	Informal volunteering

Hastings and Rother CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
RANK	DESCRIPTION
66	Travel time to employment centre
67	Population close to employment centre
61	Distance to work
56	Satisfaction with local police
63	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
17	Satisfaction with GP surgery
34	Satisfaction with local hospital
51	Travel time to local GP
45	Households close to GP surgery
26	Further education provision
30	Primary school provision
17	All crime
35	Burglary
19	Antisocial behaviour
12	Violent crime
17	Barriers to housing and services
26	Housing satisfaction
7	Housing in poor condition score

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Oldwood Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	18		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	12		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	16		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	16		
Qualified adults	20		
Further qualified adults	16		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	26		

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	16		
Years of potential life lost	34		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	8		
Self reported good health	3		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	22		
Comparative illness and disability	21		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	9		

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	20		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	19		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	20		
Income deprivation	18		
JSA/UC claimants	15		
JSA claimants aged 50+	13		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	56		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	24		
Older people in deprivation	25		
Managing well financially	42		
Average income	12		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	1		
Unemployed parents	17		
Elderly living alone	24		
Married or cohabiting parents	18		
Lone parents	19		
Lone parent claimants	38		
Carer claimants	16		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	32		
Adults not feeling lonely	8		
Unpaid carers	20		
Membership of local decision making group	4		
Feel can influence local decisions	15		
Want more involvement in local decisions	13		
Formal volunteering	21		
Informal volunteering	19		

Hastings and Rother CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	59		
Population close to employment centre	63		
Distance to work	42		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	61		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	67		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	12		
Satisfaction with local hospital	42		
Travel time to local GP	55		
Households close to GP surgery	52		
Further education provision	60		
Primary school provision	50		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	39		
Feeling safe in the day	33		
Feeling safe at night	25		
All crime	19		
Burglary	24		
Antisocial behaviour	11		
Violent crime	16		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	25		
Housing satisfaction	17		
Housing in poor condition score	12		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rye Medical Centre

Rural Rother Locality

Hastings and Rother CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	26		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	64		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	64		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	44		
Qualified adults	63		
Further qualified adults	44		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	52		

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	50		
Years of potential life lost	39		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	42		
Self reported good health	24		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	28		
Comparative illness and disability	33		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	20		

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	42		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	31		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	11		
Income deprivation	43		
JSA/UC claimants	28		
JSA claimants aged 50+	26		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	41		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	49		
Older people in deprivation	36		
Managing well financially	25		
Average income	59		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	43		
Unemployed parents	48		
Elderly living alone	60		
Married or cohabiting parents	45		
Lone parents	34		
Lone parent claimants	39		
Carer claimants	4		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	10		
Adults not feeling lonely	19		
Unpaid carers	55		
Membership of local decision making group	19		
Feel can influence local decisions	16		
Want more involvement in local decisions	52		
Formal volunteering	15		
Informal volunteering	18		

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	53		
Population close to employment centre	58		
Distance to work	19		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	65		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	40		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	50		
Satisfaction with local hospital	40		
Travel time to local GP	37		
Households close to GP surgery	38		
Further education provision	17		
Primary school provision	61		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	62		
Feeling safe in the day	26		
Feeling safe at night	21		
All crime	57		
Burglary	67		
Antisocial behaviour	41		
Violent crime	58		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	22		
Housing satisfaction	32		
Housing in poor condition score	11		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sedlescombe & Westfield Surgeries

