



WELLBEING AND RESILIENCE MEASURE: EAST SUSSEX WARD SUMMARIES

**Supplement to the Annual Report of
the Director of Public Health
2016-2017**



Contents

1	How to Read the Ward Summaries	4
2	2016 WARM Ward Summaries for Eastbourne	5
3	2016 WARM Ward Summaries for Hastings	15
4	2016 WARM Ward Summaries for Lewes	32
5	2016 WARM Ward Summaries for Rother	54
6	2016 WARM Ward Summaries for Wealden	75

How to read the ward summaries

The ward summaries use national and local data sources to present information on the 61 indicators of health and wellbeing which make up the three domains of the WARM tool: Self; Supports; Systems and Structures) and ten components within these domains: There are two parts to each ward summary: RAG rating; and ranking of the ward.

Domain	Components
SELF	Life satisfaction
	Education
	Health
	Material wellbeing
SUPPORT	Strong & stable families
	Belonging
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	Local economy
	Public service
	Crime and anti-social behaviour
	Infrastructure

A) RAG rating of indicators and components

Satisfaction with GP surgery
Satisfaction with local hospital
Travel time to local GP

Each of the 61 indicators has been coloured red, amber or green to represent the overall RAG rating for that indicator. Indicators are RAG rated based on 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) or, where this information is not available they are ranked using top and bottom quartiles. Red indicators are those which are significantly worse than the East Sussex average or in the worst quartile, amber indicators are similar to the East Sussex average and green indicators are significantly better than the East Sussex average or in the best quartile. From these, community assets and deficits have been identified to build a picture of community resilience across each ward. 'Red indicators' are identified as deficits and 'Green indicators' as assets.

An overall RAG rating is also calculated for each component based on the number of red, amber and green indicators that constitute the component. 'Red components' are where the majority of indicators are identified as deficits and 'Green components' are where the majority of indicators are identified as assets.

B) Ranking of wards for each indicator

For every indicator, the ward is ranked out of the total number of wards in East Sussex, with 1 being the best ward for that indicator and 101 being the worst. The ranking is included to highlight where the ward sits in the context of all wards in the county. Where the ward is within the ten best in the county the number is green, and where it is within the ten worst in the county it is red. Multiple wards can have the same ranking for an indicator if they have similar scores. A green ranking number does not necessarily mean that the indicator RAG rating will also be green if fewer than ten wards were significantly better than the East Sussex average for that indicator.



Summaries are ordered alphabetically by ward within each District or Borough

2016 WARM WARD SUMMARIES: EASTBOURNE BOROUGH



Devonshire

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		FAMILIES	
R A N K	85	R A N K	93
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Divorce rate
85	86	36	93
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Adults not feeling lonely
85	71	91	86
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Married or cohabiting parents	Elderly living alone
67	101	92	38
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	Income deprivation	Unpaid carers
80	96	89	84
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	JSAY/UC claimants	Lone parents	Membership of local decision making group
78	95	89	70
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAY claimants aged 50+	Feel can influence local decisions	Satisfaction with local hospital
83	78	74	98
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	Satisfaction with GP surgery
85	69	52	64
Older people in deprivation	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	Distance to work
95	96	99	8
Average income	JSAY claimants aged 18-24	Informal volunteering	Travel time to local GP
89	44	94	4

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best; 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Eastbourne Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LOCAL ECONOMY	
PUBLIC SERVICE	
R A N K	94
Crime and antisocial behaviour	Perceived improvement in local crime
R A N K	95
INFRASTRUCTURE	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	97
Perceived improvement in local crime	Satisfaction with local police
R A N K	99
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day
R A N K	99
Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night
R A N K	100
Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime
R A N K	90
Housing in poor condition	Housing condition score
R A N K	23

NOTES:
1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hampden Park

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING	
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		STRONG AND STABLE		LOCAL ECONOMY	
Local area satisfaction	95	5 A/C GCSEs	89	Long term health problem or disability	64	Income support	93	Divorce rate	94
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	92	Years of potential life lost	86	Incapacity benefits or ESA	90	Unemployed parents	92	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	90
aged 16-18 not in employment, education or training	81	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	65	JSA claimants for under 12 months	96	Elderly living alone	27	Adults not feeling lonely	96
Qualified adults	97	Self reported good health	88	Income deprivation	91	Married or cohabiting parents	93	Unpaid carers	93
Further qualified adults	98	Average adult mental well-being score	100	JSA/UC claimants	90	Lone parents	92	Membership of local decision making group	80
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	84	Comparative illness and disability	91	JSA claimants aged 50+	51	Lone parent claimants	86	Feel can influence local decisions	75
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	84	JSA claimants aged 18-24	93	Carer claimants	44	Want more involvement in local decisions	90	Travel time to local GP	35
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	92	Older people in deprivation	91	Formal volunteering	94	Households close to GP surgery	1	Burglary	84
Average income	90	Informal volunteering	96	Informal volunteering	27	Antisocial behaviour	88	Violent crime	88

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Eastbourne Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		R A N K	
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	9
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	18
		Population close to employment centre	1
		Distance to work	52
		Satisfaction with local hospital	84
		Travel time to local GP	35
		Burglary	84
		Antisocial behaviour	88
		Violent crime	88
		Primary school provision	27

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Langney

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	92	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	96
5 AC GCSEs	91	Divorce rate	82
Long term health problem or disability	59	Incapacity benefits or ESA	88
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	96	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	81
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	92	Elderly living alone	24
Qualified adults	93	Married or cohabiting parents	90
Average adult mental well-being score	79	Income deprivation	87
Further qualified adults	99	JSAC claimants	79
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	92	Comparative illness and disability	90
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	80	JSAC claimants aged 50+	71
Older people in deprivation	84	Carer claimants	51
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	88	Formal volunteering	72
Informal volunteering	85	Informal volunteering	85
Primary school provision			

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Eastbourne Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE
PUBLIC SERVICE	PERCEIVED improvement in local crime
LOCAL ECONOMY	Feeling safe in the day
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE	Feeling safe at night
POPULATION CLOSE TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	Distance to work
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL FIRE AND RESCUE	Satisfaction with GP surgery
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL HOSPITAL	Satisfaction with local hospital
TRAVEL TIME TO LOCAL EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	Travel time to local GP
POPULATION CLOSE TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	Households close to GP surgery
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL FIRE AND RESCUE	Anti-social behaviour
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE	Burglary
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL HOSPITAL	All crime
FEELING SAFE AT NIGHT	Housing condition score
FEELING SAFE IN THE DAY	Housing satisfaction
PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Meads

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	90	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	WELBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE	FAMILIES	BELONGING	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	22	5 A-C GCSEs	90	Long term health problem or disability	84	Income support	56	Divorce rate	54	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	87
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	10	Years of potential life lost	92	Incapacity benefits or ESA	78	Unemployed parents	68	Adults not feeling lonely	63
		aged 16-18 not in employment or training	7	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	7	JSA claimants for under 12 months	84	Elderly living alone	100	Unpaid carers	89
		Qualified adults	11	Self reported good health	46	Income deprivation	58	Married or cohabiting parents	71	Membership of local decision making group	34
		Further qualified adults	20	Average adult mental wellbeing score	20	JSA/UC claimants	81	Lone parents	78	Feel can influence local decisions	25
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	77	Comparative illness and disability	67	JSA claimants aged 50+	75	Lone parent claimants	32	Want more involvement in local decisions	45
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	77	JSA claimants aged 18-24	29	Carer claimants	94			Formal volunteering	50
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	70							Informal volunteering	57
		Older people in deprivation	42							Primary school provision	67

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	23
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	12
		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	38
		Satisfaction with GP surgery	17
		Satisfaction with local hospital	51
		Travel time to local GP	40
		Burglary	85
		Households close to GP surgery	1
		Antisocial behaviour	95
		Further education provision	25
		Primary school provision	67

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Old Town

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT		
LIFE SATISFACTION	7	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	Long term health problem or disability	28	Income support	49	Divorce rate	44	
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	29	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	20	Years of potential life lost	67	Incapacity benefits or ESA	46	Unemployed parents	47	Elderly living alone	44
	aged 16-18 not in employment, education or training	66	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	40	JSA claimants for under 12 months	76	Married or cohabiting parents	61	Membership of local decision making group	77	Unpaid carers	74
Qualified adults	27	Self reported good health	36	Income deprivation	52	Average adult mental well-being score	14	JSA/UC claimants	63	Lone parents	60	
Further qualified adults	21	Comparative illness and disability	53	JSA claimants aged 50+	38	Lone parent claimants	33	Want more involvement in local decisions	24	Households close to GP surgery	17	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	54	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	56	JSA claimants aged 18-24	95	Carer claimants	66	Formal volunteering	61	Antisocial behaviour	1	
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	40	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	40	Informal volunteering	63	Further education provision	19	Burglary	47	
		Older people in deprivation	49	Older people in deprivation	49	Violent crime	52	Primary school provision	32			

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	10
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	45	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	40
Travel time to employment centre	45	Satisfaction with GP surgery	57
Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local hospital	78
Distance to work	20	Travel time to local GP	17
Unpaid carers	74	Households close to GP surgery	1
Membership of local decision making group	77	Antisocial behaviour	54
Unemployed parents	21	Further education provision	19
Adults not feeling lonely	21	Violent crime	52
Elderly living alone	44	Primary school provision	32
Married or cohabiting parents	61		
Feel can influence local decisions	48		
Lone parents	60		
Want more involvement in local decisions	24		
Carer claimants	66		
Formal volunteering	61		
Informal volunteering	63		
Older people in deprivation	49		
Managing well financially	43		
Average income	44		

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ratton

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction 28	5 A-C GCSEs 27	Long term health problem or disability 75	Divorce rate 38
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications 51	Years of potential life lost 72	Incapacity benefits or ESA 61	Unemployed parents 60
aged 16-18 not in employment or training 24	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 61	JSAC claimants for under 12 months 96	Elderly living alone 76
Qualified adults 57	Self reported good health 96	Income deprivation 41	Married or cohabiting parents 48
Further qualified adults 39	Average adult mental well-being score 94	JSA/UC claimants 53	Lone parents 51
Educational attainment for 11 year olds 73	Comparative illness and disability 57	JSAC claimants aged 50+ 56	Lone parent claimants 26
Adult mood and anxiety disorders 54	JSAC claimants aged 18-24 53	Carer claimants 40	Want more involvement in local decisions 99
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 62	Older people in deprivation 32	Formal volunteering 45	Households close to GP surgery 1
Average income 57	Managing well financially 65	Informal volunteering 47	Antisocial behaviour 50
			Further education provision 16
			Violent crime 66
			Primary school provision 31

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	Sense of neighbourhood belonging 49
R A N K	Travel time to employment centre 44
FAMILIES STRONG AND STABLE	Population close to employment centre 37
R A N K	Distance to work 78
WELLBEING MATERIAL	Membership of local decision making group 45
R A N K	Feel can influence local decisions 60
EDUCATION	Lone parents 51
R A N K	Want more involvement in local decisions 99
HEALTH	JSAC claimants aged 50+ 56
R A N K	Lone parent claimants 26
WELLBEING MATERIAL	Carer claimants 40
R A N K	Formal volunteering 45
BELONGING	Informal volunteering 47
R A N K	All crime 68
INFRASTRUCTURE	Burglary 77
R A N K	Travel time to local GP 56
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	Households close to GP surgery 1
R A N K	Antisocial behaviour 50
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	Further education provision 16
R A N K	Violent crime 66
INFRASTRUCTURE	Primary school provision 31

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sovereign

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	LIFE SATISFACTION 23	R A N K	EDUCATION 5 A-C GCSEs	R A N K	HEALTH 69	R A N K	WELLBEING 35	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Years of incapacity benefits or ESA	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Membership of local decision making group	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Qualifed adults	Average adult mental well-being score	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	R A N K	Divorce rate
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Further qualified adults	JSAC claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	R A N K	Unemployed parents
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Formal volunteering	Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Further education provision	R A N K	Population close to employment centre
O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Eastbourne Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	PERCEIVED improvement in local crime	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Population close to employment centre	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Distance to work	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Travel time to local GP	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Households close to GP surgery	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	All crime	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Burglary	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Antisocial behaviour	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Violent crime	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	Primary school provision	R A N K	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

St Anthony's

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Satisfaction with local police
49	67	72	32
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Unemployed parents	Population close to employment centre
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Elderly living alone	Distance to work
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Married or cohabiting parents	Satisfaction with GP surgery
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	Lone parents	Satisfaction with local hospital
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Travel time to local GP
43	80	35	67
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Burglary
71	59	30	44
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Primary school provision
59	75	71	7

KEY

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average

■ not significantly different to East Sussex average

■ significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Eastbourne Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE
PUBLIC SERVICE	PERCEIVED improvement in local crime
LOCAL ECONOMY	Satisfaction with local police
R A N K	R A N K
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Travel time to employment centre
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	Population close to employment centre
LOCALLY OWNED	Unpaid carers
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	Membership of local decision making group
STRONG AND STABLE	Unpaid carers
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	Feel can influence local decisions
MATERIAL	Lone parents
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	JSA/UC claimants
EDUCATION	Lone parent claimants
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	Want more involvement in local decisions
STRONG AND STABLE	Formal volunteering
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	Informal volunteering
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	All crime
LOCALLY OWNED	Burglary
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	Households close to GP surgery
MATERIAL	Antisocial behaviour
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	Further education provision
EDUCATION	Violent crime
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	Primary school provision
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K
BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE	
R A N K	R A N K
FAMILIES	
ADDITIONAL ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
LOCALLY OWNED	
R A N K	R A N K
WELLBEING	
MATERIAL	
R A N K	R A N K
HEALTH	
EDUCATION	
R A N K	R A N K</

Upperton

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N N K	EDUCATION	R A N N K	HEALTH	R A N N K	WELBEING	R A N N K	STRONG AND STABLE	FAMILIES	BELONGING	R A N N K
Local area satisfaction	50	5 A-C GCSEs	18	Long term health problem or disability	94	Income support	70	Years of potential life lost	85	Incapacity benefits or ESA	80
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	12	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	90	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	90	JSA claimants for under 12 months	90	Self reported good health	77	Income deprivation	75
Qualified adults	22	Further qualified adults	25	Average adult mental wellbeing score	71	JSA/UC claimants	85	Lone parents	63	Feel can influence local decisions	62
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	70	Comparative illness and disability	77	JSA claimants aged 50+	90	Lone parent claimants	29	Want more involvement in local decisions	22	Households close to GP surgery	1
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	93	JSA claimants aged 18-24	45	Carer claimants	101	Carer claimants	101	Formal volunteering	71	Antisocial behaviour	84
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	60	Older people in deprivation	82	Informal volunteering	15	Older people in deprivation	82	Further education provision	20	Violent crime	72
Average income	67	Managing well financially	67	Primary school provision	15	Managing well financially	67	Primary school provision	15	Violent crime	72

KEY

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average

■ not significantly different to East Sussex average

■ significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score

2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months

3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards

4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Eastbourne Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOR	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	42
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	9	Barriers to housing and services	87
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Population close to employment centre	53	Housing satisfaction	77
Travel time to employment centre	84	Distance to work	21	Feeling safe at night	65
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	98	Membership of local decision making group	4	All crime	78
Unemployed parents	55	Married or cohabiting parents	73	Burglary	67
Elderly living alone	96	Unpaid carers	85	Travel time to local GP	20
Divorce rate	84	Membership of local hospital	95	Households close to GP surgery	1
Adults not feeling lonely	82	Feel can influence local decisions	62	Antisocial behaviour	84
Unpaid carers	85	Want more involvement in local decisions	22	Further education provision	20
Unpaid carers	85	Formal volunteering	71	Violent crime	72
Informal volunteering	15	Informal volunteering	15	Primary school provision	15

2016 WARM WARD SUMMARIES: HASTINGS BOROUGH



Ashdown

SELF		MATERIAL WELLBEING	
EDUCATION		HEALTH	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING
	Local area satisfaction	88	Income support
	5 A-CCSEs	62	Years of potential life lost
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	66	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	61	Self reported good health
	Qualified adults	72	Average adult mental wellbeing score
	Further qualified adults	70	Comparative illness and disability
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	97	Adult mood and anxiety disorders
			JSA claimants aged 18-24
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
KEY		Older people in deprivation	
significantly worse than East Sussex average		73	
not significantly different to East Sussex average		Managing well financially	
significantly better than East Sussex average		9	
Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst		Average income	
If number is red - within worst ten wards			
If number is green - within best ten wards			

KEY	significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
■			
■			
■			

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SUPPORT	BELONGING	
	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
Divorce rate	37	82
Unemployed parents	56	68
Elderly living alone	13	91
Married or cohabiting parents	40	64
Lone parents	35	65
Lone parent claimants	85	38
Carer claimants	27	69
		Informal volunteering
		76

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	CITYWIDE
Travel time to employment centre	14	Satisfaction with local police	8
Population close to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	1
Distance to work	24	Satisfaction with GP surgery	90
		Satisfaction with local hospital	45
		Travel time to local GP	38
		Households close to GP surgery	1
		Further education provision	14
		Primary school provision	35
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR
Perceived improvement in local crime	66	Feeling safe in the day	71
Feeling safe at night	74	All crime	72
Burglary	70	Antisocial behaviour	47
Housing in poor condition score	88	Violent crime	47
Housing satisfaction	15		
Barriers to housing and services	48		
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K	

NOTES:

1. Multi-claimant
2. RAG number
3. The range interval
4. The range interval

Baird

SELF		SUPPORT	
WELLBEING		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION 93	EDUCATION	WELLBEING MATERIAL	FAMILIES STRONG AND STABLE
Local area satisfaction 93	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability 87	Divorce rate 100
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications 99	Years of potential life lost 100	Incapacity benefits or ESA 100	Unemployed parents 96
aged 16-18 not in employment or training 86	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 101	JSAC claimants for under 12 months 81	Elderly living alone 45
Qualified adults 98	Self reported good health 91	Income deprivation 97	Married or cohabiting parents 94
Further qualified adults 96	Average adult mental well-being score 63	JS/AUC claimants 96	Lone parents 90
Educational attainment for 11 year olds 76	Comparative illness and disability 98	JSAC claimants aged 50+ 56	Lone parent claimants 92
Adult mood and anxiety disorders 92	JSAC claimants aged 18-24 73	Carer claimants 61	Want more involvement in local decisions 101
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 100	Older people in deprivation 93	Formal volunteering 84	Households close to GP surgery 65
Average income 94	Informal volunteering 99	Further education provision 10	Antisocial behaviour 97
		Primary school provision 16	Violent crime 94

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR INFRASTRUCTURE	Perceived improvement in local crime Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	17 3
PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
R A N K	68 70
LOCAL ECONOMY	Population close to employment centre Distance to work
R A N K	75 89
Travel time to employment centre Feeling safe in the day Feeling safe at night	Satisfaction with GP surgery Satisfaction with local hospital
76 9 86	36 42
All crime Burglary	86 72
R A N K	90 73
SUPPORT	Travel time to local GP
R A N K	73
BELONGING	Households close to GP surgery Antisocial behaviour
R A N K	65 97
FAMILIES STRONG AND STABLE	Further education provision Primary school provision
R A N K	10 16