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		WELLBEING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
3	Local area satisfaction	7	5 A-C GCSEs	32	Long term health problem or disability	25	Income support
		23	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	25	Years of potential life lost	23	Incapacity benefits or ESA
		34	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	46	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	21	JSA claimants for under 12 months
		27	Qualified adults	23	Self reported good health	25	Income deprivation
		22	Further qualified adults	27	Average adult mental wellbeing score	19	JSA/UC claimants
		40	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	27	Comparative illness and disability	5	JSA claimants aged 50+
				19	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	8	JSA claimants aged 18-24
						26	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
						20	Older people in deprivation
						21	Managing well financially
						21	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Rural Rother Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
8	Divorce rate	9	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
20	Unemployed parents	16	Adults not feeling lonely
41	Elderly living alone	3	Unpaid carers
30	Married or cohabiting parents	3	Membership of local decision making group
16	Lone parents	5	Feel can influence local decisions
10	Lone parent claimants	66	Want more involvement in local decisions
14	Carer claimants	22	Formal volunteering
		17	Informal volunteering

Hastings and Rother CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
63	Travel time to employment centre	52	Satisfaction with local police	56	Perceived improvement in local crime	6	Barriers to housing and services
60	Population close to employment centre	66	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	38	Feeling safe in the day	11	Housing satisfaction
59	Distance to work	25	Satisfaction with GP surgery	23	Feeling safe at night	14	Housing in poor condition score
		28	Satisfaction with local hospital	20	All crime		
		38	Travel time to local GP	62	Burglary		
		46	Households close to GP surgery	12	Antisocial behaviour		
		43	Further education provision	18	Violent crime		
		51	Primary school provision				

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

**2016 WARM PRACTICE
SUMMARIES: HIGH WEALD
LEWES HAVENS CCG**



High Weald Locality

Ashdown Forest Health Centre

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	4		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	9		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	1		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	6		
Qualified adults	3		
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	3		
Years of potential life lost	2		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	22		
Self reported good health	4		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	4		
Comparative illness and disability	1		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	1		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
if number is red - within worst ten practices
if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	10		
Unemployed parents	8		
Elderly living alone	5		
Married or cohabiting parents	15		
Lone parents	17		
Lone parent claimants	53		
Carer claimants	1		
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	1		
Adults not feeling lonely	4		
Unpaid carers	1		
Membership of local decision making group	1		
Feel can influence local decisions	3		
Want more involvement in local decisions	15		
Formal volunteering	8		
Informal volunteering	10		

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	60		
Population close to employment centre	56		
Distance to work	3		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	54		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	56		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	52		
Satisfaction with local hospital	8		
Travel time to local GP	66		
Households close to GP surgery	64		
Further education provision	51		
Primary school provision	65		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	65		
Feeling safe in the day	2		
Feeling safe at night	1		
All crime	11		
Burglary	33		
Antisocial behaviour	7		
Violent crime	3		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	2		
Housing satisfaction	14		
Housing in poor condition score	5		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence interval is used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Beacon Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	24		

SELF		RANK	
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	21	8
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	12	10
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	4	40
	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances		
	Self reported good health	9	9
	Average adult mental wellbeing score	10	5
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	17	4
	Comparative illness and disability		13
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders		

WELLBEING		RANK	
	Income support	7	7
	Incapacity benefits or ESA	7	7
	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	1
	Income deprivation	7	7
	JSA/UC claimants	3	3
	JSA claimants aged 50+	50	50
	JSA claimants aged 18-24	23	23
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		9
	Older people in deprivation		7
	Managing well financially		18
	Average income		6

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

SUPPORT		RANK	
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Divorce rate	4	27
	Unemployed parents	3	18
	Elderly living alone	21	23
	Married or cohabiting parents	5	12
	Lone parents	8	23
	Lone parent claimants	19	10
	Carer claimants	31	13
	Sense of neighbourhood belonging		27
	Adults not feeling lonely		18
	Unpaid carers		23
	Membership of local decision making group		12
	Feel can influence local decisions		23
	Want more involvement in local decisions		10
	Formal volunteering		13
	Informal volunteering		22

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
	Travel time to employment centre	21	
	Population close to employment centre	13	
	Distance to work	16	

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
	Satisfaction with local police	15	
	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	7	
	Satisfaction with GP surgery	5	
	Satisfaction with local hospital	4	
	Travel time to local GP	48	
	Households close to GP surgery	44	
	Further education provision	38	
	Primary school provision	29	

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
	Perceived improvement in local crime	19	
	Feeling safe in the day	19	
	Feeling safe at night	12	
	All crime	3	
	Burglary	8	
	Antisocial behaviour	14	
	Violent crime	4	

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
	Barriers to housing and services	34	
	Housing satisfaction	12	
	Housing in poor condition score	51	

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Belmont Surgery

High Weald Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES			
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	
10	Local area satisfaction	3	WELLBEING	7	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	66	PUBLIC SERVICE	4	INFRASTRUCTURE
1	5 A-C GCSEs	2	Income support	2	Divorce rate	61	Satisfaction with local police	64	Barriers to housing and services
4	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	4	Incapacity benefits or ESA	10	Unemployed parents	59	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	18	Housing satisfaction
15	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	1	JSA claimants for under 12 months	27	Elderly living alone	20	Satisfaction with GP surgery	2	Housing in poor condition score
4	Qualified adults	3	Income deprivation	6	Married or cohabiting parents	15	Satisfaction with local hospital	13	All crime
6	Further qualified adults	6	JSA/UC claimants	7	Lone parents	60	Travel time to local GP	55	Burglary
21	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	2	JSA claimants aged 50+	22	Lone parent claimants	58	Households close to GP surgery	5	Antisocial behaviour
		3	JSA claimants aged 18-24	44	Carer claimants	23	Further education provision	13	Violent crime
		5	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation			67	Primary school provision		
		4	Older people in deprivation						
		3	Managing well financially						
		4	Average income						
		2	Long term health problem or disability						
		3	Years of potential life lost						
		29	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances						
		6	Self reported good health						
		2	Average adult mental wellbeing score						
		7	Comparative illness and disability						
		3	Adult mood and anxiety disorders						
		2	Membership of local decision making group						
		7	Feel can influence local decisions						
		11	Want more involvement in local decisions						
		4	Formal volunteering						
		7	Informal volunteering						
		27	Unpaid carers						
		18	Membership of local decision making group						

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Bird-In-Eye Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	17		

SELF		RANK	
EDUCATION	3	5 A-C GCSEs	6
	27	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	6
	12	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	4
	15	Qualified adults	18
	24	Further qualified adults	15
	13	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	11

HEALTH		RANK	
	6	Long term health problem or disability	6
	11	Years of potential life lost	11
	12	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	12
	11	Income deprivation	11
	10	JSA/UC claimants	10
	58	JSA claimants aged 50+	58
	42	JSA claimants aged 18-24	42
	8	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	8
	13	Older people in deprivation	13
	33	Managing well financially	33
	11	Average income	11

WELLBEING		RANK	
	6	Income support	6
	11	Incapacity benefits or ESA	11
	12	JSA claimants for under 12 months	12
	11	Income deprivation	11
	10	JSA/UC claimants	10
	58	JSA claimants aged 50+	58
	42	JSA claimants aged 18-24	42
	8	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	8
	13	Older people in deprivation	13
	33	Managing well financially	33
	11	Average income	11

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
	19	Divorce rate	19
	11	Unemployed parents	11
	9	Elderly living alone	9
	11	Married or cohabiting parents	11
	12	Lone parents	12
	26	Lone parent claimants	26
	15	Carer claimants	15

SUPPORT		RANK	
	30	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	30
	10	Adults not feeling lonely	10
	64	Unpaid carers	64
	61	Membership of local decision making group	61
	48	Feel can influence local decisions	48
	43	Want more involvement in local decisions	43
	30	Formal volunteering	30
	44	Informal volunteering	44

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
	40	Travel time to employment centre	40
	46	Population close to employment centre	46
	48	Distance to work	48