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Braybrooke

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		STRONG AND STABLE		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE	
Local area satisfaction	75	5 A-C GCSEs	70	Long term health problem or disability	57	Income support	82	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	88
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	62	Years of potential life lost	83	Incapacity benefits or ESA	86	Unemployed parents	88
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	94	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	70	JSA claimants for under 12 months	95	Elderly living alone	34
		Qualified adults	60	Self reported good health	83	Income deprivation	88	Married or cohabiting parents	87
		Further qualified adults	58	Average adult mental well-being score	63	JSAs/UC claimants	94	Lone parents	81
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	66	Comparative illness and disability	89	JSA claimants aged 50+	70	Lone parent claimants	70
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	88	JSA claimants aged 18-24	71	Carer claimants	91	Formal volunteering	43
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	91	Older people in deprivation	89	Informal volunteering	49	Primary school provision	9
KEY									
■ significantly worse than East Sussex average ■ not significantly different to East Sussex average ■ significantly better than East Sussex average									

- NOTES:
1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
 4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		R A N K	
Perceived improvement in local crime	53	Barriers to housing and services	59		
Satisfaction with local police	58	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	35		
Population close to employment centre	37	Feeling safe in the day	81		
Distance to work	12	Feeling safe at night	87		
Membership with local hospital	79	All crime	81		
Travel time to local GP surgery	9	Burglary	78		
Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	85		
Further education provision	9	Violent crime	83		
Primary school provision	9				

Castle

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N N K	EDUCATION	R A N N K	HEALTH	R A N N K	WELFARE	R A N N K	STRONG AND STABLE	R A N N K	FAMILIES	R A N N K
Local area satisfaction	86	5 A-C GCSEs	57	Long term health problem or disability	63	Income support	91	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	99	Divorce rate	99
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	84	Years of potential life lost	96	Incapacity benefits or ESA	95	Unemployed parents	98	Adults not feeling lonely	95	JSA claimants for under 12 months	91
aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	93	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	81	Elderly living alone	21	Married or cohabiting parents	97	Membership of local decision making group	48	Unpaid carers	80
Qualified adults	78	Self reported good health	82	Income deprivation	99	Lone parents	97	Feel can influence local decisions	66	Average adult mental wellbeing score	93
Further qualified adults	71	Average adult mental wellbeing score	93	JSA/UC claimants	100	Lone parent claimants	50	Want more involvement in local decisions	25	JSAs aged 50+	55
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	79	Comparative illness and disability	95	Carer claimants	93	Carer claimants aged 18-24	40	Formal volunteering	51	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	97
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	94	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	94	Informal volunteering	31	Older people in deprivation	99	Primary school provision	1	Average income	85

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N N K	R A N N K	R A N N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	40
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N N K	Travel time to employment centre	25
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Population close to employment centre	1
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Distance to work	2
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	78
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	72
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Travel time to local GP	3
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Burglary	100
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	All crime	101
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Housing in poor condition	16
PERIODIC SURVEY	R A N N K	Housing satisfaction	96

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Central St Leonards

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT		
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N N K	EDUCATION	R A N N K	Local area satisfaction	R A N N K	HEALTH	R A N N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N N K	
99	5 A-C GCSEs	93	Long term health problem or disability	89	Income support	96	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	101	Divorce rate	101
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	86	Years of potential life lost	101	Incapacity benefits or ESA	101	Unemployed parents	101	Adults not feeling lonely	100	
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	56	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	83	JSA claimants for under 12 months	84	Elderly living alone	47	Unpaid carers	87	
Qualified adults	83	Self reported good health	100	Income deprivation	101	Married or cohabiting parents	101	Membership of local decision making group	39	
Further qualified adults	73	Average adult mental wellbeing score	95	JSA/UC claimants	101	Lone parents	99	Feel can influence local decisions	35	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	61	Comparative illness and disability	99	JSA claimants aged 50+	60	Lone parent claimants	82	Want more involvement in local decisions	73	
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	101	JSA claimants aged 18-24	35	Carer claimants	100	Carer claimants	100	Formal volunteering	95	
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	101	Older people in deprivation	101	Older people in deprivation	101	Informal volunteering	79	Further education provision	8	
Average income	98	Primary school provision	28	Primary school provision	28					

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR		R A N N K	
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	35	Barriers to housing and services	41
Travel time to employment centre	13	Satisfaction with local police	19	Feeling safe in the day	101	Housing satisfaction	101
Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	93	Feeling safe at night	101	Housing in poor condition	9
Distance to work	9	Satisfaction with GP surgery	70	All crime	99		
		Satisfaction with local hospital		Burglary	98		
		Travel time to local GP		Antisocial behaviour	1		
		Households close to GP surgery		Violent crime	8		
		Further education provision		Primary school provision	28		

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Conquest

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
LIFE SATISFACTION	47	EDUCATION	47	HEALTH	51	MATERIAL WELLBEING	44	PUBLIC SERVICE	55
Local area satisfaction	47	5 A-C GCSEs	47	Long term health problem or disability	51	Income support	44	Satisfaction with local police	69
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	53	Years of potential life lost	79	Incapacity benefits or ESA	33	Unemployed parents	28	Perceived improvement in local crime	62
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	9	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	95	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	84	Elderly living alone	22	Feeling safe in the day	49
Qualified adults	66	Self reported good health	56	Income deprivation	29	Married or cohabiting parents	53	Feeling safe at night	84
Further qualified adults	64	Average adult mental well-being score	42	JSAC/UC claimants	56	Lone parents	52	Housing in poor condition score	94
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	51	Comparative illness and disability	74	JSAC claimants aged 50+	79	Lone parent claimants	14	Barriers to housing and services	62
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	53	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	41	Carer claimants	53	Formal volunteering	65	Housing satisfaction	37
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	29	Older people in deprivation	30	Informal volunteering	32	Primary school provision	46		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		CRIME AND LOCAL ECONOMY		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
Perceived improvement in local crime	62	Travel time to employment centre	26	Satisfaction with local police	69	Perceived improvement in local crime	62
Population close to employment centre	53	Unpaid carers	64	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	50	Feeling safe in the day	49
Distance to work	23	Membership of local decision making group	55	Satisfaction with GP surgery	46	Feeling safe at night	84
				Satisfaction with local hospital	57	Housing in poor condition score	94
						Barriers to housing and services	62
						Housing satisfaction	37

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards without JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Gensing

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	80	EDUCATION	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	97	HEALTH	R A N K	Divorce rate	100
Further qualified adults	72	5 A-C GCSEs	R A N K	Long term health problem or disability	78	WELLBEING	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	95
Local area satisfaction	87	5 A-C GCSEs	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	99	MATERIAL	R A N K	Unemployed parents	93
Qualifed adults	76	5 A-C GCSEs	R A N K	JSA claimants for under 12 months	74	FAMILIES	R A N K	Elderly living alone	3
Further qualified adults	72	5 A-C GCSEs	R A N K	Income deprivation	95	STRONG AND STABLE	R A N K	Married or cohabiting parents	99
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	80	EDUCATION	R A N K	Average adult mental wellbeing score	89	WEAKENED	R A N K	Lone parents	95
Older people in deprivation	100	EDUCATION	R A N K	Comparative illness and disability	97	WEAKENED	R A N K	Lone parent claimants	58
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	93	EDUCATION	R A N K	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	100	WEAKENED	R A N K	Carer claimants	98
Average income	83	EDUCATION	R A N K	Older people in deprivation	100	WEAKENED	R A N K	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	93

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	44
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	24
PERCEIVED	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	7
POPULATION	R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	42
DISTANCE TO WORK	R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	5
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL HOSPITAL	R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	20
TRAVEL TIME TO LOCAL GP	R A N K	Travel time to local GP	42
HOUSEHOLDS CLOSE TO GP SURGERY	R A N K	Burglary	95
ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	Anti-social behaviour	1
FORMAL VOLUNTEERING	R A N K	Further education provision	7
INFORMAL VOLUNTEERING	R A N K	Violent crime	98
PRIMARY SCHOOL PROVISION	R A N K	All crime	98

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hollington

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N N K	5 AC GCSEs	R A N N K	Long term health problem or disability	R A N N K	Years of potential life lost	R A N N K	JSA claimants for under 12 months	R A N N K	Average adult mental well being score	R A N N K
Local area satisfaction	96	5 AC GCSEs	79	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	101	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	95	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	93	Further qualified adults	101
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	93	JSAs aged 18-24	96	Self reported good health	99	Self reported good health	99	Carer claimants	33	Older people in deprivation	98
Comparative illness and disability	101	Carer claimants aged 50+	76	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	58	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	96	Formal volunteering	100	Managing well financially	97
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	93	JSAs aged 18-24	58	Older people in deprivation	98	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	96	Informal volunteering	100	Average income	93

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N N K	PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME	R A N N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N N K	SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE	5
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N N K	SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL FIRE AND RESCUE	1
Travel time to employment centre	86	SATISFACTION WITH GP SURGERY	70
Unemployed parents	97	SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL HOSPITAL	83
Elderly living alone	2	TRAVEL TIME TO LOCAL GP	5
Married or cohabiting parents	93	BURGLARY	37
Income deprivation	98	HOUSEHOLDS CLOSE TO GP SURGERY	1
Lone parents	94	ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	92
JSAs UC claimants	93	FURTHER EDUCATION PROVISION	11
Lone parent claimants	76	PRIMARY SCHOOL PROVISION	34
Want more involvement in local decisions	87		
Carer claimants	33		
Older people in deprivation	98		
Managing well financially	97		
Average income	93		

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Maze Hill

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	WELLBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K	BELONGING
46	5 A-C GCSEs	39	Long term health problem or disability	92	Income support	85	Divorce rate	77	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	85	Satisfaction with local police
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	74	Years of potential life lost	88	Incapacity benefits or ESA	84	Unemployed parents	83	Adults not feeling lonely	61	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	88	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	100	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	76	Elderly living alone	53	Unpaid carers	88	Distance to work
Qualified adults	Qualified adults	70	Self reported good health	59	Income deprivation	83	Married or cohabiting parents	79	Membership of local decision making group	29	Satisfaction with local hospital
Further qualified adults	Further qualified adults	54	Average adult mental well-being score	67	JSU/UC claimants	89	Lone parents	73	Feel can influence local decisions	72	Travel time to local GP
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	58	Comparative illness and disability	84	JSAC claimants aged 50+	45	Lone parent claimants	84	Want more involvement in local decisions	47	Households close to GP surgery
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	91	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	57	Carer claimants	90	Formal volunteering	34	Further education provision	4	Violent crime
Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	80	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	84	Informal volunteering	40	Primary school provision	23			

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
- If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Old Hastings

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE		FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
Local area satisfaction	71	5 AC GCSEs	53	Long term health problem or disability	50	Income support	55	Divorce rate	97	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	32	Travel time to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with local police	22	Perceived improvement in local crime	31	Barriers to housing and services	95
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	60	Years of potential life lost	90	Incapacity benefits or ESA	70	Unemployed patients	80	Adults not feeling lonely	69	Population close to employment centre	37	Distance to work	3	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	24	Feeling safe in the day	67	Housing satisfaction	85
aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	75	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	67	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	68	Elderly living alone	33	Unpaid carers	53	Membership of local decision making group	41	Distance to work	3	Satisfaction with GP surgery	67	Feeling safe at night	68	Housing in poor condition	32
Qualified adults	50	Self reported good health	47	Income deprivation	78	Married or cohabiting parents	85	Feel can influence local decisions	6	Satisfaction with local hospital	61	Travel time to local GP	1	Burglary	83	All crime	86		
Further qualified adults	46	Average adult mental well being score	71	JSAY/UC claimants	80	Lone parents	86	Want more involvement in local decisions	19	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	74						
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	70	Comparative illness and disability	75	JSAC claimants aged 50+	61	Lone parent claimants	23	Carer claimants	75	Formal volunteering	26	Further education provision	22	Violent crime	85	Primary school provision	1		
Older people in deprivation	85	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	73	Carer claimants aged 18-24	59	Informal volunteering	22	Older people in deprivation	85	Managing well financially	62	Average income	73						

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR		CRIME AND BEHAVIOR		PUBLIC SERVICE		LOCAL ECONOMY		BELONGING		STRONG AND STABLE		FAMILIES		SUPPORT	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
Perceived improvement in local crime	31	Barriers to housing and services	95	Feeling safe in the day	67	Housing satisfaction	85	Feeling safe at night	68	Housing in poor condition	32								
Travel time to local police	37	Population close to employment centre	37	Distance to work	3	Membership of local decision making group	41	Feel can influence local decisions	6	Travel time to local GP	1	Burglary	83						
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	24	Unpaid carers	53	Lone parents	86	Want more involvement in local decisions	19	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	74								
Satisfaction with local hospital	61	Married or cohabiting parents	85	JSAY/UC claimants	80	Carer claimants	75	Formal volunteering	26	Further education provision	22	Violent crime	85						
Satisfaction with local GP surgery	67	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22	Primary school provision	1								
Distance to work	3	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Membership of local decision making group	41	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Unpaid carers	53	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Lone parents	86	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Want more involvement in local decisions	19	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Carer claimants	75	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Formal volunteering	26	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Informal volunteering	22	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Older people in deprivation	85	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Managing well financially	62	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										
Average income	73	Self reported good health	47	Further qualified adults	46	Comparative illness and disability	75	Informal volunteering	22										

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ore

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING	
EDUCATION		HEALTH		INCOME SUPPORT		STRONG AND STABLE		LOCAL ECONOMY	
Local area satisfaction	78	5 A-C GCSEs	74	Long term health problem or disability	67	Income support	95	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	75
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	97	Years of potential life lost	95	Incapacity benefits or ESA	89	Unemployed parents	94
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	97	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	98	JSA claimants for under 12 months	76	Elderly living alone	32
		Qualified adults	94	Self reported good health	94	Married or cohabiting parents	95	Unpaid carers	14
		Further qualified adults	92	Average adult mental well-being score	83	JSA/UC claimants	84	Membership of local decision making group	75
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	88	Comparative illness and disability	92	Lone parent claimants	93	Feel can influence local decisions	88
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	81	JSA claimants aged 18-24	59	Want more involvement in local decisions	71	Households close to GP surgery	1
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	95	Carer claimants	54	Formal volunteering	97	Antisocial behaviour	82
		Older people in deprivation	88	Informal volunteering	82	Further education provision	32	Burglary	68
						Primary school provision	8	Violent crime	90

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		CRIME AND PUBLIC SERVICE		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R	A	N	N	R	A	N	K
K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K
Perceived improvement in local crime	59	Travel time to employment centre	24	Satisfaction with local police	59	Barriers to housing and services	83
		Population close to employment centre				Feeling safe in the day	91
						Housing satisfaction	63
						Housing in poor condition score	52
All crime	84	Distance to work	59	Satisfaction with GP surgery	61		
Burglary	68	Membership of local decision making group	75	Satisfaction with local hospital	58		
Travel time to local GP	13	Unpaid carers	14				
Violent crime	90	Want more involvement in local decisions	71				
Primary school provision	8	Formal volunteering	97				

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Silverhill

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
LIFE SATISFACTION	86	EDUCATION	70	HEALTH	70	STRONG AND STABLE	70	PUBLIC SERVICE	70
Local area satisfaction	73	5 A-C GCSEs	49	Long term health problem or disability	56	Income support	52	Perceived improvement in local crime	29
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	76	Years of potential life lost	93	Incapacity benefits or ESA	79	Unemployed parents	70	Satisfaction with local police	48
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	51	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	78	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	50	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	37
Qualified adults	75	Self reported good health	87	Income deprivation	79	Married or cohabiting parents	69	Satisfaction with GP surgery	71
Further qualified adults	82	Average adult mental wellbeing score	82	JSA/UC claimants	71	Membership of local decision making group	74	Satisfaction with local hospital	50
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	67	Comparative illness and disability	82	Lone parents	83	Feel can influence local decisions	89	Travel time to local GP	14
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	68	JSA claimants aged 50+	61	Lone parent claimants	19	Want more involvement in local decisions	93	Burglary	63
Children aged 18-24 in deprivation	71	JSA claimants aged 18-24	33	Carer claimants	55	Formal volunteering	88	Households close to GP surgery	1
Older people in deprivation	87	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	71	Informal volunteering	54	Antisocial behaviour	68	Further education provision	12
Average income	70					Primary school provision	1	Violent crime	70

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R	A	N	K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOR	70	INFRASTRUCTURE	70
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	70	R	A
LOCAL ECONOMY	70	N	N
PUBLIC SERVICE	70	K	K
SUPPORT	70		
BELONGING	70		
FAMILIES	70		
STRONG AND STABLE	70		
SUPPORT	70		
SELF	70		
WELLBEING	70		
EDUCATION	70		
HEALTH	70		
MATERIAL WELLBEING	70		
WELLBEING	70		
STRONG AND STABLE	70		
BELONGING	70		
FAMILIES	70		
LOCAL ECONOMY	70		
PUBLIC SERVICE	70		
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	70		
INFRASTRUCTURE	70		

- NOTES:
1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
 4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

St Helens

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
32	41	31	78
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents
58	76	34	32
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone
52	69	99	87
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents
64	55	51	33
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSA/UC claimants	Lone parents
66	27	43	11
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants
41	68	61	16
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering
70	59	26	36
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Violent crime
20	61	58	59
Average income	Managing well financially	Primary school provision	Primary school provision
64	19	22	22

KEY

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average

■ not significantly different to East Sussex average

■ significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE
R A N K	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime
LOCAL ECONOMY	Satisfaction with local police
R A N K	Travel time to employment centre
POPULATION	Population close to employment centre
R A N K	Distance to work
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL HOSPITAL	Satisfaction with local hospital
R A N K	Travel time to local GP
BURGLARY	Households close to GP surgery
R A N K	Anti-social behaviour
ALL CRIME	Further education provision
R A N K	Primary school provision

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score

2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months

3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards

4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Tressell

Hastings Borough

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE	
SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LIFE SATISFACTION	98	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K	Long term health problem or disability	39	Income support	101	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	101	Travel time to employment centre	55
Local area satisfaction	83	5 A-C GCSEs	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	98	Incapacity benefits or ESA	93	Unemployed parents	100	Population close to employment centre	53	Adults not feeling lonely	89	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	60
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	95	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	96	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	80	Elderly living alone	5	Distance to work	51	Unpaid carers	19	Satisfaction with GP surgery	97
		aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	96	Self reported good health	81	Married or cohabiting parents	100	Membership of local decision making group	92	Satisfaction with local hospital	48	Divorce rate	91	Feeling safe in the day	88
		Qualified adults	95	Average adult mental wellbeing score	101	JS/AUC claimants	98	Lone parents	98	Travel time to local GP	34	Unemployed parents	98	Perceived improvement in local crime	79
		Further qualified adults	94	Comparative illness and disability	96	JSAC claimants aged 50+	69	Lone parent claimants	101	Burglary	57	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	89	Barriers to housing and services	6
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	96	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	70	Carer claimants	74	Want more involvement in local decisions	7	Households close to GP surgery	1	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	99	Housing satisfaction	98
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	89	Older people in deprivation	97	Formal volunteering	98	Further education provision	6	Antisocial behaviour	94	Informal volunteering	101	Violent crime	87
						Primary school provision	1								