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
	4	Satisfaction with local police	4
	2	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	2
	58	Satisfaction with GP surgery	58
	2	Satisfaction with local hospital	2
	62	Travel time to local GP	62
	65	Households close to GP surgery	65
	34	Further education provision	34
	27	Primary school provision	27

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
	31	Perceived improvement in local crime	31
	12	Feeling safe in the day	12
	13	Feeling safe at night	13
	16	All crime	16
	9	Burglary	9
	26	Antisocial behaviour	26
	22	Violent crime	22

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
	36	Barriers to housing and services	36
	21	Housing satisfaction	21
	40	Housing in poor condition score	40

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Buxted Medical Centre

SELF		RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
LIFE SATISFACTION	Local area satisfaction	21					
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	6	Long term health problem or disability	7	Income support	14	WELLBEING
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	11	Years of potential life lost	5	Incapacity benefits or ESA	9	
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	10	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	6	JSA claimants for under 12 months	17	
	Qualified adults	8	Self reported good health	11	Income deprivation	9	
	Further qualified adults	9	Average adult mental wellbeing score	8	JSA/UC claimants	9	
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	5	Comparative illness and disability	8	JSA claimants aged 50+	56	
			Adult mood and anxiety disorders	6	JSA claimants aged 18-24	47	
					Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	12	
					Older people in deprivation	6	
					Managing well financially	27	
					Average income	5	

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

SUPPORT		RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	Divorce rate	6	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	23	BELONGING
	Unemployed parents	6	Adults not feeling lonely	5	
	Elderly living alone	3	Unpaid carers	44	
	Married or cohabiting parents	8	Membership of local decision making group	20	
	Lone parents	5	Feel can influence local decisions	31	
	Lone parent claimants	9	Want more involvement in local decisions	19	
	Carer claimants	11	Formal volunteering	12	
			Informal volunteering	34	

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to employment centre	48	Satisfaction with local police	48	PUBLIC SERVICE		
	Population close to employment centre	51	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	43			
	Distance to work	57	Satisfaction with GP surgery	23			
			Satisfaction with local hospital	11			
			Travel time to local GP	67			
			Households close to GP surgery	66			
			Further education provision	46			
			Primary school provision	40			
			Perceived improvement in local crime	55	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		
			Feeling safe in the day	10			
			Feeling safe at night	10			
			All crime	8			
			Burglary	15			
			Antisocial behaviour	10			
			Violent crime	9			
			Barriers to housing and services	5	INFRASTRUCTURE		
			Housing satisfaction	6			
			Housing in poor condition score	6			

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Groombridge & Hartfield

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	6		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	54	1	
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	2	1	
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	2	39	
Qualified adults	1	5	
Further qualified adults	3	1	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	2	2	

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	1		
Years of potential life lost	1		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	1		
Self reported good health	2		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	7		
Comparative illness and disability	44		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	2		

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	2		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	1		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	1		
Income deprivation	2		
JSA/UC claimants	7		
JSA claimants aged 50+	44		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	2		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	3		
Older people in deprivation	2		
Managing well financially	1		
Average income	1		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	3		
Unemployed parents	2		
Elderly living alone	1		
Married or cohabiting parents	3		
Lone parents	3		
Lone parent claimants	21		
Carer claimants	12		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	12		
Adults not feeling lonely	1		
Unpaid carers	2		
Membership of local decision making group	2		
Feel can influence local decisions	6		
Want more involvement in local decisions	7		
Formal volunteering	1		
Informal volunteering	6		