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

West St Leonards

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		FAMILIES	
R A N K	LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING
77	5 A/C GCSEs	59	Income support
Local area satisfaction	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	76
83	83	Incapacity benefits or ESA	76
aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	99
78	78	Elderly living alone	16
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Married or cohabiting parents	72
77	89	Membership of local decision making group	100
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	Lone parents	68
89	91	Feel can influence local decisions	93
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	Lone parent claimants	66
58	85	Want more involvement in local decisions	4
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	Formal volunteering	96
65	53	Informal volunteering	72
Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Primary school provision	54
77	76		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LOCAL ECONOMY	
PUBLIC SERVICE	
R A N K	R A N K
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Travel time to employment centre
83	41
Unemployed patients	Population close to employment centre
74	1
Elderly living alone	Distance to work
16	66
Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group
77	100
JSA/UC claimants	Lone parents
73	68
Comparative illness and disability	Want more involvement in local decisions
79	4
JSAC claimants aged 50+	Carer claimants
66	28
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Formal volunteering
65	96
Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering
77	72
Managing well financially	Primary school provision
91	54
Average income	
60	

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Wishing Tree

SUPPORT		BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		Sense of neighbourhood belonging	
Divorce rate		96	
Unemployed parents		91	
R A N K	R A N K	Adults not feeling lonely	46
WELLBEING MATERIAL		Membership of local decision making group	
Income support		101	
Incapacity benefits or ESA		38	
R A N K	R A N K	Unpaid carers	38
HEALTH		Feel can influence local decisions	
Long term health problem or disability		79	
Years of potential life lost		91	
R A N K	R A N K	Lone parents	91
JSA claimants for under 12 months		79	
Income deprivation		63	
R A N K	R A N K	Lone parent claimants	64
JSA/UC claimants		77	
Comparative illness and disability		63	
R A N K	R A N K	Carer claimants	68
Further qualified adults		79	
Adult mood and anxiety disorders		79	
R A N K	R A N K	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	89
EDUCATION		Older people in deprivation	
Local area satisfaction		96	
R A N K	R A N K	Managing well financially	49
UFE SATISFACTION		93	
R A N K	R A N K	Average income	97

KEY	Significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
■	Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst	If number is red - within worst ten wards	If number is green - within best ten wards
□			
■			

Hastings Borough

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR
27	Travel time to employment centre	16	Perceived improvement in local crime
1	Population close to employment centre	12	Feeling safe in the day
94	Distance to work	84	Feeling safe at night
		60	All crime
		22	Burglary
		1	Antisocial behaviour
		5	Violent crime
		11	Primary school provision
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE
	Satisfaction with local police		Barriers to housing and services
	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue		Housing satisfaction
	Satisfaction with GP surgery		Housing in poor condition score

NOTES:

1. Multipletwards can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. Wards with no JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 13 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards

2016 WARM WARD SUMMARIES: LEWES DISTRICT



Barcombe and Hamsey

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES
Local area satisfaction	44	5 A-C GCSEs	45	Long term health problem or disability	13	Income support	33	Divorce rate	13
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	6	Years of potential life lost	9	Incapacity benefits or ESA	21	Unemployed parents	37	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	2
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	43	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	17	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	12	Adults not feeling lonely	6
Qualified adults	12	Self reported good health	71	Income deprivation	28	Married or cohabiting parents	13	Unpaid carers	10
Further qualified adults	6	Average adult mental well-being score	30	JSU/UC claimants	16	Lone parents	24	Membership of local decision making group	98
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	23	Comparative illness and disability	24	JSAC claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	24	Feel can influence local decisions	4
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	24	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	71	Want more involvement in local decisions	69	Travel time to local GP	93
Older people in deprivation	26	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	18	Formal volunteering	1	Households close to GP surgery	89	Burglary	99
Managing well financially	28	Informal volunteering	4	Antisocial behaviour	19	Further education provision	78	Violent crime	2
Average income	15	Primary school provision	66						

KEY

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average

■ not significantly different to East Sussex average

■ significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	91	Satisfaction with local police
R A N K		R A N K	Population close to employment centre	93	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
R A N K		R A N K	Distance to work	81	Satisfaction with GP surgery
R A N K		R A N K		16	Satisfaction with local hospital
R A N K		R A N K		16	All crime
R A N K		R A N K		50	
R A N K		R A N K			

Chailey and Wivelsfield

Lewes District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	33	5 A-C GCSEs	25	Long term health disability	8	Income support	9
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	21	Years of potential life lost	39	Inccapacity benefits or ESA	13	ISA claimants for under 12 months	1
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	18	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	27	Elderly living alone	6	Unpaid carers	59
Qualified adults	30	Self reported good health	33	Married or cohabiting parents	20	Membership of local decision making group	21
Further qualified adults	22	Average adult mental wellbeing score	35	JSA/UC claimants	22	Lone parents	26
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	11	Comparative illness and disability	26	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	21
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	20	JSA claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	3	Want more involvement in local decisions	15
Older people in deprivation	12	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	32	Formal volunteering	20	Feel can influence local decisions	85
Managing well financially	23	Informal volunteering	9	Travel time to local GP	82	Burglary	74
Average income	5	Primary school provision	70	Households close to GP surgery	88	Anti social behaviour	16
KEY		Violent crime	21	Further education provision	75		
significantly worse than East Sussex average							
not significantly different to East Sussex average							
significantly better than East Sussex average							
Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst							
If number is red - within worst ten wards							
If number is green - within best ten wards							

KEY	
	significantly worse than East Sussex average
	not significantly different to East Sussex average
	significantly better than East Sussex average
	Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
	If number is red - within worst ten wards
	If number is green - within best ten wards

sign

- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

If number is red - within worst ten words
If number is green - within best ten words

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards without JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ditchling and Westmeston

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
Local area satisfaction 2	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability 81	Income support 10	Years of potential life lost 5	Incapacity benefits or ESA 2	JSA claimants for under 12 months 1	Elderly living alone 77	Unemployed parents 25	Divorce rate 6
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Self reported good health	Self reported adults 4	Income deprivation 14	Married or cohabiting parents 7	Membership of local decision making group 2	Sense of neighbourhood belonging 1	Unpaid carers 30
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score 1	JSA/UC claimants 20	Lone parents 8	JSAs aged 50+ 1	Lone parent claimants 99	Want more involvement in local decisions 11	Travel time to local GP 60	Burglary 64
Older people in deprivation 9	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 21	JSAs aged 18-24 1	Carer claimants 55	Formal volunteering 3	Informal volunteering 5	Households close to GP surgery 79	Anti social behaviour 26	Further education provision 56	Violent crime 22
Average income 19	Primary school provision 81								

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	PUBLIC SERVICE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE
LOCAL ECONOMY	LOCAL ECONOMY	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services
PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME	PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME	38	78
FEELING SAFE IN THE DAY	FEELING SAFE IN THE DAY	71	14
FEELING SAFE AT NIGHT	FEELING SAFE AT NIGHT	7	12
HOUSING SATISFACTION	HOUSING SATISFACTION	1	1
HOUSING IN POOR CONDITION SCORE	HOUSING IN POOR CONDITION SCORE	36	36

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

East Saltdean and Telscombe Cliffs

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N N K	EDUCATION	R A N N K	HEALTH	R A N N K	WELBEING	R A N N K	MATERIAL	R A N N K	FAMILIES	R A N N K
Local area satisfaction	68	5 A-C GCSEs	73	Long term health problem or disability	41	Income support	22	Years of potential life lost	43	Incapacity benefits or ESA	38
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	49	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	22	0-19 year olds not in employment in education or training	47	JSA claimants for under 12 months	66	Elderly living alone	59	Unpaid carers	31
Qualified adults	63	Self reported good health	51	Self reported good health	51	Income deprivation	53	Married or cohabiting parents	49	Membership of local decision making group	57
Further qualified adults	68	Average adult mental wellbeing score	46	JSA/UC claimants	62	Lone parents	49	Feel can influence local decisions	33	Travel time to local GP	46
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	52	Comparative illness and disability	46	JSA claimants aged 50+	75	Lone parent claimants	22	Want more involvement in local decisions	56	Households close to GP surgery	1
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	69	JSA claimants aged 18-24	29	Carer claimants	89	Formal volunteering	77	Anti-social behaviour	37	Further education provision	90
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	39	Older people in deprivation	72	Informal volunteering	23	Violent crime	48	Primary school provision	78		

KEY

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average

■ not significantly different to East Sussex average

■ significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score

2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months

3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards

4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	64
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	71	Barriers to housing and services	86
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Population close to employment centre	1	Feeling safe in the day	38
Travel time to employment centre		Distance to work		Feeling safe at night	48
Sense of neighbourhood belonging		Unpaid carers		Housing condition score	86
Adults not feeling lonely		Membership of local decision making group		Housing satisfaction	72
JSA claimants for under 12 months		Married or cohabiting parents			
Elderly living alone		Lone parents			
Unemployed parents		Want more involvement in local decisions			
Divorce rate		Formal volunteering			
Unpaid carers		Informal volunteering			
Membership of local decision making group		Older people in deprivation			
Married or cohabiting parents		Managing well financially			
Lone parents		Average income			
Want more involvement in local decisions					
Formal volunteering					
Informal volunteering					

Kingston

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		HEALTH		STRONG AND STABLE		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLC SERVICE	
LIFE SATISFACTION		5 A-C GCSEs		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		Years of potential life lost		Divorce rate	
Local area satisfaction	5	Long term health problem or disability	27	Income support	8	Incapacity benefits or ESA	15	Unemployed parents	3
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	4	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	52	Unpaid carers	41
		aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	15	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	22	Married or cohabiting parents	34	Membership of local decision making group	4
		Qualified adults	7	Self reported good health	29	Want more involvement in local decisions	61	Satisfaction with local hospital	12
		Further qualified adults	3	Average adult mental well being score	17	Lone parents	23	Travel time to local GP	34
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	23	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Carer claimants	35	Burglary	59
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	33	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Formal volunteering	18	Households close to GP surgery	95
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	5	Carer claimants	35	Informal volunteering	26	Anti social behaviour	13
		Older people in deprivation	2					Further education provision	86
								Violent crime	58
								Primary school provision	50

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		R A N K		R A N K	
PUBLCSERVICE		Barriers to housing and services		Perceived improvement in local crime	
LOCAL ECONOMY		Satisfaction with local police		1	
PUBLIC SERVICE		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue		5	
INFRASTRUCTURE		Satisfaction with GP surgery		18	
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		Feeling safe in the day		17	
INFRASTRUCTURE		Feeling safe at night		7	
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		Housing satisfaction		13	
INFRASTRUCTURE		Housing in poor condition score		13	

Lewes Bridge

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
LIFE SATISFACTION	34	EDUCATION	5 A/C GCSEs	HEALTH	26	WELLBEING	MATERIAL	STRONG AND STABLE	FAMILIES
Local area satisfaction	34	5 A/C GCSEs	26	Long term health problem or disability	29	Income support	51	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	80
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	17	Years of potential life lost	27	Incapacity benefits or ESA	48	Unemployed parents	66	Adults not feeling lonely	20
aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	49	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	31	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	63	Unpaid carers	83
Qualified adults	18	Self reported good health	54	Income deprivation	65	Married or cohabiting parents	70	Membership of local decision making group	5
Further qualified adults	11	Average adult mental well being score	77	JSAC claimants	55	Lone parents	77	Feel can influence local decisions	76
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	4	Comparative illness and disability	47	JSAC claimants aged 50+	47	Lone parent claimants	94	Want more involvement in local decisions	39
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	64	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	95	Formal volunteering	33	Further education provision	42
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	64	Older people in deprivation	64	Informal volunteering	18	Primary school provision	26	Violent crime	78

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	81	Perceived improvement in local crime	18	Barriers to housing and services	60
PUBLIC SERVICE	8	Satisfaction with local police	8	Housing satisfaction	82
LOCAL ECONOMY	35	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	29	Housing in poor condition score	68
Travel time to employment centre	37	Satisfaction with GP surgery	45		
Population close to employment centre	35	Satisfaction with local hospital	25		
Distance to work	35	All crime	88		
Travel time to local GP	37	Burglary	30		
Households close to GP surgery	1	Anti-social behaviour	96		
Further education provision	42	Violent crime	78		
Primary school provision	26				

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes Castle

Lewes District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	5 AC GCSEs	HEALTH	MATERIAL WELLBEING	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE
Local area satisfaction	48	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Perceived improvement in local crime
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local police
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training		0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Population close to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
Qualified adults		Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Distance to work	Feeling safe in the day
Further qualified adults		Average adult mental well-being score	JSAYUC claimants	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night
Educational attainment for 11 year olds		Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Satisfaction with local hospital	Housing in poor condition score
Adult mood and anxiety disorders		JSA claimants aged 18-24	Lone parent claimants	All crime	Housing satisfaction
Older people in deprivation		Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	Burglary	Housing condition score
Managing well financially		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Formal volunteering	Antisocial behaviour	Violent crime
Average income		Informal volunteering	Informal volunteering	Households close to GP surgery	Primary school provision

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes Priority

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
Local area satisfaction	25	5 A-C GCSEs	12	Long term health problem or disability	21	Income support	34	Divorce rate	46
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	8	Years of potential life lost	30	Incapacity benefits or ESA	24	Unemployed parents	58	Adults not feeling lonely	49
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	32	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	2	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	49	Unpaid carers	46
Qualified adults	13	Self reported good health	24	Income deprivation	48	Married or cohabiting parents	51	Membership of local decision making group	19
Further qualified adults	4	Average adult mental well-being score	44	JSA/UC claimants	54	Lone parents	54	Feel can influence local decisions	11
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	25	Comparative illness and disability	29	JSAC claimants aged 50+	34	Lone parent claimants	52	Want more involvement in local decisions	9
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	47	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	53	Carer claimants	80	Formal volunteering	15	Further education provision	41
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	47	Older people in deprivation	34	Informal volunteering	19	Violent crime	53	Primary school provision	14

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	POPULATION CLOSE TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	R A N K
Travel time to employment centre	23	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	80
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	14	Distance to work	29
Unemployed parents	58	Satisfaction with GP surgery	80
Adults not feeling lonely	49	Satisfaction with local hospital	8
Elderly living alone	49	Travel time to local GP	74
Married or cohabiting parents	51	Households close to GP surgery	1
Self reported good health	48	Antisocial behaviour	64
Average adult mental well-being score	44	Further education provision	41
Comparative illness and disability	29	Violent crime	53
JSAC claimants aged 50+	34	Primary school provision	14
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	47		
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	47		
Older people in deprivation	34		
Managing well financially	34		
Average income	27		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Newhaven Denton and Meeching

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		ANTSOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE	
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE		FAMILIES		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
Local area satisfaction	100	5 A-C GCSEs	96	Long term health problem or disability	52	Income support	86	Divorce rate	76	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	89	Unemployed parents	79	Adults not feeling lonely	83
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	88	Years of potential life lost	68	Incapacity benefits or ESA	75	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	71	Elderly living alone	35	Unpaid carers	4	Distance to work	58	Membership of local decision making group	46
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	82	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	35	JSAC claimants aged 50+	39	Married or cohabiting parents	84	Lone parents	79	Feel can influence local decisions	97	Travel time to Local GP	65	Households close to GP surgery	1
Qualified adults	88	Self reported good health	86	Income deprivation	76	JS/AUC claimants	75	Carer claimants	90	Want more involvement in local decisions	50	Burglary	51	Antisocial behaviour	87
Further qualified adults	86	Average adult mental well-being score	87	Comparative illness and disability	69	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	74	Formal volunteering	70	Formal volunteering	70	Further education provision	91	Violent crime	77
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	82	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	76	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	86	Older people in deprivation	66	Informal volunteering	65	Primary school provision	73				

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
- If number is red - within worst ten wards
- If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		ANTSOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		R A N K	
PUBLIC SERVICE		R A N K	
LOCAL ECONOMY		R A N K	
BELONGING		R A N K	
FAMILIES		R A N K	
SUPPORT		R A N K	
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		R A N K	

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Newhaven Valley

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		STRONG AND STABLE		LOCAL ECONOMY	
Local area satisfaction	101	5 A-C GCSEs	92	Long term health problem or disability	33	Income support	88	Divorce rate	89
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	91	Years of potential life lost	60	Incapacity benefits or ESA	85	Unemployed parents	87	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	92
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	98	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	94	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	68	Elderly living alone	20	Adults not feeling lonely	88
Qualified adults	92	Self reported good health	95	Married or cohabiting parents	77	Unpaid carers	39	Distance to work	38
Further qualified adults	93	Average adult mental well-being score	71	JSAC claimants	91	Lone parents	82	Feeling can influence local decisions	99
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	95	Comparative illness and disability	76	Lone parent claimants	45	Want more involvement in local decisions	83	Households close to GP surgery	1
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	78	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	79	Carer claimants	78	Formal volunteering	85	Further education provision	91
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	80	Older people in deprivation	86	Informal volunteering	12	Primary school provision	68	Violent crime	97

KEY

significantly worse than East Sussex average

not significantly different to East Sussex average

significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR		PUBLIC SERVICE		LOCAL ECONOMY	
SUPPORT		BELONGING		R A N K		R A N K		R A N K	
Perceived improvement in local crime	54	Satisfaction with local police	83	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	90	Feeling safe in the day	84	Housing satisfaction	93
Barriers to housing and services	22	Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with GP surgery	98	Feeling safe at night	92	Housing in poor condition	57
All crime	89	Membership of local decision making group	91	Satisfaction with local hospital	69	Burglary	17	Antisocial behaviour	90
Travel time to local GP	52	Lone parents	82	Travel time to local GP	52	Violent crime	97	Further education provision	91
Households close to GP surgery	1	Want more involvement in local decisions	83	Informal volunteering	12	Primary school provision	68	Managing well financially	90

Newick

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	R A N K	Health	R A N K	Income support	R A N K	Divorce rate	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
1	5 A-C GCSEs	65	Long term health problem or disability	11	29	5	Unemployed parents	12	Adults not feeling lonely
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	7	Years of potential life lost	45	16	1	Elderly living alone	66	Unpaid carers
	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	30	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	33	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	Married or cohabiting parents	5	Membership of local decision making group
	Qualified adults	6	Self reported good health	17	Income deprivation		Lone parents	9	Feel can influence local decisions
	Further qualified adults	17	Average adult mental well-being score	10	JS/AUC claimants	14	Lone parent claimants	1	Want more involvement in local decisions
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	3	Comparative illness and disability	18	JSAC claimants aged 50+		Carer claimants	4	Formal volunteering
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	16	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	1			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	8	Informal volunteering
	Older people in deprivation	21					Older people in deprivation	21	

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ouse Valley and Ringmer

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		INFRASTRUCTURE							
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		STRONG AND STABLE		Divorce rate		Satisfaction with local police		Travel time to employment centre		Population close to employment centre		Perceived improvement in local crime		Barriers to housing and services			
Local area satisfaction	41	5 A-C GCSEs	75	Long term health problem or disability	42	Income support	57	Incapacity benefits or ESA	47	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	46	Travel time to employment centre	52	Population close to employment centre	53	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	34	Feeling safe in the day	12	Housing satisfaction	46
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	38	Years of potential life lost	31	Unemployed parents	44	Adults not feeling lonely	52	Unpaid carers	12	Distance to work	41	Membership of local decision making group	8	Satisfaction with local hospital	13	Feeling safe at night	29	Housing in poor condition score	40
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	70	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	19	Elderly living alone	69	Married or cohabiting parents	35	Lone parents	40	Travel time to Local GP	61	Burglary	89						
		Qualified adults	46	Self reported good health	28	Income deprivation	57			Feel can influence local decisions	5										
		Further qualified adults	41	Average adult mental well-being score	12	JSAC/UC claimants	46	JSAC claimants aged 50+	47	Lone parent claimants	71										
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	44	Comparative illness and disability	43			JSAC claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	24										
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	51							Formal volunteering	31										
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	66							Informal volunteering	1										
		Older people in deprivation	39																		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		R A N K		R A N K	
PUBLIC SERVICE		R A N K		Perceived improvement in local crime	
LOCAL ECONOMY		Satisfaction with local police		33	
Travel time to employment centre		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue		52	
Population close to employment centre		Satisfaction with GP surgery		41	
Distance to work		Satisfaction with local hospital		13	
Unpaid carers		All crime		3	
Membership of local decision making group		Travel time to Local GP		57	
Elderly living alone		Burglary		61	
Unemployed parents		Households close to GP surgery		89	
Adults not feeling lonely		Antisocial behaviour		72	
Unpaid carers		Further education provision		40	
Membership of local decision making group		Primary school provision		51	
All crime		Violent crime		42	
Travel time to Local GP		Primary school provision		63	

NOTES:
1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSAC claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSAC claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Peacehaven East

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		CRIME AND VICTIMISATION		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SUPPORT	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N
N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K
K		K		K		K		K		K		K		K		K		K	
Local area satisfaction	82	5 A-C GCSEs	94	Long term health problem or disability	95	Income support	80	Divorce rate	61	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	73	Travel time to employment centre	81	Satisfaction with local police	27	Perceived improvement in local crime	48	Barriers to housing and services	46
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	79	Years of potential life lost	61	Incapacity benefits or ESA	71	Unemployed parents	81	Elderly living alone	72	Adults not feeling lonely	78	Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	17	Feeling safe in the day	46	Housing satisfaction	57
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	63	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	88	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	Married or cohabiting parents	76	Unpaid carers	13	Distance to work	90	Satisfaction with GP surgery	39	Feeling safe at night	56	Housing in poor condition score	91		
Qualified adults	91	Self reported good health	74	Income deprivation	82	Membership of local decision making group	58	Membership of local hospital	47	All crime	47								
Further qualified adults	91	Average adult mental well-being score	79	JSAC claimants	69	Lone parents	74	Feel can influence local decisions	91	Burglary	18	Travel time to local GP	54						
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	64	Comparative illness and disability	81	JSAC claimants aged 50+	88	Lone parent claimants	73	Want more involvement in local decisions	44	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	69						
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	87	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	59	Carer claimants	20	Formal volunteering	83	Further education provision	91	Violent crime	50								
Older people in deprivation	81	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	79	Informal volunteering	90	Primary school provision	77												

KEY

significantly worse than East Sussex average

not significantly different to East Sussex average

significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score

2. Wards within JSAC claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSAC claimants for under 12 months

3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards

4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		CRIME AND VICTIMISATION		PUBLIC SERVICE		LOCAL ECONOMY		BELONGING		FAMILIES		HEALTH		WELLBEING		SUPPORT	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N	A	N
N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K	N	K
K		K		K		K		K		K		K		K		K		K	
Older people in deprivation	81	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	79	Informal volunteering	90	Primary school provision	77	Older people in deprivation	81	Managing well financially	75	Antisocial behaviour	69	Further education provision	91	Violent crime	50	Primary school provision	77
Average income	74	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	87	Carer claimants	20	Formal volunteering	83	Older people in deprivation	81										

Peacehaven North

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		ANTSOCIAL		INFRASTRUCTURE	
Local area satisfaction	94	EDUCATION	85	HEALTH	45	WELBEING	60	Divorce rate	62	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	99	Perceived improvement in local crime	70	Barriers to housing and services	25	R A N K	R A N K
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	85	Years of potential life lost	48	Incapacity benefits or ESA	82	Unemployed parents	71	Adults not feeling lonely	80	Travel time to employment centre	39	Housing satisfaction	45		
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	79	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	68	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	84	Elderly living alone	8	Unpaid carers	20	Population close to employment centre	37	Housing in poor condition score	100		
		Qualified adults	84	Self reported good health	69	Income deprivation	66	Married or cohabiting parents	74	Membership of local decision making group	97	Distance to work	85	Feeling safe at night	67		
		Further qualified adults	85	Average adult mental well-being score	87	JSAY/UC claimants	65	Lone parents	70	Feel can influence local decisions	87	Travel time to local GP	16	Burglary	6		
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	68	Comparative illness and disability	72	JSAC claimants aged 50+	39	Lone parent claimants	27	Want more involvement in local decisions	32	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	51		
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	82	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	35	Carer claimants	62	Formal volunteering	92	Further education provision	91	Violent crime	55				
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	69	Informal volunteering	66	Older people in deprivation	55	Primary school provision	65								

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		ANTSOCIAL		INFRASTRUCTURE	
Perceived improvement in local crime	25	Perceived improvement in local crime	70	Perceived improvement in local crime	25
Satisfaction with local police	70	Satisfaction with local police	70	Satisfaction with local police	70
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	57	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	57	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	57
Satisfaction with GP surgery	81	Satisfaction with GP surgery	81	Satisfaction with GP surgery	81
Satisfaction with local hospital	32	Satisfaction with local hospital	32	Satisfaction with local hospital	32
Travel time to local GP	16	Travel time to local GP	16	Travel time to local GP	16
Households close to GP surgery	1	Households close to GP surgery	1	Households close to GP surgery	1
Antisocial behaviour	51	Antisocial behaviour	51	Antisocial behaviour	51
Violent crime	55	Violent crime	55	Violent crime	55
Primary school provision	65	Primary school provision	65	Primary school provision	65

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSAC claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSAC claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Peacehaven West

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
Local area satisfaction		FAMILIES	
R A N K	83	R A N K	R A N K
5 A-C GCSEs	77	Divorce rate	79
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	82	Years of potential life lost	75
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	87	Incapacity benefits or ESA	81
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	48	JSA claimants for under 12 months	72
Qualified adults	86	Income deprivation	85
Further qualified adults	88	Average adult mental well-being score	81
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	55	Comparative illness and disability	79
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	94	JSA claimants aged 50+	79
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	81	JSA claimants aged 18-24	53
Older people in deprivation	83	Carer claimants	83

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LOCAL ECONOMY	
PUBLIC SERVICE	
R A N K	R A N K
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	78
Unemployed parents	78
Elderly living alone	90
Married or cohabitating parents	82
Lone parents	80
Lone parent claimants	83
JSA claimants aged 50+	79
Carer claimants	83
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	81
Older people in deprivation	83
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	
R A N K	R A N K
Perceived improvement in local crime	41
Population close to employment centre	53
Distance to work	77
Membership of local decision making group	24
Unpaid carers	50
Unpaid carers	50
Feel can influence local decisions	64
Want more involvement in local decisions	54
Formal volunteering	90
Informal volunteering	69
INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K
Barriers to housing and services	28
Housing satisfaction	85
Housing in poor condition	63
All crime	75
Burglary	34
Households close to GP surgery	1
Further education provision	91
Primary school provision	56

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Plumpton, Streat, East Chiltington and St John (Without)

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">INFRASTRUCTURE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		INFRASTRUCTURE		R	A	A	N	N	K
INFRASTRUCTURE									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		R	A	A	N	N	K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
LOCAL ECONOMY									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">PUBLIC SERVICE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		PUBLIC SERVICE		R	A	A	N	N	K
PUBLIC SERVICE									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">BELONGING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		BELONGING		R	A	A	N	N	K
BELONGING									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">WELLBEING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		WELLBEING		R	A	A	N	N	K
WELLBEING									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
EDUCATION									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">HEALTH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		HEALTH		R	A	A	N	N	K
HEALTH									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
LIFE SATISFACTION									
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">MATERIAL WELLBEING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		MATERIAL WELLBEING		R	A	A	N	N	K
MATERIAL WELLBEING									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
Local area satisfaction	64								
5 A-C GCSEs	8								
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	3								
aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	20								
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	1								
Qualified adults	9								
Further qualified adults	16								
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	34								
Long term health problem or disability	11								
Years of potential life lost	15								
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	12								
Self reported good health	16								
Average adult mental well-being score	46								
Comparative illness and disability	17								
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	12								
Older people in deprivation	14								
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	4								
Older people in deprivation	14								
Managing well financially	58								
Average income	6								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">SUPPORT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		SUPPORT		R	A	A	N	N	K
SUPPORT									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">SELF</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		SELF		R	A	A	N	N	K
SELF									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">WELLBEING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		WELLBEING		R	A	A	N	N	K
WELLBEING									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">EDUCATION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		EDUCATION		R	A	A	N	N	K
EDUCATION									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">HEALTH</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		HEALTH		R	A	A	N	N	K
HEALTH									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">LIFE SATISFACTION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		LIFE SATISFACTION		R	A	A	N	N	K
LIFE SATISFACTION									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		R	A	A	N	N	K
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">WELLBEING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		WELLBEING		R	A	A	N	N	K
WELLBEING									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">MATRICAL</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		MATRICAL		R	A	A	N	N	K
MATRICAL									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">BELONGING</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		BELONGING		R	A	A	N	N	K
BELONGING									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">SUPPORT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		SUPPORT		R	A	A	N	N	K
SUPPORT									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">SELF</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		SELF		R	A	A	N	N	K
SELF									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R</td><td>A</td></tr> <tr> <td>A</td><td>N</td></tr> <tr> <td>N</td><td>K</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		R	A	A	N	N	K
SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES									
R	A								
A	N								
N	K								

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Seaford Central

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL	R A N K	SUPPORT
12	5 A-C GCSEs	13	Long term health problem or disability	79	Income support	46	Divorce rate	90	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		Years of potential life lost	73	Incapacity benefits or ESA	65	Unemployed parents	63	Adults not feeling lonely
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training		0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	42	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	99	Elderly living alone	84	Unpaid carers
	Qualified adults	41	Self reported good health	38	Income deprivation	64	Married or cohabiting parents	78	Membership of local decision making group
	Further qualified adults	50	Average adult mental well-being score	42	JS/A/UC claimants	78	Lone parents	84	Feel can influence local decisions
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	28	Comparative illness and disability	59	JSAC claimants aged 50+	93	Lone parent claimants	41	Want more involvement in local decisions
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	79	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	31	Carer claimants	99	Formal volunteering	80	Older people in deprivation
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	54	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	54	Informal volunteering	83	Further education provision	91	Violent crime
	Older people in deprivation	67	Older people in deprivation	67	Primary school provision	28	Violent crime	79	Primary school provision

KEY

significantly worse than East Sussex average

not significantly different to East Sussex average

significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSAC claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSAC claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		PUBLIC SERVICE		LOCAL ECONOMY	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	
81	43	44	16	52	1	27	39	73	47	77	

Seaford East

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction 20	5 A-C GCSEs	Sense of neighbourhood belonging 8	Travel time to employment centre 66
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Divorce rate 26	Satisfaction with local police 12
	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	Unemployed parents 62	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 37
	Qualifed adults	Elderly living alone 1	Distance to work 88
		JSAC claimants for under 12 months 51	Satisfaction with GP surgery 58
		Income deprivation 85	Satisfaction with local hospital 49
		JSAC claimants 58	Travel time to Local GP 44
		Average adult mental well-being score 18	Burglary 23
		Comparative illness and disability 40	Households close to GP surgery 65
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders 44	Anti-social behaviour 35
		Carer claimants 47	Further education provision 91
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 57	Violent crime 17
		Older people in deprivation 25	Primary school provision 42

KEY

significantly worse than East Sussex average

not significantly different to East Sussex average

significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	INFRASTRUCTURE
PUBLIC SERVICE	Perceived improvement in local crime

Seaford North

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION 13	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability 65	Income support 63	Perceived improvement in local crime 68	Barriers to housing and services 44
Local area satisfaction	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications 71	Years of potential life lost 53	Incapacity benefits or ESA 72	Satisfaction with local police 63	Housing satisfaction 42
	aged 16-18 not in employment or training 67	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 76	JSA claimants for under 12 months 1	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 61	Housing in poor condition score 96
	Qualified adults 68	Self reported good health 80	Married or cohabiting parents 58	Satisfaction with GP surgery 11	Feeling safe at night 54
	Further qualified adults 63	Average adult mental well-being score 37	Membership of local decision making group 18	Satisfaction with local hospital 97	All crime 11
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds 81	JSAC claimants aged 50+ 63	Lone parent claimants 52	Travel time to local GP 66	Burglary 11
	Adult mood and anxiety disorders 73	JSAC claimants aged 18-24 47	Want more involvement in local decisions 43	Households close to GP surgery 1	Antisocial behaviour 14
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 67	Carer claimants 59	Formal volunteering 54	Further education provision 91	Violent crime 30
	Older people in deprivation 43	Informal volunteering 11	Informal volunteering 48	Primary school provision	

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		
LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	
LIFE SATISFACTION	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE	
13	Divorce rate 49	Satisfaction with local police 68	Perceived improvement in local crime 68	Perceived improvement in local crime 68	Perceived improvement in local crime 68	
Local area satisfaction	Sense of neighbourhood belonging 33	Population close to employment centre 1	Population close to employment centre 1	Population close to employment centre 1	Population close to employment centre 1	
	Unemployed parents 65	Distance to work 45	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 11	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 11	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 11	
	Elderly living alone 74	Unpaid carers 18	Feeling safe in the day 34	Feeling safe in the day 34	Feeling safe in the day 34	
	Married or cohabiting parents 58	Membership of local decision making group 18	Feeling safe at night 54	Feeling safe at night 54	Feeling safe at night 54	
	Lone parents 59	Feel can influence local decisions 41	Housing in poor condition score 96	Housing in poor condition score 96	Housing in poor condition score 96	
	Lone parent claimants 52	Want more involvement in local decisions 43	Primary school provision 48	Primary school provision		
	Carer claimants 59	Formal volunteering 54	Primary school provision		Primary school provision	
	Informal volunteering 11	Informal volunteering 48	Primary school provision		Primary school provision	

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Seaford South

SELF		SUPPORT	
WELLBEING		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	56	5 A-C GCSEs	50
EDUCATION		HEALTH	
Long term health problem or disability	73	Years of potential life lost	38
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	34	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	26
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	44	Elderly living alone	1
Qualified adults	31	Married or cohabiting parents	55
FURTHER EDUCATION		Income deprivation	49
Average adult mental well-being score	25	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	63
Comparative illness and disability	45	JSAC claimants aged 50+	61
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	74	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	59
Older people in deprivation	51	Carer claimants	78
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	33	Formal volunteering	38
Older people in deprivation	51	Informal volunteering	24
Primary school provision	40	Violent crime	41
Burglary	8	Antisocial behaviour	71
Travel time to local GP	64	Households close to GP surgery	68
All crime	46	Further education provision	91
Satisfaction with local hospital	80		
Distance to work	39		
Population close to employment centre	37		
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	70		
Satisfaction with local police	76		
Perceived improvement in local crime	63		
Feeling safe in the day	72		
Feeling safe at night	62		
Housing satisfaction	54		
Barriers to housing and services	66		
Perceived improvement in local crime	63		
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K		
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K		
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K		
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K		
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K		
BELONGING	R A N K		
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K		
SUPPORT			

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
SUPPORT	

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

KEY

- red - significantly worse than East Sussex average
- green - not significantly different to East Sussex average
- yellow - significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

Seaford West

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL	R A N K	FAMILIES	R A N K	BELONGING
Local area satisfaction	51	5 A-C GCSEs	7	Long term health problem or disability	85	Income support	11	Divorce rate	19
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	25	Years of potential life lost	18	Incapacity benefits or ESA	29	Unemployed parents	36	Adults not feeling lonely	64
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	1	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	12	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	81	Unpaid carers	34
Qualified adults	49	Self reported good health	75	Income deprivation	20	Married or cohabiting parents	15	Membership of local decision making group	36
Further qualified adults	40	Average adult mental well-being score	53	JSU/UC claimants	31	Lone parents	13	Feel can influence local decisions	59
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	56	Comparative illness and disability	38	JSA claimants aged 50+	79	Lone parent claimants	8	Want more involvement in local decisions	33
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	42	JSA claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	41	Formal volunteering	87	Further education provision	91
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	17	Older people in deprivation	18	Informal volunteering	46	Violent crime	8	Primary school provision	51

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Lewes District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE

Barriers to housing and services	Perceived improvement in local crime	Satisfaction with local police	Feeling safe in the day	Feeling safe at night	Housing in poor condition score
52	39	36	67	65	95
11	74	1	61	61	
All crime	93	Satisfaction with local hospital	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	
2			50	1	
Violent crime	8	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour		
8	1				
Primary school provision	51	Further education provision			

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

2016 WARM WARD SUMMARIES: ROOTHER DISTRICT



Battle Town

SELF		SUPPORT	
WELLBEING		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION Local area satisfaction 26	EDUCATION 5 A-C GCSEs	HEALTH Long term health problem or disability Years of potential life lost aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training Qualifed adults 33	FAMILIES Strong and stable Divorce rate 52 Unemployed parents 57 Elderly living alone 83 Married or cohabiting parents 75 Self reported good health 10 Average adult mental well-being score 46 Comparative illness and disability Educational attainment for 11 year olds 48 Adult mood and anxiety disorders 41
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Older people in deprivation 50	JSA/UC claimants 49	Lone parents 76	JSAs claims aged 50+ 91
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 56	Lone parent claimants 98	Want more involvement in local decisions 35	Carer claimants 29
Average income 50	Carer claimants 95	Formal volunteering 58	Informal volunteering 21