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	50		
Population close to employment centre	28		
Distance to work	51		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	32		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	55		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	7		
Satisfaction with local hospital	12		
Travel time to local GP	64		
Households close to GP surgery	61		
Further education provision	45		
Primary school provision	59		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	57		
Feeling safe in the day	5		
Feeling safe at night	4		
All crime	21		
Burglary	63		
Antisocial behaviour	9		
Violent crime	6		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	1		
Housing satisfaction	2		
Housing in poor condition score	3		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Heathfield Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	20		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	16		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	18		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	14		
Qualified adults	16		
Further qualified adults	21		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	16		
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	12		
Years of potential life lost	13		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	3		
Self reported good health	14		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	11		
Comparative illness and disability	10		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	11		
MATERIAL WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	5		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	10		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	37		
Income deprivation	10		
JSA/UC claimants	8		
JSA claimants aged 50+	12		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	6		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	7		
Older people in deprivation	10		
Managing well financially	10		
Average income	14		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	14		
Unemployed parents	13		
Elderly living alone	29		
Married or cohabiting parents	10		
Lone parents	10		
Lone parent claimants	5		
Carer claimants	22		
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	17		
Adults not feeling lonely	25		
Unpaid carers	53		
Membership of local decision making group	37		
Feel can influence local decisions	19		
Want more involvement in local decisions	3		
Formal volunteering	11		
Informal volunteering	48		

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	54		
Population close to employment centre	52		
Distance to work	58		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	57		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	45		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	18		
Satisfaction with local hospital	46		
Travel time to local GP	59		
Households close to GP surgery	57		
Further education provision	40		
Primary school provision	48		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	41		
Feeling safe in the day	15		
Feeling safe at night	17		
All crime	5		
Burglary	12		
Antisocial behaviour	4		
Violent crime	10		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	18		
Housing satisfaction	7		
Housing in poor condition score	17		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Meads Medical Centre

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	13		
EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	2	11	10
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	28	8	12
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	17	5	15
Qualified adults	17	17	12
Further qualified adults	19	17	12
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	12	12	64
HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	11		
Years of potential life lost	8		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	5		
Self reported good health	17		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	17		
Comparative illness and disability	12		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	12		
WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	10		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	12		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	15		
Income deprivation	12		
JSA/UC claimants	12		
JSA claimants aged 50+	64		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	49		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	10		
Older people in deprivation	14		
Managing well financially	31		
Average income	13		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	20	33	
Unemployed parents	12	15	
Elderly living alone	22	66	
Married or cohabiting parents	13	56	
Lone parents	15	38	
Lone parent claimants	25	62	
Carer claimants	17	26	
BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	33		
Adults not feeling lonely	15		
Unpaid carers	66		
Membership of local decision making group	56		
Feel can influence local decisions	38		
Want more involvement in local decisions	62		
Formal volunteering	26		
Informal volunteering	46		

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	29		
Population close to employment centre	45		
Distance to work	41		
PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	9		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	1		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	66		
Satisfaction with local hospital	1		
Travel time to local GP	65		
Households close to GP surgery	67		
Further education provision	44		
Primary school provision	32		
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	36		
Feeling safe in the day	9		
Feeling safe at night	16		
All crime	27		
Burglary	10		
Antisocial behaviour	31		
Violent crime	27		
INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	26		
Housing satisfaction	15		
Housing in poor condition score	37		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rotherfield Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	27		

SELF		RANK	
EDUCATION	26	5	
5 A-C GCSEs			
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	13	9	
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	7		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	17		
Self reported good health	11	10	
Qualified adults			
Further qualified adults	12		
Average adult mental wellbeing score		16	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	20	6	
Comparative illness and disability			
Adult mood and anxiety disorders		8	

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	12		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	5		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	13		
Income deprivation	6		
JSA/UC claimants	5		
JSA claimants aged 50+	67		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	4		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	6		
Older people in deprivation	8		
Managing well financially	29		
Average income	9		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

SUPPORT		RANK	
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	13	7	
Divorce rate			
Unemployed parents	7		
Elderly living alone	14		
Married or cohabiting parents	4		
Lone parents	6		
Lone parent claimants	45		
Carer claimants	13		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	19		
Adults not feeling lonely	28		
Unpaid carers	34		
Membership of local decision making group	38		
Feel can influence local decisions	13		
Want more involvement in local decisions	6		
Formal volunteering	20		
Informal volunteering	11		

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	46		
Population close to employment centre	48		
Distance to work	35		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	34		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	8		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	11		
Satisfaction with local hospital	9		
Travel time to local GP	46		
Households close to GP surgery	47		
Further education provision	42		
Primary school provision	36		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	38		
Feeling safe in the day	14		
Feeling safe at night	11		
All crime	4		
Burglary	11		
Antisocial behaviour	13		
Violent crime	8		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	23		
Housing satisfaction	20		
Housing in poor condition score	43		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Saxonbury House Surgery

SELF		MATERIAL	
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
29	Local area satisfaction	9	Income support
23	5 A-C GCSEs	8	Incapacity benefits or ESA
19	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	10	JSA claimants for under 12 months
11	Years of potential life lost	8	Income deprivation
3	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	2	JSA/UC claimants
3	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	54	JSA claimants aged 50+
12	Qualified adults	33	JSA claimants aged 18-24
15	Further qualified adults	11	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
18	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	9	Older people in deprivation
15	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	22	Managing well financially
		8	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

SUPPORT	
RANK	DESCRIPTION
5	Divorce rate
5	Unemployed parents
23	Elderly living alone
7	Married or cohabiting parents
9	Lone parents
20	Lone parent claimants
32	Carer claimants
29	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
23	Adults not feeling lonely
26	Unpaid carers
11	Membership of local decision making group
26	Feel can influence local decisions
12	Want more involvement in local decisions
14	Formal volunteering
23	Informal volunteering

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
RANK	DESCRIPTION
12	Travel time to employment centre
5	Population close to employment centre
11	Distance to work
20	Satisfaction with local police
9	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
37	Satisfaction with GP surgery
3	Satisfaction with local hospital
47	Travel time to local GP
40	Households close to GP surgery
35	Further education provision
22	Primary school provision
15	Perceived improvement in local crime
20	Feeling safe in the day
15	Feeling safe at night
2	All crime
5	Burglary
15	Antisocial behaviour
5	Violent crime
41	Barriers to housing and services
16	Housing satisfaction
58	Housing in poor condition score

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Woodhill Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	1		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	4		10
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	6		4
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	1		10
Qualified adults	2		2
Further qualified adults	5		10
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	42		3

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability			
Years of potential life lost	4		6
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances			
Self reported good health	2		1
Average adult mental wellbeing score			
Comparative illness and disability	3		11
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	4		3

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	1		6
Incapacity benefits or ESA	6		19
JSA claimants for under 12 months			
Income deprivation	1		11
JSA/UC claimants			
JSA claimants aged 50+	4		2
JSA claimants aged 18-24	3		11
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	2		1
Older people in deprivation	1		11
Managing well financially			
Average income	7		7

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

High Weald Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	16		5
Unemployed parents	1		2
Elderly living alone	50		6
Married or cohabiting parents	1		13
Lone parents	1		2
Lone parent claimants	4		44
Carer claimants	37		3

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging			
Adults not feeling lonely	2		26
Unpaid carers	6		2
Membership of local decision making group	13		13
Feel can influence local decisions	2		26
Want more involvement in local decisions	44		2
Formal volunteering	3		26
Informal volunteering	26		26

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	65		
Population close to employment centre	65		
Distance to work	60		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	1		7
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	42		1
Satisfaction with GP surgery	26		15
Satisfaction with local hospital	13		1
Travel time to local GP	54		34
Households close to GP surgery	59		1
Further education provision	59		1
Primary school provision	52		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	67		
Feeling safe in the day	1		
Feeling safe at night	3		
All crime	1		
Burglary	34		
Antisocial behaviour	1		
Violent crime	1		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	7		
Housing satisfaction	1		
Housing in poor condition score	15		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes and Havens Locality