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR Antisocial behaviour	PUBLIC SERVICE Local economy
R A N K	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	Satisfaction with local police 31
R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime 37
R A N K	Population close to employment centre 1
R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 28
R A N K	Distance to work 32
R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery 1
R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital 29
R A N K	Travel time to local GP 39
R A N K	Burglary 62
R A N K	Households close to GP surgery 1
R A N K	Anti-social behaviour 62
R A N K	Further education provision 76
R A N K	Primary school provision 89

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Bredde Valley

Rother District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K	BELONGING	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
EDUCATION	R A N K	FAMILIES	R A N K	CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
UFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	4	Divorce rate	16	Travel time to employment centre	90
Long term health problem or disability	68	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	34	Perceived improvement in local crime	60
Years of potential life lost	32	Unemployed parents	41	Satisfaction with local police	82
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	55	Inc capacity benefits or ESA	50	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	83
aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	73	JSAs claimants for under 12 months	1	Satisfaction with GP surgery	60
Self reported good health	20	Income deprivation	60	Satisfaction with local hospital	21
Average adult mental well being score	20	Married or cohabiting parents	65	Travel time to local GP	33
Further qualified adults	47	Lone parents	34	Burglary	93
Comparative illness and disability	54	JSUUC claimants	42	Households close to GP surgery	1
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	94	JSAs claimants aged 50+	1	Anti social behaviour	49
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	46	JSAs claimants aged 18-24	59	Further education provision	44
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	50	Carer claimants	16	Violent crime	57
Older people in deprivation	53	Informal volunteering	50	Primary school provision	58
NOTES:					
1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score					
2. Wards with no UFE claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing UFE claimants for under 12 months					
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards					
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results					

EX

significantly worse than East Sussex average
not significantly different to East Sussex average
significantly better than East Sussex average

rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
number is red - within worst ten wards
number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. If you're awarded the same rank as they have the same score
 2. Wordmarks with no JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
 4. The ranking can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Central (Bexhill)

SUPPORT		BELONGING	
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		SENSE OF NEIGHBOURHOOD BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
62	68	98	61
Local area satisfaction	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Adults not feeling lonely
62	77	82	93
Local area satisfaction	aged 16-18 not in employment or education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Unpaid carers
62	69	92	99
Local area satisfaction	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Membership of local decision making group
62	73	90	69
Local area satisfaction	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	Feel can influence local decisions
62	74	89	86
Local area satisfaction	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	Want more involvement in local decisions
62	26	93	28
Local area satisfaction	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24	Formal volunteering
62	99	52	89
Local area satisfaction	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Carer claimants	Informal volunteering
62	87	88	89
Local area satisfaction	Older people in deprivation	90	90
Local area satisfaction	Managing well financially	84	84
Local area satisfaction	Average income	96	96

KEY	significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
■	significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
■	Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst		
■	If number is red - within worst ten wards		
■	If number is green - within best ten wards		

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		PERIODIC REVIEWS	
R A N k	R A N k	R A N k	R A N k
Travel time to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime
Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day
Distance to work	1	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night
		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime
		Travel time to local GP	Burglary
		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
		Further education provision	Violent crime
		Primary school provision	52
			Housing in poor condition score
			84
			15
			93
			97
			93
			89
			83
			Barriers to housing and services
			93
			N
			N
			N
			R
			R

Collington (Bexhill)

SELF		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION		WELBEING	
R A N K	H E A LTH	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	EDUCATION	Long term health problem or disability 100	Years of potential life lost
55	5 A-C GCSEs	33	71
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	31	32
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	23	32
Qualified adults	Qualified adults	58	58
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	35	35
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	61	61
15	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	63	63
Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	16	16
Managing well financially 7	Older people in deprivation	17	17
Average income	Informal volunteering	37	37
BELONGING		R A N K	R A N K
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
Divorce rate 9		Unemployed parents	Unemployed parents
Elderly living alone		Married or cohabiting parents	Married or cohabiting parents
JSA claimants for under 1.2 months		JSA/UC claimants	JSA/UC claimants
Income deprivation		Lone parent claimants	Lone parent claimants
JSA claimants aged 50+		Carer claimants	Carer claimants
Want more involvement in local decisions		Formal volunteering	Formal volunteering
Feel can influence local decisions		Informal volunteering	Informal volunteering
Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst		KEY	
If number is red - within worst ten wards		Significantly worse than East Sussex average	
If number is green - within best ten wards		not significantly different to East Sussex average	
If number is orange - within best ten wards		Significantly better than East Sussex average	

KEY	significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst			
If number is red - within worst ten wards			
If number is green - within best ten wards			

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
LOCAL ECONOMY		CRIME AND STRUCTURES	
R A N k	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N k	ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR
Travel time to employment centre	49	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime
Population close to employment centre	53	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day
Distance to work	62	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night
		Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime
		Travel time to local GP	Burglary
		Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
		Further education provision	Violent crime
		Primary school provision	76
R A N k		R A N k	
INFRASTRUCTURE		Barriers to housing and services	
Housing satisfaction		Housing in poor condition score	
19		62	

Crowhurst

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	WELBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Divorce rate
61	6	55	59
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSAY/UC claimants	Lone parents
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants
29	34	1	12
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAY claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions
31	44	10	Formal volunteering
Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Violent crime
56	44	43	15
Managing well financially	Average income	Primary school provision	Burglary
41	28	94	96
81	76		Households close to GP surgery
35			Antisocial behaviour
			Further education provision
			Travel time to local GP
			Perceived improvement in local crime
			Satisfaction with local police
			Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
			Satisfaction with GP surgery
			Satisfaction with local hospital
			Housing in poor condition score
			Housing satisfaction
			Barriers to housing and services
			R A N K

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
PERCEIVED improvement in local crime	32
Satisfaction with local police	96
Population close to employment centre	94
Distance to work	92
Satisfaction with GP surgery	76
Satisfaction with local hospital	81
Feeling safe at night	53
Feeling safe in the day	45
Housing satisfaction	80
Housing in poor condition score	4
Barriers to housing and services	
R A N K	

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Darwell

Rother District

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		WELBEING	
R A N k	HEALTH	R A N k	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES
R A N k	Local area satisfaction	R A N k	BELONGING
29	UFE SATISFACTION	31	R A N k
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	43	Years of potential life lost	Divorce rate
Qualified adults	31	Inccapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents
Further qualified adults	34	JSAs claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	32	Income deprivation	Married or cohabitating parents
Average adult mental well-being score	37	JSAs/UC claimants	Lone parents
Comparative illness and disability	41	JSAs claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	49	JSAs claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	49	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Want more involvement in local decisions
Older people in deprivation	38	Older people in deprivation	Feel can influence local decisions
Managing well financially	22	Managing well financially	Want more involvement in local decisions
Average income	25	Average income	Want more involvement in local decisions

KEY	significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst			
If number is red - within worst ten wards			
If number is green - within best ten wards			

5

not significantly different to East Sussex average
significantly better than East Sussex average

- f number is red - within worst ten wards
- f number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiplewards can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. Words with no JSA claims have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of words
 4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Eastern Rother

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
LOCAL ECONOMY		CRIME AND BEHAVIORAL ANTISOCIAL	
PUBLIC SERVICE		PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME	
BELONGING		SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE	
FAMILIES		POPULATION CLOSE TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	
WELLBEING		DISTANCE TO WORK	
HEALTH		MEMBERSHIP OF LOCAL DECISION MAKING GROUP	
EDUCATION		TRAVEL TIME TO LOCAL GP	
LIFE SATISFACTION		BURGLARY	
SELF		ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
MATERIAL WELLBEING		PRIMARY SCHOOL PROVISION	
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		VIOLENT CRIME	
LIFE SATISFACTION		100	
HEALTH		94	
EDUCATION		91	
LIFE SATISFACTION		9	
SELF		36	
MATERIAL WELLBEING		72	
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT		70	
LIFE SATISFACTION		63	
HEALTH		63	
EDUCATION		51	
LIFE SATISFACTION		80	

四

- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
- number is red - within ten wards
- number is green - within best ten wards

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average
 ■ not significantly different to East Sussex average
 ■ significantly better than East Sussex average

NOTES:

1. Multipledwards can have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. Wardswith no JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
 4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ewhurst and Sedlescombe

SELF		SUPPORT	
R	A	R	A
EDUCATION	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	38	5 A-C GCSEs	10
Years of potential life lost	26	Years of potential life lost	66
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	65	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	65	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	48
Qualified adults	36	Self reported good health	62
Further qualified adults	49	Average adult mental well-being score	91
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	15	Comparative illness and disability	62
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	32	JSA claimants aged 50+	1
JSAs aged 18-24		Carer claimants	76
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	53	Formal volunteering	44
Older people in deprivation	47	Informal volunteering	30

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R	A
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	65
Unemployed parents	52
Elderly living alone	55
Married or cohabiting parents	56
Lone parents	50
Lone parent claimants	32
JSA/UC claimants	
Lone parent claimants	12
Carer claimants	76
Older people in deprivation	47

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Kewhurst (Bexhill)

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	5 AC GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Years of potential life lost	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services
80	58	93	63	93	92
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Incapacity benefits or ESA	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Feeling safe in the day	Housing satisfaction
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Elderly living alone	Feeling safe at night	Housing in poor condition score
Qualified adults	Qualified adults	Income deprivation	Unpaid carers	Feeling safe at night	Housing in poor condition score
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	JSAYC claimants	Lone parents	Travel time to local GP	Burglary
27	98	77	30	68	36
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
60	47	42	21	1	11
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAYC claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	Further education provision	Violent crime
67	41	11	68	67	35
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Primary school provision	53	
61	27	38			

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	5 AC GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services
80	58	93	33	66	93
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Unemployed parents	Travel time to employment centre	Travel time to employment centre
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	Population close to employment centre	Population close to employment centre
Qualified adults	Qualified adults	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Distance to work	Distance to work
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	JSAYC claimants	Lone parents	Membership of local decision making group	Membership of local decision making group
27	98	77	30	63	63
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary
60	47	42	21	68	36
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAYC claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
67	41	11	68	1	11
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Primary school provision	67	Violent crime
61	27	38	53		

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Marsham

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	5 A* GCSEs	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
Local area satisfaction	36	Divorce rate	21
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	59	Unemployed parents	67
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	27	Elderly living alone	70
Qualified adults	62	Married or cohabiting parents	50
Further qualified adults	43	Lone parents	29
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	4	JSAY/UC claimants	37
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	38	Lone parent claimants	18
Older people in deprivation	28	Carer claimants	35
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	63	Formal volunteering	19
Average income	52	Informal volunteering	64

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Old Town (Bexhill)

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SUPPORT		SELF	
INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		HEALTH	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	PUBLIC SERVICE	LOCAL ECONOMY	BELONGING	FAMILIES
Barriers to housing and services	Perceived improvement in local crime	Satisfaction with local police	Travel time to employment centre	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Divorce rate
75	38	20	21	85	68
Housing satisfaction	Feeling safe in the day	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Population close to employment centre	Adults not feeling lonely	Unemployed parents
28	11	30	70	53	72
Housing in poor condition score	Feeling safe at night	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Distance to work	Unpaid carers	Elderly living alone
51	58	28	43	94	93
All crime	Unpaid carers	Satisfaction with local hospital	Travel time to local GP	Membership of local decision making group	Married or cohabiting parents
22	10	53	30	53	67
Burglary	Unpaid carers	Feel can influence local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Want more involvement in local decisions	Lone parents
27	1	54	58	31	66
Antisocial behaviour	Unpaid carers	Want more involvement in local decisions	Further education provision	Formal volunteering	Lone parent claimants
58	1	31	37	52	48
Violent crime	Unpaid carers	Carer claimants	Informal volunteering	Carer claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants aged 50+
18	97	45	74	47	64
Primary school provision	Unpaid carers	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Educational attainment for 11 year olds
16	1	68	74	61	6
Average income	Unpaid carers	Older people in deprivation	Managing well financially	Comparative illness and disability	Local area satisfaction
91	1	74	63	64	14

四

significantly worse than East Sussex average
not significantly different to East Sussex average
significantly better than East Sussex average

- number is red - within worst ten wards
- number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple words have the same rank if they have the same score
 2. Words with no ISA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing ISA claimants for under 12 months
 3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of words
 4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rother Levels

Rother District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
WELLBEING		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
LIFE SATISFACTION	EDUCATION	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	LOCAL ECONOMY	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services
76	87	48	43	83	47
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Satisfaction with local police	45
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Adults not feeling lonely	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	48
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	Self reported good health	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Feeling safe in the day	67
Qualified adults	37	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	Feeling safe at night	19
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSAY/UC claimants	Lone parents	Housing in poor condition score	44
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions	Housing satisfaction	67
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAY claimants aged 18-24	Lone parent claimants	Formal volunteering	Primary school provision	32
Older people in deprivation	Carer claimants	Carer claimants	Informal volunteering	Violent crime	29
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	81	7	53	71	45
Average income	57	17	57	Antisocial behaviour	57

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rye

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	66	5 A* GCSEs	94	Long term health problem or disability	71	Income support	75	Divorce rate	87
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	94	Years of potential life lost	78	Incapacity benefits or ESA	77	Unemployed parents	82	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	17
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	53	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	92	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	91	Unpaid carers	100
Qualified adults	90	Self reported good health	65	Income deprivation	84	Married or cohabiting parents	80	Membership of local decision making group	54
Further qualified adults	84	Average adult mental well-being score	77	JSA/UC claimants	66	Lone parents	65	Feel can influence local decisions	58
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	98	Comparative illness and disability	73	JSA claimants aged 50+	47	Lone parent claimants	66	Want more involvement in local decisions	81
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	45	JSA claimants aged 18-24	41	Carer claimants	41	Formal volunteering	55	Households close to GP surgery	1
Older people in deprivation	78	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	90	Informal volunteering	53	Further education provision	17	Antisocial behaviour	80
						Primary school provision	75	Burglary	96

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	67
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	100
		Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	46
		Distance to work	10
		Satisfaction with GP surgery	74
		Satisfaction with local hospital	64
		All crime	96
		Housing in poor condition score	44
		Housing satisfaction	59
		Barriers to housing and services	96

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sackville (Bexhill)

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
FAMILIES		RANK	
STRONG AND STABLE	R A N K	BELONGING	R A N K
Divorce rate	95	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	38
Unemployed parents	73	Adults not feeling lonely	90
Elderly living alone	101	Unpaid carers	51
Membership of local decision making group	35	Distance to work	16
All crime	70	Satisfaction with GP surgery	35
Burglary	43	Satisfaction with local hospital	39
Travel time to local GP	25	Travel time to local GP	25
Households close to GP surgery	1	Feel can influence local decisions	40
Antisocial behaviour	78	Want more involvement in local decisions	36
Further education provision	56	Formal volunteering	35
Primary school provision	37	Informal volunteering	48

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Perceived improvement in local crime	41
Barriers to housing and services	54
Satisfaction with local police	15
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	33
Feeling safe in the day	80
Housing satisfaction	74
Feeling safe at night	64
Housing in poor condition score	35
All crime	70
Burglary	43
Travel time to local GP	25
Households close to GP surgery	1
Antisocial behaviour	78
Further education provision	56
Primary school provision	37

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Salehurst

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	58	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	75	Years of potential life lost	58	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Divorce rate	2	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	65
R A N K	58	Local area satisfaction	54	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	35	Unemployed parents	39	Incapacity benefits or ESA	35	Adults not feeling lonely	15
R A N K	58	5 A-C GCSEs	34	aged 16-18 not in employment, education or training	50	Elderly living alone	25	JSAC claimants aged 50+	1	Unpaid carers	41
R A N K	58	Life satisfaction	58	Self reported good health	3	Married or cohabiting parents	37	Membership of local decision making group	10	Membership of local hospital	76
R A N K	58	Average adult mental well-being score	53	JSAY/UC claimants	27	Lone parents	47	Feel can influence local decisions	47	Travel time to local GP	72
R A N K	58	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	39	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	65	Want more involvement in local decisions	30	Households close to GP surgery	1
R A N K	58	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	8	JSA claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	32	Formal volunteering	49	Antisocial behaviour	27
R A N K	58	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	51	Older people in deprivation	54	Informal volunteering	42	Further education provision	89	Violent crime	49
R A N K	58	Average income	26	Primary school provision	43			Primary school provision	43		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	R A N K	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	50
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	99
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	101
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Distance to work	40
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	48
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	76
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Travel time to local GP	72
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Burglary	40
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Antisocial behaviour	27
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Violent crime	49
R A N K		R A N K	R A N K	Primary school provision	43

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Sidley (Bexhill)

Rother District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
WELLBEING		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	91	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	5 AC GCSEs	HEALTH	FAMILIES	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE
Local area satisfaction	97	Long term health problem or disability	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Perceived improvement in local crime
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	88	Years of potential life lost	Unemployed parents	Travel time to employment centre	Feeling safe in the day
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	98	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre	Feeling safe at night
Qualified adults	99	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Elderly living alone	Distance to work	Housing in poor condition score
Self reported good health	98	Married or cohabiting parents	Unpaid carers	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Housing satisfaction
Further qualified adults	100	Income deprivation	Membership of local decision making group	Satisfaction with local hospital	Housing in poor condition score
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	97	Average adult mental well being score	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	Burglary
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	94	JSAY/UC claimants	Lone parents	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
Older people in deprivation	92	Comparative illness and disability	Lone parent claimants	Further education provision	Violent crime
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	98	JSAY claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Primary school provision	Average income

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

St Marks (Bexhill)

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT			
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K		
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	44	Long term health problem or disability	38	Income support	38	Divorce rate	56	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	59	
Local area satisfaction	9	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	37	Years of potential life lost	35	Incapacity benefits or ESA	26	Unemployed parents	17	Adults not feeling lonely	17
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	12	aged 16-18 not in employment, education or training	33	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	82	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	92	Unpaid carers	35
Qualified adults	42	Self reported good health	66	Income deprivation	25	Married or cohabiting parents	22	Membership of local decision making group	82	Travel time to local GP	62
Further qualified adults	35	Average adult mental well-being score	58	JSA/UC claimants	60	Lone parents	1	Feel can influence local decisions	80	Burglary	65
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	12	Comparative illness and disability	56	JSA claimants aged 50+	61	Lone parent claimants	11	Want more involvement in local decisions	26	Households close to GP surgery	62
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	48	JSA claimants aged 18-24	59	Carer claimants	23			Formal volunteering	60	Antisocial behaviour	30
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	42	Older people in deprivation	7	Informal volunteering	56			Further education provision	84	Violent crime	39
Average income	43			Primary school provision	93						

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	K
INFRASTRUCTURE	99
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	Perceived improvement in local crime
PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police
LOCAL ECONOMY	Population close to employment centre
Travel time to employment centre	Distance to work
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Satisfaction with GP surgery
Feeling safe in the day	Feeling safe at night
Barriers to housing and services	Housing satisfaction
Housing condition score	Housing in poor condition