Chapel Street Surgery

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING	
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
66	Local area satisfaction	65	5 A-C GCSEs	24	Long term health problem or disability	51	Income support
		60	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	32	Years of potential life lost	42	Incapacity benefits or ESA
		61	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	55	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	31	JSA claimants for under 12 months
		60	Qualified adults	61	Self reported good health	44	Income deprivation
		63	Further qualified adults	47	Average adult mental wellbeing score	41	JSA/UC claimants
		59	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	37	Comparative illness and disability	17	JSA claimants aged 50+
				47	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	55	JSA claimants aged 18-24
						47	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
						38	Older people in deprivation
						46	Managing well financially
						34	Average income

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten practices
If number is green - within best ten practices

Lewes and Havens Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING	
RANK		RANK	
46	Divorce rate	55	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
44	Unemployed parents	52	Adults not feeling lonely
28	Elderly living alone	5	Unpaid carers
42	Married or cohabiting parents	43	Membership of local decision making group
46	Lone parents	63	Feel can influence local decisions
65	Lone parent claimants	48	Want more involvement in local decisions
25	Carer claimants	44	Formal volunteering
		15	Informal volunteering

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
51	Travel time to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local police	37	Perceived improvement in local crime		Barriers to housing and services
6	Population close to employment centre	50	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	42	Feeling safe in the day		Housing satisfaction
44	Distance to work	56	Satisfaction with GP surgery	45	Feeling safe at night		Housing in poor condition score
		45	Satisfaction with local hospital	41	All crime		
		39	Travel time to local GP	18	Burglary		
		32	Households close to GP surgery	45	Antisocial behaviour		
		63	Further education provision	43	Violent crime		
		54	Primary school provision				

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence interval is used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator to reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Meridian Surgery

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK	
58	Local area satisfaction	63	5 A-C GCSEs	52	Long term health problem or disability	36	Income support	36	Divorce rate	54	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	45	Travel time to employment centre	40	Satisfaction with local police	32	Perceived improvement in local crime	55	Barriers to housing and services
		43	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	31	Years of potential life lost	37	Incapacity benefits or ESA	34	Unemployed parents	55	Adults not feeling lonely	3	Population close to employment centre	36	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	27	Feeling safe in the day	34	Housing satisfaction
		27	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	37	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	28	JSA claimants for under 12 months	46	Elderly living alone	8	Unpaid carers	64	Distance to work	47	Satisfaction with GP surgery	35	Feeling safe at night	66	Housing in poor condition score
		58	Qualified adults	42	Self reported good health	41	Income deprivation	37	Married or cohabiting parents	44	Membership of local decision making group	18	Satisfaction with local hospital	23	All crime	23	All crime	23	All crime
		64	Further qualified adults	50	Average adult mental wellbeing score	38	JSA/UC claimants	38	Lone parents	59	Feel can influence local decisions	11	Travel time to local GP	4	Burglary	4	Burglary	4	Burglary
		30	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	41	Comparative illness and disability	57	JSA claimants aged 50+	30	Lone parent claimants	20	Want more involvement in local decisions	2	Households close to GP surgery	28	Antisocial behaviour	28	Antisocial behaviour	28	Antisocial behaviour
		59	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	59	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	17	JSA claimants aged 18-24	35	Carer claimants	62	Formal volunteering	67	Further education provision	67	Further education provision	67	Further education provision	67	Further education provision
						36	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation		Informal volunteering	52	Informal volunteering		Primary school provision		Primary school provision		Primary school provision		Primary school provision
						45	Older people in deprivation												
						39	Managing well financially												
						31	Average income												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Mid Downs Medical Practice