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

St Michaels (Bexhill)

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		SUPPORT		SELF	
INFRASTRUCTURE		BELONGING		HEALTH	
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		PUBLIC SERVICE		WELLBEING	
R	A	R	A	R	A
A	N	A	N	A	N
N	K	N	K	N	K
LOCAL ECONOMY		Sense of neighbourhood belonging		Income support	
R	A	R	A	R	A
A	N	A	N	A	N
N	K	N	K	N	K
Divorce rate		Unemployed parents		Years of potential life lost	
39	77	87	62	82	99
79	80	84	68	82	54
Local area satisfaction	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	JSAC claimants aged 50+	Years of potential life lost	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Long term health problem or disability
79	68	68	62	68	79
5 A GCSEs	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Self reported good health	Self reported good health	Further qualified adults	Further qualified adults
43	89	93	74	83	83
LIFE SATISFACTION	JSAC claimants	JSAC claimants aged 50+	Average adult mental well-being score	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Educational attainment for 11 year olds
79	70	39	69	60	60
5 A GCSEs	Comparative illness and disability	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation
43	87	85	74	63	63
79	Carer claimants	Carer claimants aged 18-24	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Managing well financially	Managing well financially
79	43	74	74	42	42
79	76	76	74	88	88
79	Informal volunteering	Formal volunteering	Informal volunteering	Average income	Average income
79	34	34	34	68	68

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

St Stephens (Bexhill)

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Divorce rate	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime
Local area satisfaction	42	Years of potential life lost	Unemployed parents	Population close to employment centre	Feeling safe in the day
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe at night
aged 16-18 not in employment or training		JSA claimants for under 12 months	Unpaid carers	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Housing in poor condition score
Qualified adults		Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Satisfaction with local hospital	Housing satisfaction
Further qualified adults		Average adult mental well-being score	Lone parents	Travel time to local GP	Burglary
Educational attainment for 11 year olds		Comparative illness and disability	Lone parent claimants	Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
Adult mood and anxiety disorders		JSA claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Further education provision	Violent crime
Older people in deprivation		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Primary school provision	

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SELF		SUPPORT		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
EDUCATION		BELONGING		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LIFE SATISFACTION	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Divorce rate	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime
Local area satisfaction	82	Years of potential life lost	92	Travel time to employment centre	Barriers to housing and services
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications		Incapacity benefits or ESA	84	Population close to employment centre	98
aged 16-18 not in employment or training		JSA claimants for under 12 months	57	Distance to work	65
Qualified adults		Income deprivation	81	Satisfaction with local hospital	54
Further qualified adults		Average adult mental well-being score	72	All crime	44
Educational attainment for 11 year olds		Comparative illness and disability	76	Burglary	9
Adult mood and anxiety disorders		JSA claimants aged 18-24	101	Antisocial behaviour	
Older people in deprivation		Carer claimants	61	Violent crime	61

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Ticehurst and Etchingham

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	16	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	5 AC GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	16	Income support	28	Divorce rate	35
R A N K	28	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	28	Years of potential life lost	23	Incapacity benefits or ESA	23	Unemployed parents	35
R A N K	74	aged 16-18 not in employment, education or training	74	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	11	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	37
R A N K	23	Qualified adults	23	Self reported good health	5	Married or cohabiting parents	21	Membership of local decision making group	44
R A N K	26	Further qualified adults	26	Average adult mental well being score	6	JSA/UC claimants	26	Lone parents	22
R A N K	50	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	32	Comparative illness and disability	1	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	72
R A N K	17	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	17	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	30	Formal volunteering	25
R A N K	35	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	35	Older people in deprivation	40	Informal volunteering	55	Violent crime	51
R A N K	21	Average income	21	Primary school provision	96	Burglary	49	Households close to GP surgery	81

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Rother District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE
R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY
R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
R A N K	Travel time to employment centre
R A N K	Population close to employment centre
R A N K	Distance to work
R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery
R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital
R A N K	Travel time to local GP
R A N K	Burglary
R A N K	Households close to GP surgery
R A N K	Antisocial behaviour
R A N K	Further education provision
R A N K	Primary school provision

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

2016 WARM WARD SUMMARIES: WEALDEN DISTRICT



Alfriston

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	R A N K	Comparative illness and disability	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	R A N K	Income support	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	R A N K	Divorce rate
R A N K	Local area satisfaction	R A N K	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	R A N K	Years of hospital admissions + attendances	R A N K	Incapacity benefits or ESA	R A N K	Unemployed parents	R A N K	Elderly living alone
R A N K	5 A* GCSEs	R A N K	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	R A N K	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	R A N K	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	R A N K	Adults not feeling lonely	R A N K	Unpaid carers
R A N K	Qualified adults	R A N K	Self reported good health	R A N K	Income deprivation	R A N K	Membership of local decision making group	R A N K	Membership of local hospital	R A N K	Distance to work
R A N K	Further qualified adults	R A N K	Average adult mental well-being score	R A N K	JSAC claimants	R A N K	Feel can influence local decisions	R A N K	Travel time to local GP	R A N K	Burglary
R A N K	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	R A N K	Comparative illness and disability	R A N K	JSAC claimants aged 50+	R A N K	Want more involvement in local decisions	R A N K	Households close to GP surgery	R A N K	Antisocial behaviour
R A N K	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	R A N K	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	R A N K	Carer claimants	R A N K	Formal volunteering	R A N K	Further education provision	R A N K	Violent crime
R A N K	Older people in deprivation	R A N K	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	R A N K	Informal volunteering	R A N K	Primary school provision	R A N K	Primary school provision	R A N K	Primary school provision

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	R A N K	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	R A N K	Travel time to employment centre
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Population close to employment centre	R A N K	Distance to work

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Buxted and Maresfield

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
LIFE SATISFACTION	54	EDUCATION	34	Long term health problem or disability	18	Income support	36	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	68
Local area satisfaction	54	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	22	Years of potential life lost	16	Incapacity benefits or ESA	19	Unemployed parents	14
		aged 16-18 not in employment, education or training	26	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	16	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	19
		Qualified adults	19	Self reported good health	25	Income deprivation	21	Married or cohabiting parents	14
		Further qualified adults	18	Average adult mental well-being score	14	JSAY/UC claimants	25	Lone parents	10
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	17	Comparative illness and disability	16	JSA claimants aged 50+	61	Lone parent claimants	17
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	18	JSA claimants aged 18-24	59	Carer claimants	11
						Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	30	Formal volunteering	7
						Older people in deprivation	23	Informal volunteering	60
									Primary school provision

KEY

■ significantly worse than East Sussex average

■ not significantly different to East Sussex average

■ significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards

If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		PUBLIC SERVICE		LOCAL ECONOMY	
R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A	R	A
Barriers to housing and services	13	Perceived improvement in local crime	89	Risk of antisocial behaviour	N	Satisfaction with local police	65	Travel time to employment centre	54
Housing satisfaction	9	Feeling safe in the day	68	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	1	Population close to employment centre	19	Distance to work	61
Housing condition score	21	Feeling safe at night	4	Satisfaction with GP surgery	68	Membership of local decision making group	12	Satisfaction with local hospital	28
All crime	18	Travel time to local GP	98	Households close to GP surgery	98	Lone parents	10	Burglary	42
Violent crime	9	Antisocial behaviour	33	JSA claimants aged 50+	61	Want more involvement in local decisions	46	Further education provision	73
Primary school provision	64	Carer claimants	11	Comparative illness and disability	16	Formal volunteering	7	Managing well financially	71
Average income	8	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	18	Older people in deprivation	23	Informal volunteering	60		

Chiddingly and East Hoathly

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	WELFARE	R A N K	STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	67	5 A/C GCSEs	17	Long term health problem or disability	14	Income support	67	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	45	Divorce rate	20
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	29	Years of potential life lost	21	Incapacity benefits or ESA	32	Unemployed parents	13	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	29	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	4			Elderly living alone	9	Unpaid carers	17
		Qualified adults	24	Self reported good health	2	Income deprivation	19	Married or cohabiting parents	24	Membership of local decision making group	60
		Further qualified adults	24	Average adult mental well being score	20	JSA/UC claimants	38	Lone parents	21	Feel can influence local decisions	49
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	20	Comparative illness and disability	22	JSA claimants aged 50+	79	Lone parent claimants	42	Want more involvement in local decisions	34
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	13	JSA claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	81			Formal volunteering	37
		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	27							Informal volunteering	74
		Older people in deprivation	35							Primary school provision	40

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE	98
TRAVEL TIME TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	64
POPULATION CLOSE TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE	84
DISTANCE TO WORK	93
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL FIRE AND RESCUE	99
SATISFACTION WITH GP SURGERY	99
SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL HOSPITAL	71
FEELING SAFE IN THE DAY	60
FEELING SAFE AT NIGHT	31
HOUSING IN POOR CONDITION SCORE	8
BARRIERS TO HOUSING AND SERVICES	14
HOUSING SATISFACTION	5
PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME	76
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Cross in Hand/Five Ashes

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K	FAMILIES	R A N K	BELONGING
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	16	Years of potential life lost	38	Income support	25	Divorce rate	4	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	32	Years of potential life lost	19	Incapacity benefits or ESA	27	Unemployed parents	4	Adults not feeling lonely
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	45	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	10	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	29	Unpaid carers
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	31	Self reported good health	31	Income deprivation	22	Married or cohabiting parents	3	Membership of local decision making group
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well being score	23	Average adult mental well being score	27	JSA/UC claimants	10	Lone parents	3	Feel can influence local decisions
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	74	Comparative illness and disability	20	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	1	Want more involvement in local decisions
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAs aged 18-24	19	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	19	Carer claimants	71	Carer claimants	1	Formal volunteering
Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	22	Older people in deprivation	22	Informal volunteering	84	Informal volunteering	84	Primary school provision

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY

Barriers to housing and services	Perceived improvement in local crime	Housing satisfaction	Housing condition score
80	80	49	40
30	35	52	18
12	35	52	18
56	56	56	56

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Crowborough East

SELF		SUPPORT	
WELLBEING		FAMILIES	
R	A	R	A
A	N	A	N
K	K	K	K
Local area satisfaction	81	5-A-C GCSEs	76
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	63	Long term health problem or disability	10
Years of potential life lost	15	Divorce rate	73
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	59	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	71
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	11	Unemployed parents	38
Qualified adults	71	Elderly living alone	23
Self reported good health	18	Married or cohabiting parents	42
Average adult mental wellbeing score	53	Lone parents	53
Further qualified adults	69	JSA/UC claimants	2
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	63	JSA claimants aged 50+	95
Comparative illness and disability	19	Carer claimants	1
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	24	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	41
Older people in deprivation	65	Informal volunteering	80
Managing well financially	87	Primary school provision	13
Average income	30		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards with no JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Crowborough Jarvis Brook

SELF		SUPPORT	
R	A	R	A
LIFE SATISFACTION	89	EDUCATION	WELLBEING
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Income support
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	61	Years of potential life lost	65
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	69	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	39
Qualified adults	16	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	52
Self reported good health	69	Elderly living alone	1
Average adult mental well-being score	67	Married or cohabiting parents	52
Further qualified adults	52	JSAC claimants	29
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	35	Lone parent claimants	95
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	40	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	1
Older people in deprivation	52	Carer claimants	85
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	37	Formal volunteering	75
Average income	39	Informal volunteering	86

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R	A
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	N
PUBLIC SERVICE	N
LOCAL ECONOMY	K
BELONGING	K
STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	K
MATERIAL WELLBEING	K
HEALTH	K
EDUCATION	K
LIFE SATISFACTION	K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Crowborough North

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	27	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	5 AC GCSEs	Local area satisfaction	18	Years of potential life lost	42	Long term health problem or disability	6
R A N K	31	Aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Incapacity benefits or ESA	12	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Income support	37
R A N K	56	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	Self reported good health	12	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	51	Unemployed parents	19
R A N K	25	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	14	Elderly living alone	42	Adults not feeling lonely	33
R A N K	20	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSAYUC claimants	19	Unpaid carers	36	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	58
R A N K	79	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSAYUC claimants aged 50+	79	Membership of local decision making group	17	Travel time to local GP	49
R A N K	81	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Lone parent claimants	81	Feel can influence local decisions	36	Burglary	13
R A N K	35	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	40	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	48
R A N K	16	Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Formal volunteering	16	Further education provision	56	Violent crime	23
R A N K	7	Average income	Average income	Informal volunteering	7	Primary school provision	23		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR		PUBLIC SERVICE	
R A N K	91	Barriers to housing and services	Perceived improvement in local crime	R A N K	13	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	68	Housing satisfaction	Feeling safe in the day	R A N K	22	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	37	Housing in poor condition score	Feeling safe at night	R A N K	8	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	64	Housing satisfaction	Feeling safe in the day	R A N K	22	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	47	Satisfaction with local police	Travel time to employment centre	R A N K	28	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	1	Population close to employment centre	Distance to work	R A N K	11	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	4	Satisfaction with local hospital	Distance to work	R A N K	11	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	5	All crime	Distance to work	R A N K	11	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
R A N K	23	Primary school provision	Distance to work	R A N K	11	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Crowborough St Johns

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	HEALTH	Years of potential life lost	WELBEING	Income support	FAMILIES	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE
Local area satisfaction	40	Long term health problem or disability	32	MATRICAL	7	Divorce rate	1	INFRASTRUCTURE	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	13	Years of potential life lost	4	WELLBEING	4	Unemployed parents	1	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	34	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	7	Elderly living alone	51	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Qualified adults	1	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	37	WELBEING	4	Married or cohabiting parents	1	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Self reported good health	27	Income deprivation	2	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	2	Lone parents	4	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Further qualified adults	5	Average adult mental well being score	2	WELBEING	15	JSAY/UC claimants	15	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	1	Comparative illness and disability	3	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	1	Lone parent claimants	1	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	23	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	WELBEING	1	Carer claimants	55	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	19	JSAY/UC claimants aged 50+	1	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	1	Formal volunteering	41	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Older people in deprivation	6	Want more involvement in local decisions	1	WELBEING	1	Informal volunteering	68	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	K
INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL	PUBLIC SERVICE

Perceived improvement in local crime	65	Barriers to housing and services	42
Satisfaction with local police	6	Population close to employment centre	59
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	11	Distance to work	31
Satisfaction with GP surgery	22	Satisfaction with local hospital	18
Feeling safe at night	14	All crime	3
Housing in poor condition score	78	Burglary	16
Housing satisfaction	7	Households close to GP surgery	1
Travel time to local GP	48	Further education provision	56
Travel time to local GP	48	Primary school provision	94

NOTES:

- Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
- Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
- JAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
- The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Crowborough West

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT		
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	19	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL	R A N K	Divorce rate	12	
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	40	Long term health problem or disability	47	Years of potential life lost	26	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	43
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	30	Incapacity benefits or ESA	55	Unemployed parents	6	Adults not feeling lonely	24
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	17	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	60	Unpaid carers	22
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	Qualified adults	26	Income deprivation	24	Married or cohabiting parents	17	Membership of local decision making group	6
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	Further qualified adults	28	Average adult mental well-being score	14	Lone parents	36	Feel can influence local decisions	18
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	34	Comparative illness and disability	21	Lone parent claimants	20	Want more involvement in local decisions	23
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	35	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	97	Carer claimants	97	Formal volunteering	6
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	18	Older people in deprivation	33	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	14	Informal volunteering	29	Informal volunteering	19

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR		ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR		RANK	
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Perceived improvement in local crime	7	Barriers to housing and services	12	Barriers to housing and services	76
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Satisfaction with local police	30	Housing satisfaction	20	Housing satisfaction	4
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Population close to employment centre	1	Housing in poor condition	38	Housing in poor condition	66
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Distance to work	18	Housing at night	24	Housing at night	24
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Satisfaction with local hospital	5	All crime	53	All crime	53
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Travel time to local GP	53	Burglary	21	Burglary	21
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour	55	Antisocial behaviour	55
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Further education provision	40	Violent crime	33	Violent crime	33
Public service	RANK	Local economy	RANK	Primary school provision	19				

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Danehill/Fletching/Nutley

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	24	R A N K	1	R A N K	35	R A N K	8	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Membership of local decision making group	Satisfaction with local hospital
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	0-19 year olds not in employment education or training	Self reported good health	Married or cohabiting parents	Self reported good health	Average adult mental well being score	Lone parents	Travel time to local GP
Qualified adults	Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAC claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	Burglary
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Further education provision	Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	Antisocial behaviour	Violent crime
Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	Further education provision	Primary school provision
Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income	Average income

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	INFRASTRUCTURE
PUBLIC SERVICE	Perceived improvement in local crime
LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to employment centre
R A N K	Satisfaction with local police
Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Population close to employment centre
Divorce rate	Distance to work
Unemployed parents	Unpaid carers
R A N K	Membership of local decision making group
Adults not feeling lonely	Feel can influence local decisions
R A N K	Want more involvement in local decisions
Travel time to local GP	Households close to GP surgery
All crime	Burglary
R A N K	Antisocial behaviour
Housing in poor condition score	Violent crime
Housing satisfaction	Primary school provision

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAQ scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAQ scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

East Dean

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL		INFRASTRUCTURE					
Local area satisfaction	18	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	WELBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K	BELONGING	R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K		
Further qualified adults	2	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	R A N K	Long term health problem or disability	47	Income support	12	Years of potential life lost	8	Incapacity benefits or ESA	6	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	66	Elderly living alone	68	Unpaid carers	54	Distance to work	98
Qualified adults	10	5 A/C GCSEs	R A N K	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	11	Divorce rate	18	Unemployed parents	27	Adults not feeling lonely	4	Married or cohabiting parents	1	Membership of local decision making group	23	Satisfaction with local hospital	90	Feeling safe at night	77	Satisfaction with GP surgery	77
Further	2	Average adult mental well-being score	R A N K	JSAC claimants	21	Lone parents	14	Want more involvement in local decisions	100	Feel can influence local decisions	14	Carer claimants	92	Formal volunteering	28	Travel time to local GP	27	Burglary	52	Households close to GP surgery	1
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	12	Comparative illness and disability	R A N K	JSAC claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	66	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	6	Informal volunteering	20	Older people in deprivation	1	Further education provision	88	Violent crime	7	Antisocial behaviour	25	Primary school provision	101
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	R A N K	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	92														

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		PERIODIC REVIEWS		SOCIAL CAPITAL		CULTURE		ENVIRONMENT		HEALTH		EDUCATION		LIVING STANDARDS		SUPPORT			
Perceived improvement in local crime	48	Barriers to housing and services	1	Perceived improvement in local crime	87	Housing satisfaction	24	Housing condition score	41	Travel time to employment centre	101	Population close to employment centre	101	Satisfaction with local police	101	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	101	Satisfaction with GP surgery	101
Feeling safe in the day	72	Feeling safe at night	32	Feeling safe at night	50	Unpaid carers	54	Membership of local decision making group	23	Unpaid carers	54	Membership of local decision making group	23	Unpaid carers	54	Membership of local decision making group	23	Unpaid carers	54
Satisfaction with local hospital	90	All crime	29	Satisfaction with local hospital	90	Distance to work	98	Unpaid carers	54	Satisfaction with local hospital	90	Distance to work	98	Satisfaction with local hospital	90	Satisfaction with local hospital	90	Satisfaction with local hospital	90
Travel time to local GP	27	Burglary	52	Travel time to local GP	27	Households close to GP surgery	1	Want more involvement in local decisions	100	Travel time to local GP	27	Households close to GP surgery	1	Want more involvement in local decisions	100	Travel time to local GP	27	Households close to GP surgery	1
Antisocial behaviour	25	Violent crime	7	Antisocial behaviour	25	Further education provision	88	Informal volunteering	20	Antisocial behaviour	25	Further education provision	88	Informal volunteering	20	Antisocial behaviour	25	Further education provision	88
Primary school provision	101																		

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAQ scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAQ scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Forest Row

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT			
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K		
LIFE SATISFACTION	30	EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	HEALTH	Long term health problem or disability	4	INCOME SUPPORT	32	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	6	Divorce rate	58	
Local area satisfaction	30	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	1	Years of potential life lost	17	Incapacity benefits or ESA	10	Unemployed parents	33	Adults not feeling lonely	45	Elderly living alone	31
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	21	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	46	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Married or cohabiting parents	60	Membership of local decision making group	11	Unpaid carers	5	Distance to work	7
Further qualified adults	5	Self reported good health	15	Income deprivation	18	Average adult mental well being score	20	Feel can influence local decisions	23	Lone parents	62	Travel time to local GP	85
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	21	Comparative illness and disability	2	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Further qualified adults	9	Want more involvement in local decisions	58	Lone parent claimants	87	Burglary	29
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	2	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	2	Older people in deprivation	29	Formal volunteering	57	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	12	Antisocial behaviour	34
						Older people in deprivation	29	Informal volunteering	10	Further education provision	54	Violent crime	31
						Average income	16	Primary school provision	91				

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	PUBLIC SERVICE	LOCAL ECONOMY	PERCEIVED improvement in local crime	91
INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	Barriers to housing and services	29
INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	Housing satisfaction	62
INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	Housing in poor condition score	42
INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	All crime	32
INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	INFRASTRUCTURE	Primary school provision	91

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Framfield

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	60	EDUCATION	8	HEALTH	R A N K	Long term health problem or disability	2	Income support	1	Divorce rate	7
Local area satisfaction	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	36	Incapacity benefits or ESA	R A N K	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	5	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Unemployed parents	11
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	20	Self reported good health	13	Married or cohabiting parents	R A N K	Elderly living alone	7	Membership of local decision making group	25	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	31
Qualified adults	21	Average adult mental well being score	14	Feel can influence local decisions	R A N K	JSAY/UC claimants	3	Lone parents	5	Travel time to local GP	97
Further qualified adults	29	Comparative illness and disability	1	Want more involvement in local decisions	R A N K	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	28	Households close to GP surgery	92
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	29	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	1	Formal volunteering	R A N K	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	14	Further education provision	55
Older people in deprivation	2	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	9	Informal volunteering	R A N K	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	9	Older people in deprivation	2	Primary school provision	79
Average income	17										

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K
Perceived improvement in local crime	88
Barriers to housing and services	7
Satisfaction with local police	50
Population close to employment centre	86
Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	7
Feeling safe in the day	41
Feeling safe at night	47
Distance to work	67
Satisfaction with GP surgery	34
Satisfaction with local hospital	7
All crime	4
Burglary	25
Travel time to local GP	97
Households close to GP surgery	1
Antisocial behaviour	1
Violent crime	12
Primary school provision	79

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAQ scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAQ scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Frant and Withyham

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		LOCAL ECONOMY		PUBLIC SERVICE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL		INFRASTRUCTURE			
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION		HEALTH		INCOME SUPPORT		SENSE OF NEIGHBOURHOOD BELONGING		TRAVEL TIME TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE		POPULATION CLOSE TO EMPLOYMENT CENTRE		DISTANCE TO WORK		SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL POLICE		SATISFACTION WITH LOCAL FIRE AND RESCUE	
Local area satisfaction	37	5 A-C GCSEs	50	Long term health problem or disability	5	Income support	3	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	15	Travel time to employment centre	60	Satisfaction with local police	52	Perceived improvement in local crime	70	Barriers to housing and services	8	Housing satisfaction	26
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	2	Years of potential life lost	2	Incapacity benefits or ESA	7	Unemployed parents	18	Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	1	Feeling safe in the day	31	Housing condition score	20	Housing in poor condition	26
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	13	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	38	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	15	Distance to work	42	Satisfaction with GP surgery	19	Feeling safe at night	26				
		Qualified adults	2	Self reported good health	8	Income deprivation	10	Married or cohabiting parents	10	Membership of local decision making group	33	Satisfaction with local hospital	36	All crime	49				
		Further qualified adults	7	Average adult mental well being score	5	JSAY/UC claimants	7	Lone parents	18	Feel can influence local decisions	22			Burglary	97				
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	18	Comparative illness and disability	7	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	46	Want more involvement in local decisions	3			Households close to GP surgery	87	Antisocial behaviour	31		
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	11	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	49	Formal volunteering	4			Further education provision	46	Violent crime	32		
				Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	12					Informal volunteering	6			Primary school provision	71				
				Older people in deprivation	11														

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL		PUBLIC SERVICE		LOCAL ECONOMY		BELONGING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		FAMILIES		SUPPORT			
RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK		RANK			
Perceived improvement in local crime	70	Feeling safe in the day	31	Feeling safe at night	26	Travel time to local GP	86	Burglary	97	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51	Satisfaction with local police	52	Population close to employment centre	1	Satisfaction with local hospital	36	Unpaid carers	42
										Unemployed parents	18							Membership of local decision making group	33
										Elderly living alone	15							Distance to work	65
										Unpaid carers	42							Satisfaction with GP surgery	19
										Membership of local decision making group	33							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burglary	97
										Sense of neighbourhood belonging	51							Satisfaction with local hospital	36
										Unpaid carers	42							Travel time to local GP	86
										Population close to employment centre	1							Burgl	

Hailsham Central and North

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K	BELONGING
Local area satisfaction	90	5 A-C GCSEs	48	Long term health problem or disability	58	Income support	50	Divorce rate	42
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	67	Years of potential life lost	51	Incapacity benefits or ESA	42	Unemployed parents	26	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	70
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	60	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	77	JSA claimants for under 12 months	66	Elderly living alone	79	Adults not feeling lonely	55
Qualified adults	51	Self reported good health	48	Income deprivation	55	Married or cohabiting parents	31	Membership of local decision making group	76
Further qualified adults	60	Average adult mental well-being score	74	JSA/UC claimants	74	Lone parents	32	Feel can influence local decisions	94
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	88	Comparative illness and disability	49	JSA claimants aged 50+	56	Lone parent claimants	76	Want more involvement in local decisions	55
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	66	JSA claimants aged 18-24	81	Carer claimants	63	Formal volunteering	66	Further education provision	29
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	46	Older people in deprivation	60	Informal volunteering	33	Primary school provision	39	Burglary	92

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K			
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	26		
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	87	Barriers to housing and services	78		
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Population close to employment centre	53	Housing satisfaction	34		
Travel time to employment centre	R A N K	Distance to work	14	Housing in poor condition score	69		
Unpaid carers	R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	89	Housing in poor condition score			
Membership of local decision making group	R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	96	Housing in poor condition score			
Lone parents	R A N K	Travel time to local GP	7	Housing in poor condition score			
Elderly living alone	R A N K	Households close to GP surgery	1	Housing in poor condition score			
Married or cohabiting parents	R A N K	Antisocial behaviour	73	Housing in poor condition score			
Average adult mental well-being score	R A N K	Further education provision	29	Housing in poor condition score			
Comparative illness and disability	R A N K	Primary school provision	39	Housing in poor condition score			
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	R A N K	NOTES:					
Older people in deprivation	R A N K	1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score					
Managing well financially	R A N K	2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months					
Average income	R A N K	3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards					
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results							

Hailsham East

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	97	EDUCATION	99	HEALTH	R A N N K	Long term health problem or disability	69	Income support	98	FAMILIES	R A N N K
Local area satisfaction	97	5 AC GCSEs	100	Years of potential life lost	R A N N K	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	24	Incapacity benefits or ESA	94	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	R A N N K
		aged 16-18 not in employment or training	101	JSA claimants for under 12 months	R A N N K	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	54	JSA claimants aged 50+	99	Unemployed parents	R A N N K
		Qualified adults	100	Self reported good health	R A N N K	Married or cohabiting parents	83	Membership of local decision making group	96	Adults not feeling lonely	R A N N K
		Further qualified adults	97	Average adult mental well being score	R A N N K	Lone parents	85	Feel can influence local decisions	98	Divorce rate	R A N K
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	100	Comparative illness and disability	R A N N K	Lone parent claimants	99	Want more involvement in local decisions	94	Unpaid carers	R A N K
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	86	JSA claimants aged 18-24	R A N N K	Carer claimants	73	Formal volunteering	101	Travel time to local GP	R A N K
		Older people in deprivation	94	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	R A N N K	Informal volunteering	95	Households close to GP surgery	1	Burglary	R A N K
		Older people in deprivation	94	Managing well financially	R A N K	Further education provision	56	Antisocial behaviour	53	Violent crime	R A N K
		Average income	101	Primary school provision	R A N K						

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime	59
ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	33
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K	POPULATION	R A N K	Population close to employment centre	37
R A N K	R A N K	PERCEIVED	R A N K	Distance to work	55
R A N K	R A N K	SATISFACTION	R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	77
R A N K	R A N K	FEELING SAFE	R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	101
R A N K	R A N K	FEELING SAFE	R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	101
R A N K	R A N K	ALL CRIME	R A N K	Travel time to local GP	55
R A N K	R A N K	BURGLARY	R A N K	Households close to GP surgery	1
R A N K	R A N K	ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	Further education provision	56
R A N K	R A N K	PRIMARY SCHOOL PROVISION	R A N K	Violent crime	74
R A N K	R A N K		R A N K		86

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hailsham South and West

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction 84	5 A/C GCSEs 78	Divorce rate 66	Sense of neighbourhood belonging 91
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications 90	Years of potential life lost 64	Unemployed parents 75	Adults not feeling lonely 81
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training 87	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 43	Elderly living alone 62	Unpaid carers 68
Qualified adults 80	Self reported good health 64	Married or cohabiting parents 66	Membership of local decision making group 61
Further qualified adults 87	Average adult mental well being score 83	JSAY/UC claimants 57	Feel can influence local decisions 96
Educational attainment for 11 year olds 86	Comparative illness and disability 71	Lone parent claimants 83	Want more involvement in local decisions 51
Adult mood and anxiety disorders 75	JSAY claimants aged 18-24 78	Carer claimants 21	Formal volunteering 39
Older people in deprivation 71	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 77	Informal volunteering 75	Violent crime 56

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY R A N K	Travel time to employment centre 11
SATISFACTION R A N K	Satisfaction with local police 81
INFRASTRUCTURE R A N K	Population close to employment centre 70
PERCEIVED IMPROVEMENT IN LOCAL CRIME R A N K	Distance to work 17
FEELING SAFE IN THE DAY R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 78
FEELING SAFE AT NIGHT R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery 96
HOUSING SATISFACTION R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital 100
HOUSING IN POOR CONDITION SCORE R A N K	All crime 42
TRAVEL TIME TO LOCAL GP R A N K	Burglary 66
HOUSEHOLDS CLOSE TO GP SURGERY R A N K	Households close to GP surgery 1
ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR R A N K	Antisocial behaviour 52
FURTHER EDUCATION PROVISION R A N K	Further education provision 53
PRIMARY SCHOOL PROVISION R A N K	Primary school provision 72

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hartfield

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
LIFE SATISFACTION	15	5 A/C GCSEs	15	Long term health problem or disability	12	Income support	17	Divorce rate	22
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	16	Years of potential life lost	3	Incapacity benefits or ESA	8	Unemployed parents	16	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	10
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	1	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	52	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Elderly living alone	10	Adults not feeling lonely	2
Qualified adults	17	Self reported good health	11	Income deprivation	15	Married or cohabiting parents	16	Unpaid carers	3
Further qualified adults	15	Average adult mental well being score	4	JSA/UC claimants	34	Lone parents	17	Membership of local decision making group	3
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	7	Comparative illness and disability	6	JSA claimants aged 50+	95	Feel can influence local decisions	24	Travel time to local GP	91
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	3	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Lone parent claimants	58	Want more involvement in local decisions	74	Burglary	88
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	15	Carer claimants	25	Formal volunteering	5	Households close to GP surgery	91	Antisocial behaviour	44
Older people in deprivation	15	Informal volunteering	35	Further education provision	70	Primary school provision	88	Violent crime	19

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Heathfield East

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K	R A N K	K
EDUCATION	5 A-C GCSEs	HEALTH	Long term health problem or disability	Income support	4	Divorce rate	14	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	39
LIFE SATISFACTION	21	MATERIAL	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	14	Unemployed parents	3	Adults not feeling lonely	38
Local area satisfaction	21	EDUCATION	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	JSA claimants for under 12 months	8	Elderly living alone	11	Unpaid carers	63
		HEALTH	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	JSA claimants aged 50+	1	Married or cohabiting parents	6	Membership of local decision making group	47
		MATERIAL	Qualified adults	Income deprivation	12	Lone parents	6	Feel can influence local decisions	71
		EDUCATION	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	13	Lone parent claimants	1	Want more involvement in local decisions	12
		HEALTH	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	9	Carer claimants	7	Formal volunteering	23
		MATERIAL		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	15	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	2	Informal volunteering	77
		EDUCATION		Older people in deprivation	19				
		HEALTH							
		MATERIAL							
		EDUCATION							

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	K
INFRASTRUCTURE	Perceived improvement in local crime
PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police
LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to employment centre
BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL	Population close to employment centre
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	Distance to work
R A N K	K
INFRASTRUCTURE	Perceived improvement in local crime
PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
LOCAL ECONOMY	Feeling safe in the day
BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL	Feeling safe at night
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	Housing satisfaction
R A N K	K
INFRASTRUCTURE	Housing in poor condition score
PUBLIC SERVICE	Housing satisfaction
LOCAL ECONOMY	All crime
BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL	Burglary
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	Travel time to local GP
R A N K	K
INFRASTRUCTURE	Households close to GP surgery
PUBLIC SERVICE	Antisocial behaviour
LOCAL ECONOMY	Further education provision
BEHAVIOUR ANTISOCIAL	Primary school provision
CRIME AND ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	98

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RA scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAQ scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Heathfield North and Central

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction 17	5 A-C GCSEs 40	Long term health problem or disability 24	Income support 15
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost 46	Incapacity benefits or ESA 25	JSA claimants for under 12 months 72
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 55	Elderly living alone 58	Unpaid carers 77
Qualified adults 40	Self reported good health 43	Married or cohabiting parents 47	Membership of local decision making group 81
Further qualified adults 61	Average adult mental well being score 46	JSA/UC claimants 40	Lone parents 55
Educational attainment for 11 year olds 32	Comparative illness and disability 23	JSA claimants aged 50+ 39	Lone parent claimants 52
Adult mood and anxiety disorders 30	JSA claimants aged 18-24 35	Carer claimants 59	Want more involvement in local decisions 41
Older people in deprivation 41	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 28	Formal volunteering 64	Formal volunteering 64
Managing well financially 45	Informal volunteering 81	Informal volunteering 81	Informal volunteering 81
Average income 37			

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR RANK	INFRASTRUCTURE RANK
PUBLIC SERVICE RANK	Perceived improvement in local crime 85
LOCAL ECONOMY RANK	Satisfaction with local police 53
	Population close to employment centre 75
	Distance to work 34
	Satisfaction with GP surgery 37
	Satisfaction with local hospital 92
	Travel time to local GP 70
	Households close to GP surgery 70
	Burglary 20
	Antisocial behaviour 9
	Housing in poor condition score 74
	Housing satisfaction 23
	Perceived safe in the day 58
	Feeling safe at night 39
	All crime 20

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAQ scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAQ scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Hellingly

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	WELBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
63	71	37	Divorce rate
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone
Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents
Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSA/UC claimants	Lone parents
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants
91	36	71	61
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAs aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering
52	74	87	Informal volunteering
Older people in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	31	45
31	45	28	41

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Wealden District

Herstmonceux

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	5 A-C GCSEs	Divorce rate	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
70	71	19	18
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Adults not feeling lonely
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Unpaid carers
Qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSAC/UC claimants	Membership of local decision making group
Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAC claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions
	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	Lone parent claimants	Formal volunteering
	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Carer claimants	Informal volunteering
	Older people in deprivation		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	Satisfaction with local police
R A N K	Population close to employment centre
Travel time to employment centre	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue
Perceived improvement in local crime	Feeling safe in the day
Barriers to housing and services	Feeling safe at night
Housing satisfaction	Housing in poor condition score
Housing condition	All crime
R A N K	Burglary
Households close to GP surgery	Antisocial behaviour
Further education provision	Violent crime
Primary school provision	

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Horam

SUPPORT		BELONGING	
SELF		WELLBEING	
UFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	72	Long term health problem or disability	54
EDUCATION	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	46
5 A/C GCSEs	42	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	30
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	39	Self reported good health	30
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	1	Average adult mental wellbeing score	3
Qualified adults	58	Comparative illness and disability	31
Further qualified adults	51	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	26
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	22	JSAs claimants aged 18-24	1
Older people in deprivation	62	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	36
Managing well financially	16	Carer claimants	70
Average income	32	Lone parents	46
Wantmore involvement in local decisions	27	Lone parent claimants	55
Formal volunteering	11	JSAs claimants aged 50+	1
Informal volunteering	2	JSAs claimants	35
Significantly worse than East Sussex average		JSAs claimants aged 50+	1
not significantly different to East Sussex average		JSAs claimants	35
significantly better than East Sussex average		Lone parents	46
Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst		Lone parent claimants	55
If number is red - within worst ten wards		Carer claimants	70
If number is green - within best ten wards		Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	36

KEY	significantly worse than East Sussex average	not significantly different to East Sussex average	significantly better than East Sussex average
	■	■	■

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOR
R A N K	Satisfaction with local police	96	Perceived improvement in local crime
R A N K	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	95	Feeling safe in the day
R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	100	Feeling safe at night
R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	91	All crime
R A N K	Travel time to local GP	23	Burglary
R A N K	Households close to GP surgery	1	Antisocial behaviour
R A N K	Further education provision	17	Violent crime
R A N K	Primary school provision	59	
LOCAL ECONOMY		R A N K	
R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	72	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	Population close to employment centre	1	Housing satisfaction
R A N K	Distance to work	54	Housing in poor condition score