Lewes and Havens Locality

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

SELF			SUPPORT			SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION	RANK	DESCRIPTION
2	Local area satisfaction	4	WELLBEING	3	BELONGING	62	LOCAL ECONOMY	51	PUBLIC SERVICE	53	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	11	INFRASTRUCTURE		
10	5 A-C GCSEs	4	Income support	2	Divorce rate	3	Travel time to employment centre	2	Satisfaction with local police	5	Perceived improvement in local crime	8	Barriers to housing and services		
3	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	3	Incapacity benefits or ESA	4	Unemployed parents	4	Population close to employment centre	4	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	7	Feeling safe in the day	7	Housing satisfaction		
8	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	1	JSA claimants for under 12 months	7	Elderly living alone	7	Distance to work	20	Satisfaction with GP surgery	5	Feeling safe at night	5	Housing in poor condition score		
5	Qualified adults	5	Income deprivation	2	Married or cohabiting parents	5	Membership of local decision making group	10	Satisfaction with local hospital	7	All crime	7			
7	Further qualified adults	4	JSA/UC claimants	2	Lone parents	1	Feel can influence local decisions	61	Travel time to local GP	42	Burglary	42			
1	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	3	JSA claimants aged 50+	2	Lone parent claimants	8	Want more involvement in local decisions	63	Households close to GP surgery	3	Antisocial behaviour	3			
5	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	21	JSA claimants aged 18-24	3	Carer claimants	2	Formal volunteering	53	Further education provision	2	Violent crime	2			
		4	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	5	Informal volunteering			60	Primary school provision						
		3	Older people in deprivation												
		16	Managing well financially												
		2	Average income												

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Quayside Medical Practice

LIFE SATISFACTION		RANK	
Local area satisfaction	67		

EDUCATION		RANK	
5 A-C GCSEs	67		
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	61		
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	64		
Qualified adults	64		
Further qualified adults	66		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	60		

HEALTH		RANK	
Long term health problem or disability	23		
Years of potential life lost	33		
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	24		
Self reported good health	64		
Average adult mental wellbeing score	56		
Comparative illness and disability	38		
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	50		

WELLBEING		RANK	
Income support	54		
Incapacity benefits or ESA	44		
JSA claimants for under 12 months	30		
Income deprivation	47		
JSA/UC claimants	45		
JSA claimants aged 50+	15		
JSA claimants aged 18-24	58		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	48		
Older people in deprivation	41		
Managing well financially	47		
Average income	35		

KEY
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
■ significantly better than East Sussex average
 Rank out of all 67 practices: 1 is best, 67 is worst
 if number is red - within worst ten practices
 if number is green - within best ten practices

Lewes and Havens Locality

STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		RANK	
Divorce rate	51		
Unemployed parents	47		
Elderly living alone	25		
Married or cohabiting parents	46		
Lone parents	48		
Lone parent claimants	67		
Carer claimants	26		

BELONGING		RANK	
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	60		
Adults not feeling lonely	56		
Unpaid carers	4		
Membership of local decision making group	47		
Feel can influence local decisions	66		
Want more involvement in local decisions	50		
Formal volunteering	47		
Informal volunteering	16		

High Weald Lewes Havens CCG

LOCAL ECONOMY		RANK	
Travel time to employment centre	52		
Population close to employment centre	7		
Distance to work	47		

PUBLIC SERVICE		RANK	
Satisfaction with local police	38		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	51		
Satisfaction with GP surgery	55		
Satisfaction with local hospital	44		
Travel time to local GP	40		
Households close to GP surgery	29		
Further education provision	62		
Primary school provision	58		

CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Perceived improvement in local crime	35		
Feeling safe in the day	46		
Feeling safe at night	46		
All crime	42		
Burglary	19		
Antisocial behaviour	49		
Violent crime	46		

INFRASTRUCTURE		RANK	
Barriers to housing and services	9		
Housing satisfaction	64		
Housing in poor condition score	54		

NOTES:
 1. Multiple practices can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved. However, the confidence intervals used in calculating the red/amber/green for each indicator reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results



www.eastsussex.gov.uk

EAST SUSSEX COUNTY HALL
COUNTY HALL
ST ANNE'S CRESENT
LEWES BN7 1UE

PHONE 0345 60 80 190
FAX 01273 481261

PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 2016