Mayfield

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	R A N K	Average adult mental well-being score	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	R A N K	Income support	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	R A N K	Divorce rate
3	5 A-C GCSEs	18	14	5	14	45	5	19	1	99	4
Local area satisfaction	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Incapacity benefits or ESA	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre	Travel time to employment centre	Perceived improvement in local crime
3	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 16-18 not in employment in education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	1	75	5	1	97	31	98
LIFE SATISFACTION	Qualifed adults	Further qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	JSAC claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	JSAC claimants	Unpaid carers	Population close to employment centre	Perceived improvement in local crime	Perceived improvement in local crime
R A N K	3	8	4	8	1	34	36	7	64	3	4
EDUCATION	Comparative illness and disability	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Carer claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	Lone parents	Distance to work	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe at night	Feeling safe in the day
R A N K	83	9	1	63	63	78	15	74	14	6	7
HEALTH	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering	Formal volunteering	Formal volunteering	Want more involvement in local decisions	Lone parents	Unpaid carers	Satisfaction with local police	Feeling safe at night	Feeling safe in the day
R A N K	11	8	52	13	13	78	15	23	99	33	31
WELLBEING	Older people in deprivation	Managing well financially	Primary school provision	Violent crime	Violent crime	Households close to GP surgery	Burglary	All crime	Satisfaction with local hospital	Housing satisfaction	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	8	30	57	11	11	86	69	12	23	3	31
MATERIAL	Average income	Average income	Primary school provision	Violent crime	Violent crime	Antisocial behaviour	Burglary	All crime	Satisfaction with local hospital	Housing satisfaction	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	22	22	57	11	11	3	69	12	23	3	31

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAQ scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAQ scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	PUBLIC SERVICE	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	98	31
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY	Population close to employment centre	Feeling safe in the day	7	3
R A N K	R A N K	Distance to work	Feeling safe at night	6	33
R A N K	R A N K	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe in the day	7	3
R A N K	R A N K	Satisfaction with local hospital	Feeling safe at night	6	33
R A N K	R A N K	Travel time to local GP	Feeling safe in the day	7	3
R A N K	R A N K	Households close to GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	6	33
R A N K	R A N K	Burglary	Feeling safe in the day	7	3
R A N K	R A N K	All crime	Feeling safe in the day	7	3

Ninfield and Hooe with Wartling

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	57	5 A-C GCSEs	3
Years of potential life lost	52	Long term health problem or disability	26
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	33	Income support	27
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	42	Incapacity benefits or ESA	31
Qualified adults	47	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1
Further qualified adults	44	Income deprivation	36
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	2	Average adult mental well-being score	30
Comparative illness and disability	28	JSAY/UC claimants	12
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	28	Lone parent claimants	76
JSAY claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	45
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	43	Formal volunteering	78
Older people in deprivation	37	Informal volunteering	61

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
R A N K	Travel time to employment centre
Divorce rate	Unemployed parents
R A N K	Population close to employment centre
Years of potential life lost	Adults not feeling lonely
0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Elderly living alone
Self reported good health	Unpaid carers
Average adult mental well-being score	Membership of local decision making group
Comparative illness and disability	Lone parents
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	Feel can influence local decisions
JSAY claimants aged 50+	Want more involvement in local decisions
JSAY claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Formal volunteering
Older people in deprivation	Informal volunteering

NOTES:
1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Pevensey and Westham

SELF		WELLBEING		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING	R A N K	Divorce rate	59
Local area satisfaction	53	5 A-C GCSEs	32	Long term health problem or disability	62	Income support	42	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	27
		aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	48	Years of potential life lost	34	Incapacity benefits or ESA	37	Unemployed parents	15
		aged 16-18 not in employment or training	46	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	41	JSA claimants for under 12 months	68	Elderly living alone	61
		Qualified adults	65	Self reported good health	45	Income deprivation	39	Married or cohabiting parents	41
		Further qualified adults	65	Average adult mental well-being score	46	JSAY/UC claimants	41	Lone parents	44
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	33	Comparative illness and disability	42	JSA claimants aged 50+	88	Lone parent claimants	45
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	36	JSA claimants aged 18-24	59	Carer claimants	19	Want more involvement in local decisions	49
		Older people in deprivation	58	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	31	Formal volunteering	42	Households close to GP surgery	1
		Managing well financially	20	Informal volunteering	71	Informal volunteering	34	Antisocial behaviour	45
		Average income	40	Primary school provision	69	Further education provision	85	Burglary	22

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE	
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K	Travel time to employment centre	46
Population close to employment centre	78	Perceived improvement in local crime	19
Distance to work	99	Feeling safe in the day	56
Membership of local decision making group	83	Feeling safe at night	25
Feel can influence local decisions	69	Housing in poor condition	83
Want more involvement in local decisions	49	Housing satisfaction	33
Households close to GP surgery	1	Housing in poor condition	58
All crime	66	Housing satisfaction	30
Travel time to local GP	31	Housing in poor condition	51
Burglary	22	Housing in poor condition	83
Antisocial behaviour	45	Housing in poor condition	51
Violent crime	34	Housing in poor condition	83
Primary school provision	69	Housing in poor condition	51

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Polegate North

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	59	5 A-C GCSEs	46
Years of potential life lost	68	Long term health problem or disability	86
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	68	Years of potential life lost	44
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	54	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	84
Qualified adults	82	Self reported good health	53
Further qualified adults	81	Average adult mental well-being score	74
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	34	Comparative illness and disability	55
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	59	JSA claimants aged 18-24	35
Older people in deprivation	57	Carer claimants	47
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	78	Formal volunteering	59
Average income	84	Informal volunteering	98
Primary school provision		All crime	

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- not significantly different to East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average

Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst

If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Polegate South

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction	52	5 A-C GCSEs	60
Years of potential life lost	72	Years of potential life lost	69
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	72	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1
aged 16-18 not in employment or training	34	Elderly living alone	97
Qualified adults	74	Married or cohabiting parents	64
Further qualified adults	78	Income deprivation	61
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	87	Average adult mental well-being score	27
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	57	JSAYC claimants	44
Older people in deprivation	69	Lone parent claimants	36
Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	55	JSA claimants aged 18-24	1
Older people in deprivation	69	Carer claimants	6
Average income	86	Formal volunteering	56
Informal volunteering	87	Informal volunteering	56
Primary school provision	90	Violent crime	4
Travel time to local GP surgery	12	Burglary	26
Want more involvement in local decisions	59	Households close to GP surgery	1
Feel can influence local decisions	20	Antisocial behaviour	28
Further education provision	56		
All crime	8		

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
- significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
- If number is red - within worst ten wards
- If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR	R A N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N K
Travel time to employment centre	47
Population close to employment centre	1
Distance to work	76
Satisfaction with local hospital	74
Travel time to local GP	12
Want more involvement in local decisions	59
Feel can influence local decisions	20
Satisfaction with local police	75
Perceived improvement in local crime	60
Barriers to housing and services	77
Housing satisfaction	69
Housing in poor condition score	75
All crime	8

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Rotherfield

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
LIFE SATISFACTION	10	EDUCATION	5 AC GCSEs	HEALTH	R A N N K	Years of potential life lost	19	Income support	9	Welfare	R A N N K
Local area satisfaction	10	EDUCATION	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Long term health problem or disability	R A N N K	JSA claimants for under 12 months	1	Incapacity benefits or ESA	3	Divorce rate	32
			aged 16-18 not in employment or training	Years of hospital admissions + attendances	R A N N K	Elderly living alone	39	Unemployed parents	2	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	20
			Qualified adults	Self reported good health	R A N N K	Married or cohabiting parents	2	Adults not feeling lonely	66	Travel time to employment centre	88
				Average adult mental well being score	67	Membership of local decision making group	93	Unpaid carers	27	Population close to employment centre	53
				Further qualified adults	14	Feel can influence local decisions	28	Distance to work	36	Satisfaction with local police	46
				Educational attainment for 11 year olds	7	Want more involvement in local decisions	16	Perceived improvement in local crime	80	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	14
				Adult mood and anxiety disorders	10	Lone parent claimants	58	Feeling safe in the day	43	Barriers to housing and services	51
				JSA claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	1	Feeling safe at night	15	Housing satisfaction	51
				Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	1	Formal volunteering	48	Housing in poor condition score	47		
				Older people in deprivation	16	Informal volunteering	3				

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR	R A N N K
PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N N K
LOCAL ECONOMY	R A N N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	R A N N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Uckfield Central

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction 8	5 A-C GCSEs	Divorce rate 51	Sense of neighbourhood belonging 66
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost 63	Incapacity benefits or ESA 28	Unemployed parents 31
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 76	JSAC claimants for under 12 months 1	Elderly living alone 78
Qualified adults 35	Self reported good health 34	Income deprivation 17	Married or cohabiting parents 26
Further qualified adults 36	Average adult mental well-being score 58	JSAC claimants 28	Lone parents 27
Educational attainment for 11 year olds 34	Comparative illness and disability 30	JSAC claimants aged 50+ 1	Lone parent claimants 87
Adult mood and anxiety disorders 29	JSAC claimants aged 18-24 97	Carer claimants 49	Want more involvement in local decisions 97
Older people in deprivation 24	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 9	Formal volunteering 81	Households close to GP surgery 94
Managing well financially 57	Informal volunteering 91	Further education provision 48	Antisocial behaviour 89
Average income 33	Primary school provision 43	Violent crime 81	Burglary 54

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
LOCAL ECONOMY	
PUBLIC SERVICE	
R A N K	R A N K
Perceived improvement in local crime 58	Satisfaction with local police 1
Barriers to housing and services 72	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue 4
Housing satisfaction 35	Feeling safe in the day 13
Housing in poor condition 81	Feeling safe at night 41
All crime 85	Satisfaction with local hospital 2
Burglary 54	Travel time to local GP 90
Violent crime 81	Households close to GP surgery 94
Primary school provision 43	Antisocial behaviour 89

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Uckfield New Town

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	R A N K	Health	R A N K	Income support	R A N K	Years of potential life lost	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime
39	5 A-C GCSEs	15	20	14	14	57	37	63	57	9	22
Local area satisfaction	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Adults not feeling lonely	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Distance to work	2	100
	aged 16-18 not in employment or training	58	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	41	29	41	86	44	92	43
	Qualified adults	55	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	39	99	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	Satisfaction with local hospital	66	20
	Further qualified adults	62	Average adult mental well-being score	JSAY/UC claimants	47	Lone parents	38	Feel can influence local decisions	Travel time to local GP	15	48
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	45	Comparative illness and disability	JSAC claimants aged 50+	1	Lone parent claimants	24	Want more involvement in local decisions	Households close to GP surgery	12	43
			Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAC claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	52	Formal volunteering	Antisocial behaviour	1	43
				Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	25			Informal volunteering	Violent crime	38	54
				Older people in deprivation	76				Primary school provision	1	39

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR
R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE
R A N K	LOCAL ECONOMY
R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Uckfield North

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		BELONGING	
R A N K	R A N K	R A N K	R A N K
Local area satisfaction 31	5 A-C GCSEs 24	Long term health problem or disability 25	Income support 24
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications 78	Years of potential life lost 40	Incapacity benefits or ESA 53	JSA claimants for under 12 months 1
aged 16-18 not in employment or training 59	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances 23	Elderly living alone 36	Unpaid carers 95
Qualified adults 53	Self reported good health 41	Married or cohabiting parents 54	Membership of local decision making group 89
Further qualified adults 75	Average adult mental well-being score 63	JSA/UC claimants 39	Feel can influence local decisions 27
Educational attainment for 11 year olds 31	Comparative illness and disability 34	JSA claimants aged 50+ 100	Want more involvement in local decisions 91
Adult mood and anxiety disorders 34	JSA claimants aged 18-24 47	Carer claimants 55	Formal volunteering 46
Older people in deprivation 59	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation 38	Informal volunteering 51	Further education provision 56
Managing well financially 52			Violent crime 64
Average income 58			Primary school provision 38

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE R A N K
LOCAL ECONOMY R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Uckfield Ridgewood

SELF		WELLBEING		MATERIAL		STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	EDUCATION	R A N K	HEALTH	R A N K	WELBEING	R A N K	STRONG AND STABLE FAMILIES	R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	R A N K	Divorce rate
Local area satisfaction	5 AC GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability	Years of potential life lost	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Elderly living alone	Unpaid carers	Adults not feeling lonely	Population close to employment centre	Travel time to employment centre
65	34	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	Self reported good health	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	Membership of local decision making group	Membership of local decision making group	Distance to work	Perceived improvement in local crime
		aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	Self reported good health	Average adult mental well being score	JSAY/UC claimants	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	Want more involvement in local decisions	Population close to employment centre	Travel time to local GP	Perceived improvement in local crime
		Further qualified adults	Comparative illness and disability	JSAY/UC claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	Informal volunteering	Households close to GP surgery	Burglary	Perceived improvement in local crime
		Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSAY/UC claimants aged 18-24	Carer claimants	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Older people in deprivation	Primary school provision	Violent crime	Perceived improvement in local crime

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES		INFRASTRUCTURE		R A N K	
R A N K	CRIME AND BEHAVIOUR ANTSOCIAL	R A N K	PUBLIC SERVICE	R A N K	INFRASTRUCTURE
LOCAL ECONOMY	Travel time to employment centre	Perceived improvement in local crime	Satisfaction with local police	Perceived improvement in local crime	Barriers to housing and services
R A N K	Population close to employment centre	Feeling safe in the day	Satisfaction with local fire and rescue	Feeling safe in the day	Housing satisfaction
R A N K	Distance to work	Feeling safe at night	Satisfaction with GP surgery	Feeling safe at night	Housing in poor condition score
R A N K	Membership of local hospital	All crime	Satisfaction with local hospital	All crime	Housing in poor condition score
R A N K	Travel time to local GP	Burglary	Households close to GP surgery	Burglary	Housing in poor condition score
R A N K	Violent crime	Violent crime	Further education provision	Violent crime	Housing in poor condition score
R A N K	Primary school provision	Primary school provision	Antisocial behaviour	Primary school provision	Housing in poor condition score

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Wadhurst

SELF		WELLBEING		FAMILIES		BELONGING		SUPPORT	
R A N K	45	R A N K	4	R A N K	7	R A N K	13	R A N K	30
LIFE SATISFACTION		EDUCATION	Years of potential life lost	Years of potential life lost	Income support	Sense of neighbourhood belonging	Divorce rate	Strong and stable families	Years of potential life lost
aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	9	5 A-C GCSEs	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	10	Incapacity benefits or ESA	Unemployed parents	Inc capacity benefits or ESA	Local area satisfaction	Long term health problem or disability
aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	19	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	11	JSA claimants for under 12 months	Elderly living alone	JSAC claimants for under 12 months	5 A-C GCSEs	Long term health problem or disability
Qualified adults	16	Self reported good health	Self reported good health	21	Income deprivation	Married or cohabiting parents	Membership of local decision making group	EDUCATION	Years of potential life lost
Further qualified adults	12	Average adult mental well being score	Average adult mental well being score	7	JSAY/UC claimants	Lone parents	Feel can influence local decisions	R A N K	Income deprivation
Educational attainment for 11 year olds	45	Comparative illness and disability	Comparative illness and disability	8	JSA claimants aged 50+	Lone parent claimants	Want more involvement in local decisions	R A N K	JSAY/UC claimants
Adult mood and anxiety disorders	7	JSAY claimants aged 18-24	JSAY claimants aged 18-24	1	Carer claimants	Carer claimants	Formal volunteering	R A N K	Comparative illness and disability
Older people in deprivation	10	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation	7	Informal volunteering	Informal volunteering	Further education provision	R A N K	JSAY claimants aged 18-24
Average income	13						Primary school provision	R A N K	Older people in deprivation

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
R A N K	R A N K
INFRASTRUCTURE	CRIME AND ANTSOCIAL BEHAVIOUR
R A N K	R A N K

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Willingdon

SELF		SUPPORT	
EDUCATION		FAMILIES	
R A N K	Local area satisfaction	R A N K	MATERIAL WELLBEING
35	5 A* GCSEs	5	Income support
	aged 25-54 with low or no qualifications	Years of potential life lost	Incapacity benefits or ESA
	aged 16-18 not in employment education or training	0-19 year olds hospital admissions + attendances	JSA claimants for under 12 months
	Qualified adults	Self reported good health	Income deprivation
	Further qualified adults	Average adult mental well-being score	JSA/UC claimants
	Educational attainment for 11 year olds	Comparative illness and disability	Lone parent claimants
		Adult mood and anxiety disorders	JSA claimants aged 18-24
			Carer claimants
			Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
			Older people in deprivation

KEY

- significantly worse than East Sussex average
 - not significantly different to East Sussex average
 - significantly better than East Sussex average
- Rank out of all 101 wards: 1 is best, 101 is worst
If number is red - within worst ten wards
If number is green - within best ten wards

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
PUBLIC SERVICE	
BELONGING	
R A N K	Sense of neighbourhood belonging
34	Divorce rate
8	Unemployed parents
1	JSA claimants for under 12 months
88	Elderly living alone
27	Adults not feeling lonely
82	Unpaid carers
82	Membership of local decision making group
41	Lone parents
45	JSAY/UC claimants
79	Lone parent claimants
10	JSA claimants aged 50+
81	JSAY claimants aged 18-24
18	Carer claimants
24	Children aged under 16 years in deprivation
36	Older people in deprivation

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime
21	Satisfaction with local police
82	Population close to employment centre
76	Distance to work
15	Satisfaction with GP surgery
54	Satisfaction with local hospital
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
41	Burglary
32	Travel time to local GP
60	Households close to GP surgery
10	Antisocial behaviour
52	Further education provision
84	Primary school provision

NOTES:

1. Multiple wards can have the same rank if they have the same score
2. Wards within JSA claimants have been ranked as 1 for the indicator capturing JSA claimants for under 12 months
3. RAG scores and ranks are not shown where data are not available for a limited number of wards
4. The rank can be misleading where small numbers are involved but the confidence intervals used in the RAG scores reduce the effect of small numbers on producing incorrect results

Wealden District

SYSTEMS AND STRUCTURES	
INFRASTRUCTURE	
R A N K	Perceived improvement in local crime
79	Barriers to housing and services
67	Housing satisfaction
20	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
79	Housing satisfaction
15	Housing in poor condition score
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All crime
42	Feeling safe at night
26	Feeling safe in the day
20	Housing satisfaction
79	Housing in poor condition score
15	All



www.eastsussex.gov.uk

EAST SUSSEX COUNTY HALL
COUNTY HALL
ST ANNE'S CRESENT
LEWES BN7 1UE

PHONE 0345 60 80 190
FAX 01273 481261

PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 2016